

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation will be by Dr. Johnson. Oops, by Representative Davis."

Davis: "As the Holy Spirit leads, let us pray. Let the wicked forsake his ways and the unrighteous man is taught. And let us return unto the Lord for He will have mercy. And to our God for He will abundantly call you. Eternal God, our Father, help us to understand that mightier than our army is the moral and spiritual leadership of the men of our nation. Help them to return unto our Lord for He will abundantly call him and save this nation. Now, we pray again for the Speaker of this House and for the leadership on both sides the aisle and for the Governor of our state and the President of our nation. And may we all hear the sacred words of Our Lord when he says, righteous is exalted in a nation, but sin is a reproach to any people. Keep us in Your ways and bless every Member and every family represented here in this House and as we go forth from this place to our various homes, unfold Your arms of love and protection around us. We pray, in the Name of Jesus. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Would you record me as present? Someone has stolen our key to our switch."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Would you please record me as present also, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kempiners present. Mr. Simms present. Okay. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1114, Hyde et al. House Resolution 1119, Choate-Blair."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Stone, you're on the Floor, so I'm going to go ahead if we can on these Conference Committee Reports. If... there are reports here. They have... you all want to move to not adopt. Let's get those out of the way and move on to our Second Conference Committee Reports. We don't need to take 'yeas' and 'nays' on them and get those things moving. Mr. Walsh moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. How about yours, George? Okay, 2351. Mr. Sangmeister,



Conference Committee Report."

Sangmeister: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, at this time, I move that the House do not adopt the Committee Conference Report on House Bill 2351 and that we go into a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2351 and that there be a Second Conference Committee appointed. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. Jack, how about yours? Public Health. You want the same thing? 2355, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I move we do not accept the Conference Committee Report and request a Second Conference."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's moved the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2355 and that there be a Second Conference Committee appointed. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2355. Mr. McGrew, how about yours? You going to adopt or not? You're not going to adopt? Well, you want to read it then and get it out of the way so we can get started on the Second? Mr. McGrew, on 2360, expenses of historical libraries."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move do not adopt the Conference Report on House Bill 2360."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to do not adopt say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2360 and request a Second Conference Committee. Mr. Krause on 2416, appropriation to General Assembly."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House do not adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2416 and another Conference Committee be..."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's moved the House do not



adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2416 and a Second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2416. You got one, Mr. Flinn? Mr. Flinn, appropriation for expenses Department of Local Government Affairs, Senate Bill 1346."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1346."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's moved we do not adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1346. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1346 and request a Second Conference Committee. Senate Bill 1559, Mr. Campbell, appropriation to the Department of Public Aid."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do not adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1559 and a Second Conference Committee appointed. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1559. Request a Second Conference Committee. Mr. Shea, on 1273."

Shea: "What is there? Five Amendments on that?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Clerk. Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "There are one, two... the House recedes from Amendment 1 through 4 and 5. Senate Bill be further amended on page 2 by deleting Sections... yeah."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we do not recede from Amendments 1, 2, 4, and 5 on House or on Senate Bill 1273."

Speaker Blair: "This is a Conference Committee Report. Do not adopt?"

Shea: "Oh, do not adopt."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's motion is that we do not



adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1273 and that there be a Second Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1273. Mr. Beaupre here? How about Mr. Holloway? Is Jim back there? Mr. Keller? How about Mr. Taylor on 2405? You want to adopt, to not adopt that? You want to hold still. Okay, hold still. Mr. Shea, what do you want recognition for?"

Shea: "Could you tell me under whatever rules we're operating, how many Conference Committees we can have?"

Speaker Blair: "The Chair is prepared to rule that on a basis of using some custom that the Second Conference Committee Report will be the last final action of this House with respect to any Bill."

Shea: "There is nothing in the Rules that I can find that limits it to two, but you're basing it on use and custom?"

Speaker Blair: "Right."

Shea: "Thank you,"

Speaker Blair: "The Senate is likewise. Mr. Lundy, you want do not adopt on 2868 or are you adopting there? Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, do you expect that we will be returning to this order of business later in the day?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, we'll be on it most of the day."

Lundy: "It's a fairly controversial matter and with the attendance down, I would be reluctant to call it right now."

Speaker Blair: "Well, are you going... you're going to move to adopt?"

Lundy: "That's correct."

Speaker Blair: "All right. How about Mr. McClain. Hi, Roscoe.

Mr. Caldwell. How about Mr. Mugalian. You want to do not adopt there?"

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I see it's on the Conference Committee Report Calendar, but there's been no meeting that I know of and I haven't received a report, so I have no way of making a motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, will the staff see that Mr. Mugalian gets a Conference Committee Report on 2358? It would be helpful, I



know, I know that on these appropriation matters, Mr. Shea, that the appropriations staff that both the minority and the majority have been working and that your appropriations staff people do have copies of these Conference Committee Reports. So if they would... if we could... in order to speed things up, if they could see that your people get copies of them, it might be helpful. Oh, McClain. Mr. McClain, how about Registration and Education? Do you want to do not adopt there? Yeah. Okay, there it is. Get the signals and let's go. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, the staff tells me that a report hasn't even been filed yet, so I'll hold."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it has to be filed or it wouldn't be on the Calendar."

McClain: "Well, there's no report on our desks and Mary Ellen said that she has no report of it, so, obviously maybe there's some mistake there."

Speaker Blair: "Well, would the Clerk have a copy of that Conference Committee Report sent out to Mr. McClain? Aren't these... All right, Clerk says that these are printed and on your desks. Well, we'll get you a copy. Mr. Houlihan. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I notice that last night, the Senate passed out 2402 and it's not on the Calendar yet. I suspect it'll be on the Supplemental Calendar?"

Speaker Blair: "Supplemental, yeah. Is Mr. Beaupre here? How about Ms. Marcin? You want to do not adopt on that? You're going to do adopt, okay. You don't want to call it right now though? You want to go ahead with 2362 then, Mrs. Martin? Conference Committee Report on the Governor's Office. You don't want to go now? Okay. Mr. Keller, you going to go on 1265? Second Conference Committee Report. This is final and last action."

Keller: "Mr. Chairman, I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1265."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1265. Mr. Cunningham,"

Cunningham: "I can't... I would ask Representative Keller what change



was made from the First Conference Report?"

Keller: "Well, what we did is, we put in twenty-five thousand dollars for the emergency telephone number. We did not put in the G.O.R.E. budget for Jimmy Taylor yet, no."

Cunningham: "But you did make his... well, I've been trying to add also, but you did put in the additional amount for Representative Katz, is that..."

Keller: "That's right."

Cunningham: "Then in that case, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tipword. Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, regrettably I don't find this on my desk and I've tried to keep careful track of all of them placed on my desk."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I understand there's been a mistake that Conference Committee Report #2 was filed here corrected and everything but the copy that got on our desk is the same as Conference Committee Report #1. All I have is the one that is supposed to have been filed with your desk. Number one..."

Speaker Blair: "Just a moment. We'll get this straightened out. I know that... we're getting these things..."

Keller: "It's just now being distributed to here, Mr. Speaker, so it's okay."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. All right, the question... are there any further questions of Mr. Keller with respect to his motion to do adopt? All right, the... Mr. Katz. All right, the question is shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to Senate Bill 1265. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. This is last and final action on the appropriation for the expenses of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Mr. Miller. Are your switches not working? All right, we're getting a key... we're getting a passkey to open up your switches, but in the meantime, we'll get these on. Mr. Kenny Miller votes 'aye'. Mr. Juckett votes 'aye'. Mr. Borchers votes 'aye'. Mr. Cunningham votes 'no'. Cunningham votes 'no'. Have all voted who wished?"



Mrs. Macdonald votes 'aye'. Somebody apparently came in here last night and took some of the keys from the Member's desks. We're going to get your switches open for you with a passkey, I guess, just as soon as possible. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1265. Mr. McClain, on 2365."

McClain: "2365, do not adopt, please."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2365. All those in favor will vote or say 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2365 and request a Second Conference Committee. Mr. Mugalian now, are you squared away on your motion on Department of Insurance? You still don't have it? Fred, can we get Mr. Mugalian's Department of Insurance, 2358. It's just not out on the desks. Oh, well... Okay, the Clerk is... the Clerk advises that they do not have a master key with respect to your switches, that each switch is individually keyed and that what we're doing is we have a back-up set of keys and they are distributing that back-up set of keys, but that's it. So, when we get finished today, we want to get envelopes, I guess, and have those keys turned in with the desk numbers on them so we'll be able to... we don't want you to take the keys with you. Okay, Mr. Holloway, are you ready? Are you ready on a do not adopt there?"

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to move now that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1383. And I ask for a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1383 and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with



respect to Senate Bill 1383 and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. Is Mr. Getty here? Mr. Beaupre. Mr. Caldwell. Mr. Philip, how about your 2878? Can we go for that? Last action. Mr. Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt the Second Conference Report on House Bill 2878 which would remove the Senate Amendment #1. In effect, what this would do the end result would be any candidate for local or county office that has not filed an ethics statement could file an ethics statement or refile an ethics statement between July 8 through July 20. This excludes the Members of the General Assembly. And the rationale for that is that they have been notified by the Secretary of State in writing not once, but twice, so I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join my colleagues in DuPage County in requesting that we accede to his request. I went to the State Election Board this morning and find out that there's 650 candidates who would be knocked off the ballot. That there's 33 counties that have not yet reported and of the incumbent local officials, it's estimated about two to three thousand would be knocked their incumbency. This harms nobody and as far as I'm concerned, it seems to me that we should pass it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Would Representative Redmond repeat that figures because I want to make note of it for next year when we come back here on ethics?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Redmond."

Redmond: "Well, there's 650 who are affected that are known, that they know of. There are 33 County Clerks that have not yet sent in their list and of the incumbent officers in local government, it's estimated between two and three thousand would be ineligible. This would extend the time for just another couple of weeks and it seems to me that the law that we passed must be unworkable. And I earnestly request we adopt the Second Committee Report."



Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2878. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. I know, I saw him, Jerry. I'm not blind. Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to ask the Sponsor a question. How does the Bill differ now from the way we passed it out of here?"

Philip: "I'll be more than happy to answer that. The Senate put on an Amendment. What the Senate Amendment did, in effect, was take off all the local candidates from filing their ethics statement. So in other words, candidates for Mayor, candidates for Alderman would not have to file at all. So in other words, we, as Members of the General Assembly, other state officials, would have to file their ethics statement, but if you were running for the Mayor or Aldermanic candidate, you would not have to file. And I would say this, if we have to go under the magnifying glass, why shouldn't they. So, what this report does is knock off the Senate Amendment."

Berman: "When it left the House, as I recall, what we were doing was extending the filing date for local county officials only."

Philip: "That is correct."

Berman: "Now, for whom are we extending the filing date?"

Philip: "If you vote in favor of this Committee, Conference Report, it would allow all candidates, all candidates excluding Members of the General Assembly. The rationale for that is, the Secretary of State on his own initiative, notified in writing, not once, but twice all of those candidates. And so, that's why we have taken them out."

Berman: "All right, so that your rationale for urging an 'aye' vote on this is that the Secretary sent out a letter. Well, Mr. Speaker, if I might address myself to the Bill. I think that the voluntary act of the Secretary of State is very nice and considerate for the Members of the General Assembly, but it is a law that we passed and I think that we have to view this just in the same light as the law that was urged by Representative Collins the other night. The law is the law. The Ethics Bill we passed is stringent and



Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2878. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. I know, I saw him, Jerry. I'm not blind. Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to ask the Sponsor a question. How does the Bill differ now from the way we passed it out of here?"

Philip: "I'll be more than happy to answer that. The Senate put on an Amendment. What the Senate Amendment did, in effect, was take off all the local candidates from filing their ethics statement. So in other words, candidates for Mayor, candidates for Alderman would not have to file at all. So in other words, we, as Members of the General Assembly, other state officials, would have to file their ethics statement, but if you were running for the Mayor or Aldermanic candidate, you would not have to file. And I would say this, if we have to go under the magnifying glass, why shouldn't they. So, what this report does is knock off the Senate Amendment."

Man: "When it left the House, as I recall, what we were doing was extending the filing date for local county officials only."

Man: "That is correct."  
"Now, for whom are we extending the filing date?"

"If you vote in favor of this Committee, Conference Report, it would allow all candidates, all candidates excluding Members of the General Assembly. The rationale for that is, the Secretary would be on his own initiative, notified in writing, not once, but all of those candidates. And so, that's why we have to bring it out."

"That's your rationale for urging an 'aye' vote on the Secretary sent out a letter. Well, Mr. Speaker, that the Secretary sent out a letter. I think that the voluntary address myself to the Bill. I think that the Secretary of State is very nice and considerate for the General Assembly, but it is a law that we passed. We have to view this just in the same light as the other night. Urged by Representative Collins the other night."

The Ethics Bill we passed is stringent and

has to be obeyed, so is the filing of our disclosure statements. And I think that I would urge a 'no' vote on this motion."

Philip: "Well, I would like to answer that. I'd like to know how you voted last Session when we extended for Members of the General Assembly. How did you vote on that? Was all right to give Members of the General Assembly an exception when they had passed a law, but it's different for the local candidates who, number one, didn't pass the law; who, number two, were never notified. And if there's anything that's justified, it's this House Bill and I hope that you'll adopt it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr... Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in favor of the motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report. Down in St. Clair County, the whole county ticket on both parties did not file the second ethics statement. Now, understand they filed their first statement along with their statement of candidacy and what they... in many, many cases and maybe all cases, they would've had to file an identical statement, the second statement. The law is ambiguous like it is, it is misleading and people are talking about we're coming back every two years and notifying these people. These people ran four years ago. They... the law was not in effect at that time when they ran then. They never had it extended for them, the County Clerks, the Sheriffs office, and those offices that are open right now have never had this extended for them and I'm going to vote 'aye' and I think that we'll be doing the county offices a... very much a bad way of we do not vote for it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any more... Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If we don't pass this Bill and I have mixed emotions about it when Pate Philip introduced it, but all of the candidates that didn't file are going to go into court and ask for a court order to let them get back on the ballot. And we're going to have courts in one circuit ordering it one way, we're going to have them in another circuit ordering it another way, we're going to have the Circuit Courts clogged up, we're going to have the Appellate Courts clogged up and it's



just going to create chaos throughout the state. And although I feel that people who asked for the public to nominate them and elect them and they're officials, ought to be able to follow the law and fill out a few forms and show some degree of leadership. I think we ought to pass this Bill and prevent the chaotic kind of conditions that we're going to have in the court system in the State of Illinois. We've got candidates in my county on both parties for Sheriff, for instance, who didn't file. And our Franklin County needs a Sheriff and so, I think we ought to pass this and give them one more chance and show that the Legislature does have some compassion for the citizens of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? You're the County Chairman in DuPage County, is that right, Mr. Philip?"

Philip: "That is correct, Representative Shea."

Shea: "All right now, is there presently machinery on the statute books for replacing these candidates?"

Philip: "I believe that is correct."

Shea: "All right then, that no county has to go without candidates for these offices because there is presently the machinery to fill them is that correct?"

Philip: "Let me say this, I understand that the State Election Board has said that we can't reslate any of the candidates that have won the primaries because they didn't file. So in other words, what we would have to do, Democrats and Republicans, and here's a guy that won the primary and might have had a hard battle, spent a lot of money but he didn't file an ethics statement, then we can't put him back on the ballot. We have to pick somebody new."

Shea: "Yeah, but there is no reason that every spot can't be filled in every county, is there?"

Philip: "I think that is probably correct and I'm not even sure about that. I wouldn't..."

Shea: "Well, I..."

Philip: "Usually that is... might be correct. I'm not sure."

Shea: "So that what you're doing with this Bill, is insuring that all



the people that didn't follow the law will have an opportunity to run for the same offices, is that right?"

Philip: "What we are doing is allowing them to refile the ethics statement that they filed in 1973, to file it in 1974 to qualify them to be a candidate. Now, you and I know they all filed in 1973, every one of them when they filed their petition and the candidacy of office and what happened was, nobody notified them and they didn't realize they had to file again in '74 by April 30. And all we're doing is reopening that filing from July 8 to July 20, just like we did in the last Session for Members of the General Assembly."

Shea: "No, we didn't open it for Members of the General Assembly in the last Session, Mr. Philip. That was Mr. Palmer's Bill. I handled it in the House and there was not a Member of the General Assembly who did not file."

Philip: "There were candidates, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "There were no candidates last time. It wasn't an election year."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McMaster... Mr. Gene Hoffman, oh. Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, in reference to the last repartee that's taken place on the floor of this House, seems to me like as the Session wans, we forget the people more and more and more. And that's exactly what is happening right here. The speaker from the other side of the aisle says the party has got a way to fill these positions. That may very well be true, but the people have chosen in their respective party these people to be their candidates. And all we're saying is, let the people's choice stand. And that's what you're voting for here, is to allow the people's choice to stand and let these people run for election. They want to run for Sheriff, let 'em run for Sheriff and let the people decide. That's what we're talking about. We're making it so confusing down here for the average guy on the street that he is going to... he's going to throw us all out if he's got any brains. Now, let's support this."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's somewhat amusing and somewhat



informative, especially after the last speaker about what he just said. And for me to sit here and understand, it just somewhat strengthens my conviction as the way I voted yesterday on that Ethics Act. Here, you compound the issue by putting more things on the books. I mean, maybe it's about time we ought to start swinging the pendulum the other way and start taking some of the stuff off. Once you start, you can't stop and I really, Pate, haven't made up my mind how I'm going to vote on this. But here, you put something on for one and then you say it's not good for the other. Maybe we ought to stop and take a little rest and analyze this situation a little bit."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that Representative Hoffman said very succinctly what I wanted to say. Certainly the people who voted in a primary election, those of the Republican face supported their candidates and voted them in. Those of the Democrat face did the same thing. I believe that the people have spoken in choosing their candidates and I certainly feel that they should have the right to make their voice heard. We have seen other examples where people were elected to something and were replaced by people who had not been elected. I do not believe that this is the form of government that we in the House whichever side of the aisle we are on, supports. I certainly feel that this Bill should be passed. I feel that if there was any ways to amend it to include those Members of the General Assembly that did not get filed, I think that that should be also, but I certainly feel that when the people vote in an election whether it's the primary or general election, the results of that vote should be honored. They are the people we represent. I urge a 'yes' vote. Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Could I ask the Sponsor a question, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Sure."

Tipsword: "Mr. Philip, if I might. Pardon me. This Act also affects not only candidates but several thousand incumbent local officeholders, does it not?"

Philip: "That is correct."



Tipsword: "And if this Act is not passed, we have several thousand local offices that would necessarily be vacated."

Philip: "Representative Tipsword, our guesstimate in the electoral board's guesstimate is over three thousand."

Tipsword: "And they would not be eligible for reappointment, would they?"

Philip: "That is absolutely correct."

Tipsword: "And many of those are offices that do not expire this year, but have one, two or three years to go on them."

Philip: "Absolutely correct and some of them are non-paying appointments, incidentally."

Tipsword: "And people who were selected by the people or who were selected because of their in appointment areas, many times because of their special capacities and qualities to fill those appointive positions."

Philip: "Absolutely correct."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I would urge that we do pass this Bill. I think it is most urgent that we do so on the basis of the local offices in the State of Illinois, both candidates and the... those who presently are incumbents in many of the local offices. I personally regret in spite of the notices that the candidates for the General Assembly are not included therein. I voted for that when it was on the floor, but nevertheless, I think that since there was not notice to these people and no notice provided in the law, I think we should be compassionate once. And as Representative Hart said also, for once, be compassionate with the courts who are going to be pretty well over-burdened with these cases of people who are trying to place themselves back on the ballot or to save their appointments and election to office. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield? I'm support of this particular measure, Representative Philip, but the question I have is what's going to prevent a recurrence of this year after year after year?"

Philip: "Well, I'm a Member of the State Election Laws Commission. We



have been and are working on a proposal for the next General Assembly that would specifically mandate the Secretary of State to notify all state candidates and various election officials, either the Chairman of the Elections Board or the County Clerk to notify those local candidates, so we, hopefully, we've learned our lesson. Hopefully, we'll do something in 1975. Also, we've been considering a fifteen day grace period and the possibility of publishing. In other words, if you file your statement, it's published in a local newspaper and then after that publish, you have another fifteen days to do it. So, we have all kinds of ideas and I'm sure that this problem's going to be worked out by 1975 and let me say this. If it is not worked out, I will not support any legislation, any legislation to let anybody come in and refile next time. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, just a point of... whatever you want to call it. It's going to eat your raise all through the Session. \*It's been very acute in the last few days in regards to the distribution of Bills, Amendments, Conference Reports and what have you. And I've made the request so many times that we are not getting these that I begin to think that we're not supposed to get them. Now, they're very difficult at best when they reach your desk and particularly Conference Reports. We've already been on four or five Bills and I've religiously kept the Conference Reports in some kind of fashion and I don't have them and I just wonder if this is the policy, if this is the leadership's position. Can we get the Bills? I've been to the desk. They aren't available and all I would say is that this is going to continue. I don't see how we can do our job in a responsible manner."

Speaker Blair: "All right, why don't I have the function of this distributing the various matters that are on the Calender is the responsibility of the Office of the Clerk. Now, I would like the Clerk to inform the Membership as to how that procedure operates."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, first of all, you people that are clamoring around looking for Reports have to realize that these things are coming in fast and furious but you can't snap your fingers and have



it printed. When they come in here, they're sent down to the service unit and are printed and when they are returned here, the Democrat Pages get the ones for the Democrat side, the Republican Pages get it for the Republican side and they're to handle the distribution. And as far as I know at this instance, every one of them has been printed and has been given to the various Pages. Now, the Pages had a problem of getting through the desks and delivering them, but we're trying to get everything to you as quick as we possibly can. We're doing our best."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Chairman, I didn't want imply the Clerk is not doing a good job. He's done an excellent job. Believe me, I don't know how he can do half as well as he's been doing and I'm not blaming him. What I'm saying is if they're filed with the Clerk, then he should have a reasonable amount of time to get them printed and out to us. And I think having them called before he's able to do his job is not being fair to him."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah well, the Clerk's Office advises that everything that he puts on the Calender he has a Conference Committee Report printed and that if you don't have them, it's because the Pages have failed to put them on your desks. Mr. Emil Jones. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you recall this was the Bill where I had attempted to include also the legislative candidates and I did that for a specific purpose. I do not want this Bill to be possibly considered unconstitutional and for us to exclude certain categories. But I've had conversations with Bill Redmond and I think that we can let the courts decide that and I think we ought to take affirmative action. We ought to help out those candidates that were not able or were not notified about filing and therefore, I would urge support for this legislation and an 'aye' vote so that we can have some correction in a very serious problem that exists with our legislative laws."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to move the previous question and those who want to address themselves to it can explain their vote."



Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the previous question say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philips, to close."

Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you're aware, this is not only a big problem it is a tremendous problem. As I said before, there are probably well over three thousand candidates and office-holders that have not complied by the Ethics Act. Unfortunately, if we don't reopen the filing between July 8 and 20th, what'll happen, these officers will be null and void or these candidates will not be certified. Now, what the end result is that you'll have the courts just jammed up. I know in my county there's going to be suits filed. I know in northern Illinois of eight or ten counties who have already filed suits. The State Elections Board has offered hearings on each case. Can you imagine what would happen to the State Election Board if we had three thousand different cases pending before them so they could judicate for case individually. Why they wouldn't get through those cases till after the election. So, I think it's an extremely important thing and I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2878. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. This is final action. Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, am I on? I would like to explain my vote. I think that if we do not pass this legislation, we are going to have utter chaos in local government in Illinois. We are going to completely disregard the will of the electorate, the voters of the electorate and I think that it is important that we as responsible legislators vote for this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the intention of the ethics law is that every time you run for office, whether it be every two years, every four years, you file this statement. Now, when I first ran, the primary



was in April and candidates filed in January. Had the primary still been in April and the candidates filed in January and with their statements of candidacy and petitions, they'd have a statement. There wouldn't be no problem. A few years ago, the primary was in June and we filed in March and there's been no problem then for these candidates. Every one of these candidates filed his ethics statement for the 1974 election. Unfortunately for them with the March primary, it just an oddity that the petitions are filed in December slowly before the first of the year and should we forfeit their right to run for office because the March primary puts the filing date a few days before the January 1, '74. With the April primary in the old days, you'd have filed in January. With a June primary that many of you came here on, they'd have filed in March. So, really it's just a technicality. Every one of these candidates filed a statement, with your statement of candidacy and petitions filed his ethics statement for the 1974 election. And to forfeit their office, their right to run for office, the right to even be put on the ballot once you're knocked off, is to me the worst kind of forfeiture of bill of attainder or whatever and we should have a little compassion in our soul and let these candidates who did file for 1974, their ethics statements, let them remain on the ballot and I vote 'aye' to accept the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Dave Jones."

D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in spite of the fact that the failure to file wipes out the whole Democrat ticket in Sangamon County, I think it's a fair thing to do and I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to take just a brief moment to explain my vote. You know, we give a lot of lip service to the concept of helping the little people. I think that oftentimes when we get carried away with things such as our ethics statement that we have, we completely ignore the people that are serving in a small capacity throughout the State of Illinois. This Bill covers school board



members, library districts, county board candidates and so on and so forth. To me, it's a little bit absurd that we get involved in such a peculiar situation put all sorts of stipulations on these people that don't understand it. I'm very sorry to see that Conference Report on our new Ethics Bill also covers these people. I believe this is a preposterous situation. If we believe in ethics, let's make it statewide people. Let's make it Members of the General Assembly and perhaps even countywide candidates. But beyond that, I think it's a rather preposterous situation. Unfortunately, we did pass it and I think we have little alternative but to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who... now... Have all voted who wished? Mr. D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Mr. Speaker, I had a point of parliamentary inquiry. When would this Bill become law?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're getting the Bill down to look at it here. Mr. Lechowicz, you want to talk while we're waiting for that Bill to come down? Okay. Mr. Brinkmeier, do you want to talk? He's on the phone. Yeah, it provides that it becomes effective immediately upon its becoming a law, so if it passes here with the three-fifths which it has now and the Senate with the three-fifths, it would become effective immediately upon the Governor's signing it. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. Philip."

Philip: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, if I just might take three minutes to thank all of the Members of the General Assembly on behalf of the local candidates, behalf of the local officials. I'm certain that they very appreciative. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 119 'aye', 2 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask a parliamentary inquiry, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

McClain: "On House Bill 2347, the Conference Report, on such Conference Reports, how many Members are authorized to sign the... do you have to have six signatures or only five or... Do you have to



have six signatures in order for the Conference Reports to be authorized or what is the total number of signatures mandated by our rules?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, in order for there to be a Majority Conference Committee Report, that is one. It makes specific recommendation with respect to a Bill. The Chair will rule that it'll have to be six of the ten Members sign it. If the Conference Committee cannot agree, certainly there, you could have five of them sign saying that they can't agree and request a Second Conference Committee. Jointly, we'll find out what's going on. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On Conference Report 2347, there are only five signatures and the Sponsor... and that's all, just those five names."

Speaker Blair: "Well, right, I'm not calling that Conference Committee now that's been pointed out."

McClain: "Okay."

Speaker Blair: "And the Committee itself will have to either get six signatures or they're going to have to announce that they cannot get into agreement."

McClain: "May I ask this? That does not negate the First Conference Report though, does it because it has not been called?"

Speaker Blair: "No, no, we're not going to extend that as a First Conference Committee Report because it's not signed by a majority of the Members."

McClain: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Now, they're either going to have to get a sixth Member to sign it or they're going to have to say that they can't agree and request a Second Conference Committee and I'll accept that with filed order."

McClain: "Okay, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I understand with the Session drawing to an end that our rules are loosening somewhat, but I am somewhat curious as to who is responsible for distributing or bringing to the Clerk's desk this diatribe by Governor Walker against the Attorney General. It does not have a name on it and it has been



distributed."

Speaker Blair: "It was cleared through Mr. Choate and it's being distributed under his auspices."

Skinner: "Well, this is... you know, the Governor wishes to have us all read this, is that the message we're supposed to get?"

Choate: "Representative Skinner, I'm sure that the Governor made it available to you. If you desire to read it, you can and if you desire to toss in file 13, I would suggest you do that."

Skinner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Minority Leader. I shall indeed toss it in file 13."

Speaker Blair: "2364, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the budget for the Department of Vocational Education and Vocational Rehabilitation. The Senate added three Amendments and it's our intention to nonconcur. We only agree with one of the Amendments, disagree with two. Therefore, I would move to nonconcur and ask for a Second Conference Report. The only one of the things that puzzles me, I haven't had any input at all... I don't know why they would come to this decision without consulting me at all, so I move to nonconcur in the Conference Report."

Speaker Blair: "To do not adopt, Mr. Caldwell? All right, the Gentleman... this is first. All right, the question is shall the House, that the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2364. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2364 and requests a Second Conference Committee Report. Mr. Mugalian's ready."

Mugalian: "The Conference Committee Report, Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 2368, the appropriation to the Department of Insurance, Conference Committee Report asks that we concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Those Amendments are respectively, the retirement Amendment and the so-called Attorney General's Amendment. And I move that we do not concur in the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the motion is that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2358."

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report and requests a Second Conference Committee. Mr. Beaupre, are you ready?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, could we take that out of the record for a minute? I haven't had a chance to read the Conference Report. I am on the Conference Committee, but was not called to the meeting and I don't even know what they decided, so I want to take a look at it before we make any moves."

Speaker Blair: "How about 1261, have you look at that?"

Beaupre: "I have indeed, Mr. Speaker, and I frankly don't find it very palatable and as a result, I would move that we do not accept Conference Committee Report on 1261."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1261. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1261 and we request a Second Conference Committee. Oh, did I put the question? Then I took the vote, right? Is Lundy ready yet? How about Mr. Getty on 2340... Oh, that... he doesn't, that's right. He doesn't want that yet. Mr. Lundy, you want to go now on House Bill 2868, First Conference Committee Report?"

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2868 is the Bill which we passed out of this House several weeks ago to try to prevent a, an unanticipated and pretty drastic reduction in tax revenues for some of the local taxing districts in Cook County. The Bill was amended in the Senate to change the approach from one of freezing the state issued equalization factor of multiplier at a higher rate, to lowering the multiplier and allowing those taxing districts which would lose revenue because of a statutory rate limit for one year to temporarily tax over and above the... the... existing statutory limits. That's a temporary dispensation. We don't actually change the statutory rate. We simply say that for one year, those districts which are in danger of losing revenue because of the lowered



assessed valuation and because they're taxing at their maximum rate limit, will be allowed to go over that limit to the extent of 6% over the amount of tax revenues they raised in the preceding year. Now, this is an enormously important Bill for those local taxing units in Cook County, primarily school districts, park districts and libraries which stand to lose tens of millions of dollars in tax revenues if some adjustment is not made. The reason the Bill is necessary is because of the very late issuance this year of a proposed lower equalization factor by the Department of Local Government Affairs. If the equalization factor had been issued earlier or if the taxing districts had even been aware that a new factor was going to be issued, they could have done... taken one of two options available to them. They could have either lowered their budgets or they could have attempted to referendum to increase their statutory rate limit. But because the multiplier had been frozen for two years, they had no reason to anticipate that it was going to be unfrozen and this year for the first time in two years, they have experienced quite a drastic reduction in assessed valuation in their areas and, therefore, stand to lose a lot in revenue. I support the Senate Amendment that was put on the Bill. Seven of the ten Conferees on the Conference Committee support it. This is not a partisan issue and the Bill was sponsored in the House by Representative Berman and myself, was sponsored in the Senate by Senator Bradley Glass. And it has had both the Republican and Democratic support in both the House and Senate. I am moving to concur in the Conference Report and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we certainly ought to pass or kill this Bill today because we are holding up the issuance of Kane County tax bills because the multiplier in Cook County hasn't been issued. Now, when Governor Walker came in to office, he found that the assessments throughout the State of Illinois were not equalized and therefore, and I'm giving him the benefit of a doubt here, at least he said he didn't understand the situation so he was going to freeze the multiplier."



Six months later after I'm damn well sure he understood the situation, he decided to freeze the multiplier for a second year. Now, here we are, Members of the General Assembly, bailing out a Governor who has at minimum been misfeasant in office by freezing the multiplier in complete countervention of law and it just is unbelievable. And I am certainly not going to vote in favor of this Bill, but neither am I going to vote against it. I'm going to vote 'present' and it seems to me that there ought to be a message go to the Governor that he ought to follow the laws of this state or resign."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think on the House Bill 2868, everyone should realize what they are voting for because they're setting a new concept in how to take tax money out of the taxpayers pockets. This came about because of a bad, bad system. The equalizers are late, but what they're saying in their remedy is that taxpayers cannot pay less tax. They must pay more tax. Taxes cannot be reduced. They must be raised. That our tax system does not work because we must continually escalate the amount of money that we pluck out of the taxpayers pockets. When this Bill came around the first time, they said we need more money to the tune of a hundred and thirty-eight million dollars in Cook County. So we're going to raise the multiplier. We're going to raise the valuation of your property so we get more money. He went over to the Senate and came back, and they said, no, don't raise the valuation, raise the rate. And so, now they're raising the rate to get more money. This comes about because of a bad system in Cook County, the way they make their appropriations, the way they budget, their timing, their allotment. This money is not needed. It is a bad precedent. It is a bad Bill. It is a bad way to take tax money out of the taxpayers pockets and I think every Member here should now what they're doing when they press the button on this and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill, 2868."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think Representative



LaFleur hit the nail on the head with this Bill. What this Bill will do if it becomes law is force units of local government to raise rates instead of letting the assessment procedure take its normal course. I think it's a very bad Bill and we should not adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Any further... Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as my... Representative Lundy and Representative Berman and myself are Co-sponsors of this Bill. This is only a one shot deal, to correct a situation that exists in our district and similar other districts in Cook County. It is not setting a precedent because it is only for one year. I do not agree with my good friend, the Representative from DuPage, that this is setting a bad precedent. This is the only way our school districts are going to be able to operate for one more year. This Conference Report was gone over with Representative Walsh, Dick Walsh, who at one time, was against the original concept of the Bill and he agreed that this was the right solution. I earnestly solicit your support of this measure. It is needed. It is only a one shot deal. Please give us some 'yes' votes."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly agree with the last speaker and with the Sponsor of this Bill. This is not the Bill that came out of this House, the Conference Committee did considerable work and I think protected the taxpayer as much as he could possibly be protected under very trying circumstances. The fact that we in Cook County have quadrennial assessments has thrown things completely out of balance for this year and it may in the future, but we're going to have to address ourselves to this in the coming Sessions of the Legislature and hopefully come up with a permanent solution. But as a temporary solution, it seems to me that this is... this is ideal. The addition of a 6% markup on assessments with the protection, the built-in protection that the 1972 assessment rate or the 6% markup, whichever is greater, would be the figure. It seems to me, to protect the taxpayers adequately and to solve for the taxing"



districts and protect the schools, the other local governments in Cook County for the coming year so that they can be adequately financed. As everyone knows, they have budgeted on the basis of a higher multiplier. In some of the quadrants of Cook County and two of the quadrants of Cook County, then they would actually get and so it seems to me that this Bill is... this Bill is absolutely essential. If we don't have it, we're going to cause a chaotic situation and so, I urge you to support the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's no question but what Representative Skinner's correct when he says this problem was caused by the illegal acts of our present Governor. It also comes about because some of us who recognize what the ultimate result of the freezing of the multiplier could bring, didn't do anything about it either. And as I look back, I believe that certainly myself and perhaps others were derelict in not taking the Governor at the courts on that particular issue. Unfortunately also, this particular program, I believe, at the end of the application of it will compound the problem which already exists. However, I also see no alternative to be fair and to deal with the circumstances which exist now, particularly in the school districts in Cook County in regard to this Bill. I think we must vote for it. The amount of the taxes has already been determined by the levy. What is happening is that you're dealing with the two variables, the rate and the assessment levels. The amount of money at the end of the line will stay the same or less depending upon how the 6% rate fits in. And therefore, because it changes the rate, it is not going to change the total tax levy at the end of the line because that has already been determined. Now, we're going to compound the problem within this particular calendar year or fiscal year if we don't adopt it. However, during this par... during the next Session of the General Assembly, we're going to have to deal with the question of multipliers and the question of assessed valuation because although we have a new way to distribute state aid through the passage of House Bill-1484, that's



only half of it. The other half is equitable assessment and we are far, far from solving that problem. So, with reservations because of what I see at the end of the line on this program, I rise and ask for your support of House Bill 2868."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the angry young man from Crystal Lake has scolded the Governor and this General Assembly about the multiplier ad nauseam. It's time to recognize that the multiplier is the complicated instrumentality of government. It has to be given a little while longer to work. The Governor had no choice whatever but to freeze the multiplier because the public refused to accept its application under the facts... circumstances presented. Government depends upon the consent of the governed. It's that reality of life that properly persuaded the Governor to take the steps that he did. I'm in the unusual posture here of saying to you that the criticism of the Governor on the multiplier is totally unfounded. I am not in favor of impeaching the President and I'm not in favor of attempting to hound the Governor out of office with any unfounded attacks on the multiplier question. With the exception of his irrational attack on the Attorney General and his failure to build roads throughout the 54th District, the Governor is making an honest effort to carry out the duties of his office. We should each cease and desist in any effort whatever to push him out of office or take him to court where you would lose. Let him serve out his two years that remain in good grace. I urge all of you to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Have all... Mr. Lundy, to close."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First if I may, to correct some, I'm sure, unintentional misstatements by some of the speakers who opposed adoption of the Conference Committee Report. The Conference Committee Report solution to the temporary bind in which many Cook County taxing districts find themselves would not, I repeat, not result in an increase in their statutory rate limit. What the Bill does is for one year only for the 73 tax year... taxes collectable in



'74, it permits taxes to be extended in an amount which for that year only would exceed the statutory rate limit and even that is subject to the condition that it not, that the tax does not exceed 6% of the collections for the prior year. But the statutory rate limits are not changed at all. And they will remain in effect for future years and can be changed, in most cases, only by referendum of the people living in those tax districts. So, this is not a rate increase. What it is is a temporary measure to allow actual dollar amounts of taxes to exceed the rate limit within those narrow confines that I described earlier. That is, that they may not in any case go more than 6% above actual collections for the preceeding year. Mr. Speaker, I am frankly at a loss to know why my own Assistant Minority Leader opposes the Conference Report. It has the support of virtually all elements of the county government. At a meeting held over on the Senate side at the time the Senate Amendment was considered, the Assessor's Office was represented. The Chicago Board of Education was represented. The County Treasurer's Office had been consulted by phone and approved the Senate Amendment. When that meeting broke up, I was under the distinct impression that the present form of the Bill was acceptable to all of the major parties affected. Even the Taxpayers Federation and the Civic Federation feel that this version of the Bill is a far fairer solution to the problem than the form of the Bill when it left the House. It is... this is not a partisan matter. It's a matter of trying to find a reasonable, temporary, one year solution to a problem which was created by the late issuance of the multiplier and the unanticipated unfreezing of the multiplier. It is a matter of crucial importance to dozens of taxing districts in Cook County which stand to lose close to a hundred million dollars in total if they do not get relief. About thirty million of that would be for the Chicago Schools, almost a million for the Chicago Public Library. I don't see how we as responsible public officials can allow that to happen without making an attempt to remedy it. This Conference Committee Report represents a fair, temporary compromise between the interests of the taxing districts and the taxpayers and I urge a



favorable vote on adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2868. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, Representative Lundy is right and he's wrong. He is wrong when he says the tax rates aren't going to go up in Cook County if this passes and they sure as hell are going to go up and especially for the second quadrant. But he is right in that it doesn't make much difference whether we pass the House version or the Senate version because they're both going to result in tax bills that are about the same level. So, take your choice. Do you want to raise tax rates or tax assessments or neither?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. If this does not get 107 votes, is there automatically a Second Conference Committee?"

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished?"

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I had an inquiry. If this does not get the 107 votes, is there automatically a Second Conference Committee?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, there's no automatic provision in the rules. If the Gentleman wants to pursue a Second Conference Committee direction, then I think he would ask that the matter be placed on Postponed Consideration and if he's not able to work up enough votes, then he can always come back and ask that it be pulled from Postponed on to the order of business and then moved to not adopt and get his vote there and request a Second Conference Committee and get a Second Conference Committee that way. All right, Mr... Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Amendment that was put on. It was put on by my Senator for the specific reason of saving those areas that would be harmed by the lowering of the equalizing rate. Now, my particular area of Cook County was reassessed. And we went up 24%. Some of the other areas went up as high as fifty-some percent. Now, it's our understanding that the Governor is going to enforce



this new multiplier. This will benefit my area, but it will create havoc in some of those areas where it was not reassessed. Now, in particular the City of Chicago will be devastated by this. Their schools will be affected, their administration will be affected. If this Bill does not go through, if this compromise does not go through because the multiplier is going to be lowered. Now if the multiplier was not to be lowered, then the area that was just reassessed, they would be devastated by tremendously high taxes on a new reassessment. Now, downstate has been given this option. Several of the counties have been lowered. Now, what's fair for downstate is fair for Cook County and this is a reasonable compromise to help those areas that have been reassessed and also to help those that have not been. It's a temporary measure and I urge your support of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to put this on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, this matter will be placed on Postponed Consideration. Message from the Senate while we're..."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate. A Message, Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2753. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendment #1 of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1464. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2277 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Message from the Senate, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House

of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2404 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1346. Adopted July 1, 1974 by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1348. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1618. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2362. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2772. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2543. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1265. Adopted by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House to a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses, House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 84. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the



Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Second Conference to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to Senate Bill 1265. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1974.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2298. Action taken by the Senate July, 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Bill 2357. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if I might have the attention of the Body for just one moment. Now, Members of this House, particularly those from the Republican side of the aisle, I am not a suspicious man. But here we are in what appears to be the final day of the Session and just the other day, I heard a distinguished group from this Body wish the distinguished Minority Leader a happy birthday. And I frankly happen to be one person who is a great believer in horoscopes and so since we are in the final days of the Session and knowing the propensity of the distinguished Minority Leader to do the best he can for his side of the aisle, I picked up today's horoscope and I think the Republican Members should pay very close attention to it because Representative Choate's horoscope reads as follows. 'Your sudden switch of tactics catches the opposition off guard, giving you the advantage you sorely need.' And I think we'd better be damn careful today."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, as a point of parliamentary inquiry, I'd like to know what's different about that one?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, Ms. Martin, are you ready on your Governor now? 2362."

Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we do adopt



Conference Committee Report #2 on House Bill 2362. 23...  
Senate Bill 2362. It's for the contingency expense of the  
Governor's Office and Mansion. And I'd appreciate a favorable  
vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? Yeah, okay the  
question is shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee  
Report with respect to House Bill 2362. All those in favor will  
vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished?  
The Clerk will take the record. We have the TV lights on now  
and as I've indicated to you before, it's a problem for me to  
see lighting lights up here when we have these bright lights on  
when you are requesting recognition. That's because the recogni-  
tion color up here is white and they fade out on this cupboard,  
so if you'll just yell at me, I'll... scream at me, I'll recognize  
you. On this question there are 132 'ayes', no 'nays' and the  
House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 2362.  
All right, Mr. Beaupre is ready on 2345."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move  
that we do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on  
2345."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The question is shall  
the House not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with  
respect to Senate Bill, House Bill 2345. All those in favor will  
say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does  
not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to 23...  
House Bill 2345 and requests a Second Conference Committee. All  
right, for what purpose does Mr. Cunningham seek recognition?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, if we have no other Bills, we have a number  
2587. It's of special interest to Representative Giorgi, Katz,  
and myself and we'd be ever grateful. It'll take less than two  
minutes to finish it off."

Speaker Blair: "Right, well we've got some Senate Bills that we need  
to address ourselves to. Mr. Ron Hoffman here on these Senate  
Bills, Third Reading? He's not here. Is anybody handling those  
Park District Bills for Mr. Ron Hoffman? Mr. Madigan? Where's  
Mr. Madigan on 1272? - How about Mr. Gene Hoffman on 3997? Do you



want to try 3997, 1397? Not right now. Mr. Shea, you want to try your 1554? Wait till I get Mr. Walsh out here. Oh, he's there. Mr. Shea's going to try his franchise again. Mr. Shea. I say you're not going to try it again, right? Oh, Mr. Shea doesn't have a mike?"

Shea: "Does Mr. Philip object? Can I ask him the reason for his objection?"

Philip: "Yes, I certainly do object and I'll tell you why, Jerry. As you know, during the last Session, we passed the first Franchise Disclosure Act. We haven't even had it a year. But to have it and come in with a lot of very meaningful changes, I think it's ridiculous and it's premature. We ought to wait..."

Shea: "Hold on, will you?"

Philip: "At least get some opinions and viewpoints from the Attorney General's office and the Secretary of State's office."

Shea: "Well, you know, this was put in at the request of the Attorney General and the Secretary of State and if you don't want it called, I won't call it."

Philip: "Fine."

Shea: "I've decided to keep my commitment and not call it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, very good. We're getting some agreements on these Park District Bills here. We're going to get some Sponsors to handle them. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I've got no commitment on 1555 outside that."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, take sides. 1555."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1555. An Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was amended yesterday and the Amendment really did change the effective date. There was no, nothing else in the Amendment but changing the effective date when this Bill becomes law. This Bill was put in at the request of the Illinois Housing Development Authority. What it does is allow them to sell their notes or their bonds at a rate up to 8%. They just went out to bid on a series of Bills and their bids that they got were in excess of the 7% rate and they couldn't sell any bonds for this agency. It also allows them



to go to 10% on what they pay for short term money. I know of no opposition to the Bill and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, discussion? All right, the question is shall Senate Bill 1555 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 113 'ayes', no 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby... what? Taylor 'aye'. Is hereby declared passed. All right, now back to our park districts, 328. Handled by Mr. Tuerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 328. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm happy to handle this for Mr. Hoffman who didn't seem to be here. What this Bill does is provide for the issuance of revenue bonds for the construction of revenue producing recreation facilities. We've addressed ourselves to this point on several occasions on certain recreational facilities within park districts. I see no controversies. This Bill, I would hope for a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The question shall Senate Bill 328 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes' and 1 'nay' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay, we'll 329."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 329. An Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, apparently Representative Skinner would like to handle this one, so I'll yield to him."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Skinner's going to take 329."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, Senate Bill 329 is very adequately described in the Digest. It says that all appointed members of Park Boards must stand for election at the next regular election following appointment. As you know, Park Boards have six-year terms now so if a guy decides



to resign right after being elected, his predecessor could be in office five years without ever having gone before... gone before the people. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Skinner, isn't it true that we passed one of Representative Juckett's Bills, he's standing right here, that would make those four year terms on the park district and therefore, make it... make your Bill less needed? Has that passed the Senate, Robert? The Gentleman from Cook, informs me that it hasn't passed the Senate. If it does pass the Senate, they'll be four year terms and they... we won't have it this year, but I guess it won't pass the Senate. Now, what this Conference Committee Report says, if a Park Board Commissioner resigns and a new man is appointed then in the next biennial Park Board election, he will run for the four or two year vacancy, is that right? Not for a full six years."

Skinner: "Right."

Pierce: "So it may be if there's three years and a man resigns, a new man is appointed, he'd have to run in one election for a two year term and two years later for a six year term?"

Skinner: "That's right."

Pierce: "And who needs or wants this Bill?"

Skinner: "Excuse me?"

Pierce: "Who needs this? Does the Park District want it, Park District Board?"

Skinner: "Well, I think the people need it, frankly. It seems to me that we want, if we're going to have officials in positions that are policy-making positions, they ought to have to appear before the electorate every once in a while and it's conceivable a park district could perpetuate itself forever under this system."

Pierce: "Answer my question. Does the Illinois Park District Association have a position on the Bill, for or against or neutral?"

Skinner: "I would, you know, I believe that they are in favor of it because when I talked to Senator Fawell about Senate Bills 328, 329, and 330, he said they were a package. And certainly 328 and



330 are endorsed by the Illinois whatever it is, Park District Association. I don't know what they call the association."

Pierce: "It was Representative Ron Hoffman, the House Sponsor of this originally."

Skinner: "No, I was the House Sponsor originally."

Pierce: "But Representative Ron Hoffman didn't handle this then, huh?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Pierce: "He didn't handle it, Ron Hoffman."

Skinner: "It's a very involved story and we are working in concert on the three Bills, okay?"

Pierce: "Well, Cal, if you tell me it's a package, that's good enough for me."

Skinner: "Oh, it is?"

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "The Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

Friedland: "Cal, are all the Park District Boards appointed or, I mean, elected or are some appointed or don't we know?"

Skinner: "Well, I believe that after that, the passage of that Bill last year making the...so I think they all are elected, yes."

Friedland: "Well, like some Library Boards are elected, some appointed. I was just..."

Skinner: "No, I'm fairly sure all the Park District Boards are elected. And Fred Tuerk is suggesting that I'm correct."

Friedland: "Okay, good Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, question is shall Senate Bill 329 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 118 'ayes', no 'nays' and Senate Bill 329 is passed with a Constitutional Majority. Yeah, 330."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 330. An Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Digest describes this Bill quite adequately. What it says is that in order to establish a park district, it must have at least twenty million dollars of



assessed valuation. I think it's a good Bill. The Illinois Association of Park Districts supports this very strongly and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion on this one? Have all, okay..."

330. Oh, the question is shall the... Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "The Sponsor yield, please? Would you repeat again your explanation there?"

Tuerk: "Well, what this says is in order to establish a park district, there has to be at least twenty million dollars of assessed valuation in order to form that district."

Brinkmeier: "Well, I'd just like to point out to the downstate Members that it would seem to me that would preclude many of the small communities downstate from forming a park district if this were enacted into law."

Tuerk: "Well, the basis reason for this is to eliminate the proliferation of many park districts within a metropolitan area and actually twenty million dollars of assessed valuation is not all that great, Bob, in order to form a district and I think it's a good concept myself."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tuerk, to close."

Tuerk: "I'd just ask for your favorable support, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Blair: "The question is shall Senate Bill 330 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, in explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a former member of a Park Board myself in downstate Illinois, I think this is a good concept which would eliminate the possibility of many, many park districts being formed within an area where there could be consolidation of districts or people within an area being formed into an existing park district and I don't think the twenty million dollar figure of assessed valuation is that much of a detriment to this Bill. I'd ask for your support on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Calvo..."



Calvo: "By way of explanation of my vote, maybe the Speaker could tell us some way what the present limitation is. I guess we're increasing it to twenty million. I wondered what it was now. He may have said that, but I couldn't hear him."

Tuerk: "No, at the moment, I'm not sure I know. I don't think there is any limitation on assessed valuation, Horace, and this puts a limitation in order to eliminate that possibility of park districts being... districts springing up all over the state when actually an existing park district could take care of the needs within an area very nicely rather than a new one being formed."

Calvo: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm forced to vote 'no' on this because this would strictly eliminate any park districts down in our small, rural counties. It isn't the fact that they already have one there, but the fact that they want one there and in my local community, we have a very good park district with an assessed valuation of around six million dollars. There's no one else wanting to come into this particular place and we don't want to expand it any more, but in some of these smaller towns, we could not have a park district with a twenty million dollar valuation. It would be absolutely impossible. Therefore, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to concur with my deskmate. I served as the Recreation Director for a number of years up in Forreston, Illinois and at that time we were working, attempting to form a park district. And from the information I gathered at that point and what I know about the situation, I think this would preclude many small communities from forming a park district, and thereby, disqualifies them from getting any funds for it. So, I would certainly hope you wouldn't vote 'aye' on this particular measure."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Postponed? You want it postponed? Mr. Tuerk. Let it go? All right, on this question there were 62 'ayes' and 12 'nays'."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



and the Senate Bill 330 fails. All right, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Yeah, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1541, Day."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I think I can solve the problems with this one. I move to table the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, Senate Bill 1541 is tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1357, Schneider."

Speaker Blair: "All right, this is the appropriation for the expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Where's the Sponsor? Well, we'd better start advising these Sponsors the time is growing close when we're not going to be here and this is the appropriation for the Environmental Protection Agency. Go on to the next one."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1345, Choate. This Bill has been read a second time. Where's the Amendment? I got to have the Amendment. We're on Amendment #19."

Speaker Blair: "You want this out of the record, Mr. Choate? Okay, Mr. Choate wants the D.O.T. appropriation out of the record. Next one."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1562, Collins."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins, do you want this, 1562? Do you want 1562? Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "This Bill's been read a second time. No Committee Amendments. There's one Floor Amendment. Amendment #1, Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 1562 page 1 by striking line 16. J. Houlihan."

Speaker Blair: "Well, are you moving to reconsider the vote? Oh, where is the Amendment? Well, I don't like to have action when a Member's not here, but I will... somebody'd better notify him 'cause we're not going to hold up action because Sponsors aren't on the floor on their Amendments. McClain says he'll handle the Amendment. Mr. McClain, Amendment #1."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is a simple Amendment. It states that after the person has been presented to the Senate that the Senate shall either advise and consent or refuse to advise



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and consent the nomination within fifteen Session days of the submitting of the nominee or he is being confirmed. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, number one, of course the Amendment would gut the Bill, but number two, it's technically incorrect. It removes the period and replaces it with a comma on the wrong line, so I would say that because technical imperfections, the Amendment should be tabled."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McClain, to close. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "May I ask Representative Collins if the technical errors is the only thing he objects to because they can be corrected..."

Collins: "No, I object to the Amendment in its entirety."

Choate: "May I address myself to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "If I'm... I would like to precede my addressing the Amendment by asking the Sponsor of the Amendment, Representative McClain, a couple of questions to make sure I completely understand it. The Bill as it's drafted in its present form requires the Governor of the state to submit the names of nominees for appointment to the offices created by the Act to the Secretary of the Senate within seven days. Is that not correct, Representative Collins? Basically, what the Act does is requires the Governor of the state to submit the names of nominees for appointments to the Senate within seven days of the date of appointment. Is that correct?"

Collins: "Yes, that's correct."

Choate: "Now, let me ask Representative McClain, in as much as the Bill requires the Governor to submit the name in seven days, does your Amendment only require the Senate then to take action either in the negative or the affirmative within fifteen days while the Senate is in Session. Is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What the Amendment does is that in fifteen Session days, the Senate either has to confirm or reject and if the Senate does not do either, the person is deemed confirmed."



Choate: "That's exactly the question, answer to the question that I asked. Now, let me address myself to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, and the Bill. The Bill in its present form simply says to the Governor to submit the name of his nominee for these various positions as described by the Act within seven days after he makes his nomination, seven days. The Amendment sponsored by Representative McClain simply says to the Senate, don't be dilatory, don't be political, but get on with the job. Get on with the job and in fifteen, fifteen, more than double the length of the time that the Senate is requesting the Governor to use, in fifteen Session days. Not fifteen days, but fifteen Session days, either take affirmative or negative action as far as the confirmation is concerned. It appears to me that this is an Amendment designed to speed the legislative process. It appears to me that with the adoption of this Amendment it gives the Governor the latitude if the nominee is rejected to go out and find a replacement so that this spot will not remain vacant indefinitely. It appears to me that this Amendment is designed to speed up an orderly process of the Legislative Branch of government and I concur and I hope that a majority of Members of this House will concur in the adoption of Representative McClain's Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what the Minority Leader says sounds good on the face of it. However, I might remind the Members of the House that the Governor before he makes an appointment or a nomination has ample time to investigate the background and the qualifications of the individual that he will submit for nomination. But to ask the Senate to make that investigation within fifteen days, I think it unreasonable. How, how can we find out about what Jerome Miller was doing in Massachusetts in fifteen days and how can we find what that man, Provo, has done in Minnesota and California in fifteen days. I think it's terribly unreasonable. There are men on the floor of this House who are still attacking some of the Governor's appointees and have been trying to get to the bottom of what they have done in other states before they came to Illinois. Well, I think it's



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

terribly unreasonable for the imposition of such a limitation on the Senate of this nature, so I would ask... I would in all earnestness that we turn down this Amendment to Senate Bill 1562."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I'd like to just advise the previous speaker that fifteen days to investigate Mr. Miller is one heck of a lot more time than he attempted to give us to read a forty page Bill."

Collins: "No, sir. I gave you three years."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in watching my friend, Representative Collins, the last few days, I am certain that he has been taking acting lessons and will no longer oppose the Illinois Arts Council appropriation."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor of the adoption, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. 'Nos' have it. All right, all those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, Representative Collins has confused the facts. It's not fifteen days, it's fifteen Legislative Days. And as you know, Representative, we don't go in Session the first fifteen days in January or February. The Senate will have ample time and the cameras aren't going, so you don't have to start pointing at me. It's ample time. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 56 'nays' and 74 'yeas' and the Amendment #1 is adopted. Grotberg 'aye'. Jaffe 'aye'. Mr. Collins. Third Reading. Read it a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1562. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, now that Representative McClain has attached his very fine Amendment as described by Representative Choate, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All those... the question is shall Senate Bill... Mr. Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to speak on the Amendment before.

It just makes a bad Bill more palatable, but it's still a bad Bill and I just remember when this Bill was heard in the Executive Committee. And what we're trying to do is make certain restrictions as far as the Governor of this State of Illinois and his appointments and the amount of time that they're supposed to be submitted to the Senate, the amount of time that they have to be confirmed and I don't remember that this type of legislation was introduced when Governor Ogilvie had his various department heads listed in different titles so they would not have to go through the confirmation process even though they had control of the Senate on a very, very close margin. I think this is strictly a political Bill. It's trying to hamstring the Governor of this State of Illinois and the people that he's trying to appoint into his cabinet. I would ask that this Bill even with the Amendment, be defeated. It's still a bad Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, to close."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with Representative Lechowicz that this Bill should have been introduced in Governor Ogilvie's administration. As a matter of fact, I'll go even further. This Bill should have been introduced to the administration of Shadrack Bond. It is legislation that is long overdue and it's reasonable and I agree with him. Governors, for... since the... for time immemorial have been able to make appointments and then, and then hide behind that language in the law saying that they would serve until their... successors are named and qualified. So, I don't think it unreasonable and the fact that this is this administration is not the issue. I think that it should have been introduced long ago. I think it only reasonable to say that people can operate in temporary positions only for a set period of time and I don't think that there's anything unreasonable about that at all. Nominees of the Governor are nominated for two years and they serve for two years and then should be resubmitted and that was the intention of the law. And the language that would let them serve until their successors are qualified is language that would insure the orderly conduct of



government. However, I don't think that anyone should go out to hide behind such language in the law and I think it's very reasonable to say that a Governor should resubmit or submit a successor nominee within 180 days. That is six months. I think that is ample time to either find a successor or go back to the Senate and ask for reconfirmation. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Choate: "To explain how I'm going to vote on this Bill. I believe it was Emerson, I'm not entirely correct on that, that one time said, 'Consistency is the hobgoblin of little minds'. In as much as the Amendment was put on a bad Bill, I'm going to vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1562 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. All right, Senate, Third. Have all voted who wished? What are we on, Second or Third? Have all voted who wished? Phil. Have all voted who wished? Want to you explain your vote, Representative Collins?"

Collins: "Oh, I don't think so. I would just comment that Senator Ozinga and I make a heck of a combination."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Okay, on this question there are 74 'ayes', 35 'nays', 32 answering 'present'. This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Is... Representative Schneider, do you want your Bill called? Glenn, Glenn, you want your Bill called, 1357? No? Okay. 1345, no? 1357. What is that? Let's go to... George... Okay, we're going to distribute the Supplemental Calendar #1 now and then we'll start calling Bills from that Calendar. Representative Ryan, do you wish to have... Representative Ryan, do you wish to have 1669 called? No? Let's go to Postponed Consideration. On the order of Postponed Consideration appears Senate Bill 1552 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, is recognized."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like at this time to move House, Senate Bill 1552 back to Second Reading for



the purpose of putting on an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Any objection? Hearing none, we'll return Senate Bill 1552 to Second. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1552 on page 1, line 25 and so forth."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1 to this Bill reduces the amount of interest paid for late filing from two and a half percent a month to one and a half percent a month and, therefore, brings it into extended purview and the area that most of the opponents had to this Bill at the time we brought it forth for hearing the other day and I ask for your favorable consideration and move to adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "What percent is that now, Sam?"

Maragos: "One and a half percent, instead of two and a half percent a month."

Leinenweber: "That's eighteen per calendar year."

Maragos: "That's right."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted, Further Amendments. Third Reading. The Bill's been read a third time. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we have spoken on this Bill thoroughly and most of the objectors to this Bill on its original hearing, now have been mollified and understand that this is a more reasonable approach to close the door in Illinois delinquent taxpayers and I move for its adoption and passage."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, particularly my friends on the other side of the aisle, you may remember that when the time this Bill was originally presented, I had serious objection as to its input in terms of the small merchants. Since then, the Revenue Department under the direction of Sam Maragos



has seen fit to offer an Amendment which we accepted today which decreases the interest rate from two and a half percent to one and a half percent. In my mind, friends, this leaves still enough teeth to the Department of Revenue to insure collection and at the same time, does not seriously injure us. Now in as much as I had opposition to this Bill originally and in as much as I feel it's fulfilled our mission, I recommend very strongly and seriously that we pass it with the necessary 107 votes. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of those laws that you... when you take a good, hard look at it, you can't understand why it wasn't adopted a long time ago. It is, indeed, true as the Sponsor said that when this Bill was called the other night that it received a great deal of flack from many of the Members of this House. I think that came about as a result of a misunderstanding of what... as the result of what's contained in the Digest. We were talking about and it appeared from reading the Digest that we were talking about imposing a 25% penalty for those who had not paid their taxes. The truth of the matter is and as this Bill has been amended to clean up that sort of language, the truth of the matter is that what we're talking about doing is imposing the same kind of sanctions on those who do not pay their income tax as the federal government does. This Bill, as now amended, I think, is a good Bill and the kind of thing that each and every one of us can accept and the kind of tax laws that we should have and should have had in the State of Illinois. This Bill will propose... as drafted, creates a one half percent penalty for not filing on time and from that point on, those who choose to not pay their taxes, those who choose to cheat on the Department of Revenue and on the rest of the taxpayers in this state will have to pay an interest rate which is commensurate with the going interest rate in the marketplace. It seems to me that that's the only way that we can get people to pay delinquent taxes. The facts are that there are some three percent of those who file income tax returns who now refuse to pay the taxes, assuming to take in rather than borrowing the money



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to do so, that they can do better by not paying the taxes and incurring the interest penalty that is now in existence. This is a necessary Bill to encourage income taxpayers to submit their payments to the Department of Revenue and the indication is that some hundred and twenty-seven thousand returns are not paid on time and I would suggest that we do something about that. And I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos wish to close?"

Maragos: "I ask for the favorable passage, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1552 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Gentlemen, in explaining my vote, I want you to make sure you're voting another tax penalty. The State of Illinois has many methods and many ways to collect its taxes. Think very seriously, 'Is this penalty needed?', before you cast your 'yes' vote. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in as much as I originally took serious objection to this Bill, I think I'm in a position to answer the last Gentleman. And, of course, the Department of Revenue must have teeth with which to collect taxes due the State of Illinois. My concern was that the teeth were too great, that the injury was too great, but with the addition of this Amendment, I am satisfied that I am not injured as a merchant, nor will others be and I recommend we get those 107 up there."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 100 'ayes', 16 'nays', 8 answering 'present'. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Could I have the Roll Call of the absentees, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's asked for a poll of the absentees. Ebbesen 'no'. Representative Schisler 'aye'. Jim Holloway 'aye'. Let's get a few more on then, Jack. Ben Polk 'aye'. Clabaugh 'aye'. Dee 'aye'. McAvoy 'aye'. Wall 'aye'. Harpstrite 'no', emphatically. Geo-Karis 'present'. Now, what do you have?"



Kriegsman 'present'. Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I suppose I might be too late to explain my vote but there were some statements made in explanation of vote that I consider to be incorrect. It seems to me that this is not a raise in anyone's taxes. The honest person, the person that goes ahead and pays their taxes when they're due are not penalized. It's only the person that uses the state's money to loan out or whatever you want to do with it to make more money to... for himself and pay a low rate of tax, of interest to the state that benefits. And it seems to me that this is a very, very, very good Bill for all of the taxpayers who pay their taxes on time and I would certainly hope that two or three more people would get on green and help out on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Ryan 'aye'. Tuerk 'aye'. Tuerk 'aye'. Geo-Karis from 'present' to 'aye'. What do you have, Jack? On this question 110 'ayes', 17 'nays', none answering 'present'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed with an immediate effective date upon becoming law, constitutional three-fifths majority. Jack, you want to take another run at your... Jack Lauer, Lauer, you want to take another run? You want to take another run? No? Clyde, are you okaying the Supplemental Calendar? Jack, ask Clyde, are you okay for me to start on this Supplemental #1 Calendar? Have you looked that over yet? Can I call it now? Okay, on Supplemental House Calendar #1, July 2, appears on Concurrence motion, House Bill 2277 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate put seven Amendments on this Bill I'm not happy with and I wish to nonconcur with the Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 to House Bill 2277."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion?... You wish to have a Roll Call, sir? The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendments



1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to House Bill 2277. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. House does not concur with Senate Amendments 1 through 7 to House Bill 2277. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2402 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan, is recognized."

J. Houlihan: "I share Representative Shea's distaste for what the Senate has done to 2402 and would move to nonconcur in Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8..."

J. Houlihan: "The Attorney General's distaste..."

Speaker Telcser: "...to House Bill 2402. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Wish a Roll Call? The House does not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 to House Bill 2402. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2348 for which purpose the Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle, is recognized."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to pass this at this time and just hold it. Take it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Jack, you want 2354, Beaupre? Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise? All right, Representative Beaupre, I guess this is an error and this is not your Bill. Jack, 2354 is not Representative Beaupre's. Oh, it's Giorgi's. You ready? Okay. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2354 for which purpose the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, is recognized."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in regards to a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2354, I move we do not adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2354, the Department of Labor and Industrial Commission budget."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2354. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to 2354. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2361 for which purpose the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler,



is recognized."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to... not to adopt the First Conference Report on House Bill 2361."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The moves the House does not adopt the Conference Report with respect to House Bill 2361. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2361. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2367 for which purpose the Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword, is recognized."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move that we nonconcur with First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2367 and a Second Conference Committee be requested."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2367. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. House does not adopt that Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2367. Is Fred there? Yeah. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2772 for which purpose the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, is recognized."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2772 is now in the same shape as Senate Bill to 1618. That is, the Conference Report on both of these Bills are the same and so, anything that I have to say in explaining these two Bills will apply equally to both of them. The Conference Committee Report is signed by all Members of the Conference Committee. It represents a compromise solution between the House Bill and the Senate Bill on the downstate mass transit program. It establishes the Downstate Mass Transit Fund and provides a standard procedure for computing the deficits of the various systems which are eligible for grants under this program. It provides for a two to one match which again is a compromise between the House version of the Bill and the Senate version. It provides a compromise for the complex problems concerning the bi-state. It includes provisions for the county demonstration project which you will recall, were



incorporated into the House Bill by Representative Fennessey's Amendment before it went to the Senate. So that, that project, that program is incorporated into this Bill. The Bill was actually put together by the staff members from both sides of the House and from representatives of D.O.T. The Senate has adopted the Conference Committee Reports on both of these Bills by substantial margins and I would move for the adoption at this time of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2772. And I would then, immediately afterwards, like to move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1618. And if there are any questions concerning, I'd be glad to answer them."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor could tell me whether it's in this Bill or the next Bill that we are taking... which is the money Bill? Is it the next Bill?"

Day: "Neither of these Bills is the money Bill. Most of these... we have only one project now. Both of the Conference Committee Reports are the same. They are identical."

Skinner: "All right, well, let me ask the question on the general subject, if I may, and that is how much money is going to be going to the downstate transportation program and where is that money coming from?"

Day: "The total amount of the appropriation will be about 7.8 million and the money will come from the Downstate Mass Transit Fund which is created by the Bill."

Skinner: "Now, where will the money come from that will go into the Downstate Mass Transit Fund?"

Day: "The same place that is provided in the original Bill, it will be measured by one thirty-second of the sales tax collected in each Mass Transit District where they have districts or one thirty-second from the municipalities which operate Municipal Transit Districts."

Skinner: "All right, is there a provision for any money to go to county governments-if the county is...wished to create a Mass Transit District?"



Day: "Yes."

Skinner: "And then it would be one thirty-second of all the money..."

Day: "No, no, no, no. No, that's limited to a million dollars for the county demonstration project and they must qualify, they must qualify as a demonstration project by meeting the standards set forth by the Department of Transportation, as specified in the Bill."

Skinner: "What if a county has a municipality within it that has a Mass Transit District or is running buses in one way or another and the county also wishes to run buses, say, between two municipalities with another mass... each having Mass Transit Districts or each having bus service. How would they go about that?"

Day: "Well, they would come up with a program which they would have to submit to D.O.T. to determine the feasibility of it. There would be nothing automatic about it. It would have to be supported by a feasibility study and one of the qualifications would be in there that it would not be a competing or inconsistent program with any other program that would be subsidized by this, this Bill."

Skinner: "The money that is going to go for this program, then, is going to be diverted from the General Fund of the State of Illinois, not from the Road Fund, right?"

Day: "Right."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close?"

Day: "No, that's about all except to say that the Bill is a compromise between the House and the Senate position on this matter. There's been many, many long hours by staff members put into it as well as the Conference Committee Members. And I would urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2772. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Fred, you want to vote me 'aye'? Let's hit those switches if you're going to. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer. Romie Palmer."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I not only concur with the Sponsor of this Bill, but I should like to point out to the Members here that this is one of the last few, I suppose, that he will be able to handle in this Legislature after many years of distinguished service. I think that the people of Peoria County and the district are to be congratulated for the four terms that he has served down here with great distinction. It's certainly been my pleasure to have served with him and to have learned from him and I, for one, want to make these remarks, feeling that with all of the distinguished service that this Gentleman has given, Representative Day, that we would do well to do just exactly what's on the board now. And the count looks real good and thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 7 'nays', none answering 'present' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2772. All right, Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Day: "Is this a combined vote for Senate Bill 1618 also, they are the same? Then, I would like to call that, too."

Speaker Telcser: "I'll call it next, sir. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1618 for which purpose the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, is recognized."

Day: "Yes, as I mentioned before, Senate Bill 1618, the Conference Committee Report is exactly the same as 2772. We're going to give the Governor his choice as to which Bill he wants to sign, but they are the same. The Reports are identical."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there... is there leave to use this Roll Call? Okay, the Clerk make a duplicate copy of the Roll Call on 2772 and on this question there are 122 'ayes', 7 'nays', none answering 'present' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1618. Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I think that too often we lose sight of the fact that we have some very, very dedicated staff people working on both sides of the rotunda and I can tell you that this Bill was



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

really put together as a result of many, many hours of work by Fred Schoenfeld, Transportation Study Commission; Carolina Hansen, Senator Partee's staff; Tom Easterly and Tom Corcoran of the Senate staff; and Jim Wesolowski and Joby Berman of D.O.T. These people worked for hours and hours to work out the details of this Bill and just did a magnificent job and I think I would be remiss if we didn't throw them a little verbal bouquet at this time. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Getty on the floor? Mike Getty. All right, leave it there, George. He'll be right up. Representative Douglas has an item on the Speaker's Table, Senate Joint Resolution 65. You want them to get that out of the way now? Yesterday, we couldn't get enough votes for it. On the Speaker's Table appears Senate Joint Resolution 65 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas, is recognized."

Douglas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Senate Joint Resolution which, as I understand it, has now been amended to take care of a few concerns that were expressed in Committee... is a statement that would encourage various state agencies to deal with the problem of encouraging the widespread distribution of medical practitioners in Illinois. It's a statement which, in its present form, I think serves the needs of the state very well and the concerns of those who have added the Amendment and I'd be happy to answer any questions. Otherwise, I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, there's an Amendment to this Resolution, isn't there, Bruce?"

Douglas: "Yes, the Amendment... is Representative Barnes on the floor? No. The Amendment was proposed, I believe, by Representative Dunn. Now, I move, Mr. Speaker, that Amendment #1 be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 65. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Has this cleared a Committee or anything, Bruce?"

Douglas: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Just a policy statement."



Douglas: "Just a statement of..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman moves the House do adopt Senate Joint Resolution 65. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Larry DiPrima here? Larry, you want your Memorial Day thing? Senate Joint Resolution 79, is that a matter we should take up now or... I guess he doesn't want it. House Bills, Third Reading. Who wants to give their House Bill a whirl? Representative J.J. Wolf, House Bills, Second Reading. J.J. Wolf. That's a little hint about how long we're going to be here. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Wolf: "When do we get to the introduction of Bills, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Very shortly. Introduction of First Reading of the House. You got a motion? Okay, all right, Harold, you'd better pay attention to this little piece of action. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I lost the copy of my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "You going to read a motion, Jack?"

Beaupre: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Would the Clerk read it?"

Clerk O'Brien: "As one who voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the House refused to suspend Rule 41 to consider and adopt immediately Senate Joint Resolution 79. Jack Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know, I was very much in favor of changing the date of Memorial Day along with Representative Katz and Representative Dyer and spoke on it several times. I guess, as a force of habit, I ended up voting against Larry DiPrima's Resolution which would memorialize Congress to change the date back to the 30th. And I think he has a good Resolution. I know what many of us were off the floor at the time and I would, at this time, move that we reconsider that proposition as he proposed it and would yield to Mr. DiPrima to speak on the matter."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative DiPrima here for you to yield to?"

DiPrima: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, all this is is a Senate Joint



Resolution asking Congress to bring Memorial Day back to May the 30th and Veteran's Day back to November the 11th. That's all it is, just a simple Resolution similar to the one that Ray Hudson passed a House Resolution on. This is the Senate Joint Resolution. Now, let's get 107 votes up there and send it on its way. This way, we can put the pressure on Congress."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman... Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is not a simple Resolution. If this went to Congress and Congress would listen to it, I would like to point out to you that there would be thousands upon thousands of employees in the State of Illinois that would lose, somehow, a day's pay. Now, if the holiday would fall on a Saturday or a Sunday, there are many workers in the State of Illinois that would not receive holiday pay. If it stays on a Monday, they would get a three day holiday and they would receive that holiday pay. I'm not in favor of moving it the way the federal law is today and this is one of the prime reasons. It seems to me that the area of three days for a family together certainly is honoring the veterans of the wars that we have fought in the United States of America, for the United States of America. And it seems keeping the family together for those three days is vitally important as far as I'm concerned and I'd appreciate very much a vote against this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to say, I think, this is where this thing all started and I think Representative DiPrima's trying to put it back just to where it started. Let Congress do what it's supposed to do and let them do the job that they were elected to do. They made it, let them change it, let them put it back where it belongs."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, in supporting House Resolution... House Joint Resolution 79, let me say that holidays are fool's days. Memorial Day was never a day to be made a holiday. It was to be made just for what it says - Memorial Day. This making it Monday only makes



it another holiday which makes it a fool's day for people to get killed and hurt and so forth on the highways when we should be respecting our dead at Memorial Day. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell. Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to have a friendly, kind word for the Sponsor of this, the House Sponsor of this Senate Joint Resolution. I have no objection whatsoever to memorializing Congress to do this if the Sponsor would accept a very simply Amendment. If during the years we're waiting for the other 49 states to get in step with Illinois, if we could put Illinois in conformity with the federal law and the practice in other states while we're waiting for Congress to do that, I would be delighted to vote for your Resolution. Would the Sponsor be willing to accept this Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mrs. Dyer, now, I'm going to take this thing up with the Veteran Organization at their state conventions and I'll be able to come back and report in November as to what their feelings in this respect is, okay? But in the meantime, let's get this Resolution out. All right?"

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, explaining my position on the Gentleman's Resolution and being aware of the time limitations which prevent us at this moment going into House Joint Resolution 112 that I have filed, it does seem to me very appropriate that since the way things are going we will still be talking on July 4th, I have filed in House Joint Resolution 112, a proposal that we create a second Independence Day so that all of us will be able to spend Monday, July 8, with our family since we will undoubtedly still be talking here on the other Independence Day. And it does seem to me only fair that since in our infinite wisdom, we believe in foisting upon the citizens, two Memorial Days and two Armistice Days, should we not also have two Independence Days so that those of us in the General Assembly will be able to spend at least the second Memorial Day, July 8th, that would be proclaimed under House Joint Resolution 112 as the second Memorial Day to enjoy with our families. And so,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I would hope that in voting on this Resolution that you would bear in mind the infinite wisdom of House Joint Resolution 112 that breathlessly waits to be presented till such time as the Speaker might be able to have time to call it for hearing."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was most interested in what Representative Katz just had to say and I think, I'm quite sure... that he made his comments with a certain amount of tongue in cheek and perhaps his proposal makes about as much sense as the situation we find ourselves in at the moment with a few holidays. We can agree there should be a single holiday, but I am amazed at how well we got along for over a hundred years in this country, starting back in 1868, I believe it was, and how well we did celebrating Memorial Day on the traditional Thursday. It didn't seem to dislocate families. It didn't seem to be the cause of any great consternation until Congress in its infinite lack of wisdom in 1968 came up with what is known as the Monday Holiday Law. That's where this all started. They should have kept their cans out of this business to begin with, but yielding to pressure, they passed the Monday Holiday Law. And in so doing, they changed, in my mind, in my opinion, they changed what had been a rather solemn, commemorative day, a day in which we remembered those men who had given their lives into just another holiday. Hence, comes the name - the Monday Holiday Law. And it makes it just another floating Monday, so we can go out and we can travel farther, we can burn up more gasoline, we can lose more lives on the highway and so forth and in my humble opinion, it utterly destroyed and will destroy the true sense of the meaning of this day. And there are only three or four days in our nation's observance that really when you come down to it, amounts to a solemn thing. Veteran's Day is one, Memorial Day is another, there are a couple of others that have remained rather fixed points, almost as the pole stars in our national tradition in history. I know that this is a tradition, no less, now speaking, but it seems to me that we need and it seems to me in this country, there should be room for tradition



and tradition's worth preserving. Maybe that's all it is, I don't know; but I don't think it's going to hurt us to get back to the observance of these days on the days in which they were traditionally observed. And hence, keep the true and the real meaning of this particular day. I don't think it's going to hurt us and I don't think, though, that we're going to urge Congress to do, to get off its dead center and get its hands out of this thing by yielding to its pressure. Let me close by reminding that as to Veteran's Day, there are now over thirty states that have voted to go back to November 11 and observe that date on the traditional day. And the same thing, I believe, can happen with Memorial Day if we stick to our guns, don't buckle under to all of the pressure from all the various sources, the media and elsewhere, and yield to this congressional pressure which after all, was only intended, only intended for the District of Columbia and federal employees in the first place. We're under no obligation. It's not going to take away a holiday or pay for if this day falls on a Friday or on a Saturday, it can be ob...  
(microphone turned off)"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those... favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima, to close."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, as I said before, this is only a Resolution asking Congress to have them revert back to May 30th for Memorial Day which is a sacred day in the eyes of the veterans and Veteran's Day back to November the 11th where these dates properly belong. These are sacred days, these aren't days for having fun and what have you, so I wish you'd give me your support and get 107 votes up there. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "In explaining my vote, on the morning of December the 26th, 1944, the Fourth Armored Division in the snow, assembled on our lawn and the beginning of advance, that sometimes it's less than a mile wide from the trenches of Bastone. It was early in the



morning and you could see the flickering light. It looked like lightening but it wasn't. It was the continuous shellfire falling on Bastone. The Hundred and Third and Tenth Armored and other units of our army were besieged. We beat our way through there against machine-gun fire, tanks at a little town called, 'Armon-Tresaille', well, I haven't got time to go into all that. I'd be cut off, but we pushed our way through to Bastone which we reached at eight o'clock that night and we left a trail of dead and burning tanks and burning men for fourteen miles. Now, go ahead. Vote for commercialism, vote for the...(unintelligible)..., vote for the freedom of...(unintelligible)...that just want to have a good time and play and think of nothing of the dead. Go ahead and vote for it. (unintelligible)...burning tanks and the burning men, burning alive in their tanks, you know. Remember some of that kind of thing or the charge of the Confederate Army at Gettysburg and I'm from the north and they're from the south but they were Americans and brave. Forget Pickett's Charge and what it stands for and what happened in all the battles of the Civil War - Antietam, and Gettysburg and all the rest of the terrible battles, the battles of the wilderness. Go ahead, forget it."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the Sponsor of the Amendment that he will make an effort next... in explaining my vote, I believe he will make an effort and I trust he will keep his word to make an effort, Mr. DiPrima... Mr. DiPrima, that you will make an effort to take Mrs. Dyer's suggestion to the American Legion's convention 'cause I'm holding in my hands here, a memo from the Superintendent of Unit District of Waukegan Public Schools and it tells me this. There was a 20% absence on Monday, May 27, 1974 as compared to 7.23 absence presented from the students. He says, 'The practice the Illinois celebrating Memorial Day on May 30 is a very costly practice, not only in dollars, but in attendance of people from classrooms. Your help in getting the date changed to Monday as was done prior to this year would be appreciated.' Because I believe in Mr. DiPrima,



I am going to vote 'yes' on this Resolution, but I do it with a heavy heart and I hope that he will make an effort to change it so we could have some common sense about it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Clabaugh. Mr. Dee."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker, thank you for recognizing me. I've been wanting to talk on this because this is a, this is a matter that I feel very strongly on, very deeply with. This is something that costs us nothing but expressing our feelings and our hopes to the Federal Congress, to Mr. Hill, I certainly have no objections to another paid holiday. If it was a bank holiday, I'd certainly vote for it. But when you talk about memorializing our dead, our war dead, the ones who we served with and commemorating it, I feel that this is essential to continue the patriotism and the devotion in our children to the principle of dedication to the last moment, dedication to our country and to our... the Republic for which it stands and I certainly hope that memorializing Congress is not going to in any way, dedicate you or anyone else here to anything but the observance of patriotism and the rebuilding of our faith in fighting for one's country. When it comes to the supreme sacrifice, our country and our people should certainly dedicate themselves to certainly recognize what these men and women have done in all the wars of our country. And I would plead with you and urge you to give us the other four votes necessary to put this in the House of Congress. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, let's... why don't we get this thing straightened out. This is a motion to reconsider and it only takes a simple majority of those voting on the issue and you all have been talking out there for hours on this. We've got to get to the main motion sometime. Could we take this out and get it reconsidered? All those... voted? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes' and 7 'nays' and Mr. Beaupre's motion to reconsider the vote by which Senate Joint Resolution 79 failed to be adopted passes. Now, all right now, we're on the main motion which is that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 79. All those in favor will... All right, now, the... the question is shall the House suspend Rule 41 so that it may consider



the immediate adoption of S.J.R. 79. Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? No objection, then we'll use the... all right, all those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. All right now, this one... this one is to suspend the rule and this does take 107. Barnes 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? All right, the Clerk will take the record. On this question 112 'ayes', 7 'nays' and 10 'present' and the Gentleman's motion to suspend Rule 41 fails. Now... passes, prevails. Now, the question is the adoption of S.J.R. 79. The Gentleman moves that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 79. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed will vote 'no' as soon as we open the switches. And all this takes is a simple majority for adoption. No? We're not voting on it yet. Now, this is the main motion on the adoption of the Resolution. This takes a simple majority of those voting on the issue. Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think at this time, we ought to take note of what is happening. We will be going out of Session very soon and in our bowing and scraping to each other and in our deference to each other, we have left, in effect, a situation wherefore the holidays in the coming year of Memorial Day and also Armistice Day, we will continue to have that wonderful situation where the children will celebrate one holiday and the parents will be working that day. And then the parents will have another holiday in which the children will be working. I have listened in vain for some statement as to the rationality of such a system under which families are separated by action or inaction of this General Assembly. And all I've heard is that I gather that there will be some convention that will be taking place that will explain why this General Assembly did not adopt the very fair proposal that we make it so that if these Gentleman can persuade Congress that we would automatically change and to make the Memorial Day of the 30th, a holiday of a commemorative nature where children might be in school and learn about the really wonderful things involving the veterans which I very much love and admire as much as some of the other Gentleman here who vote differently. Well, all I can say is, we



have gone 80% and 85% and in our efforts to try to avoid this ridiculous situation, but it has been totally in vain. The General Assembly has legislated, not with regard to the interests of the people of Illinois as a group, but only with regard to a small organized and vocal group that, in my opinion, does not only not speak for the people of the State of Illinois, but does not anymore on this issue speak for the views of the veterans of Illinois whose interests are precisely the same...(microphone turned off)".

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, the question of a split holiday does not depend upon us. It is not whether we act or don't act. It's whether the establishment of this state decides to follow the political leadership or whether the political leadership decides to follow the establishment. Now, there would be very minimum problems with Memorial Day if big business and big labor in this state would decide to celebrate Memorial Day on May 30th instead of on Monday. Now, the Federal Government has a lot of holidays and I think if you will reflect back, when the Federal Government has a holiday and we don't, the only thing you notice is that the mail doesn't get delivered. You don't have total disruption of the state. Now, there are a lot of people standing up here saying, 'We've got to do what the people want us to do'. We have to put that holiday on Monday. Well, I think in a poll which gave the people both choices unlike Chicago Today's poll which only said do you want the Monday holiday and 60% of the people replying to my poll which I consider a fairly random poll, said they wanted the holiday to be on May 30th. Now, virtually all of them want it to be the same day and with this action today, the establishment of this state has a choice of disrupting the state again next year or they have the choice of following the political leadership of this state. And I trust they do the latter."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 109 'ayes', 11 'nays', and the House adopts Senate Joint Resolution 79. Is Mr. Boyle here? Well, we'll



go to Mr. Getty, then, on his H.B. 2347. Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2347 was called a while ago, it was apparent that there were only five signatures on it. The Senate version, however, contained six signatures. Apparently through error, the sixth signer of the Conference Committee Report did not affix his signature to this Report that was filed in the House. That situation has now been corrected. The sixth signature appears on the original and I would now move that the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2347."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's motion is the House do not adopt the Conference... First Conference Committee Report respecting House Bill 2347 and that we have a Second Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman's motion prevails. Mr. Taylor, are you ready on 2405? Mr. Boyle. Somebody on that side going to handle the appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Conservation? We'd better get somebody on that. Mr. Barry. It's a First Conference Committee Report on Conservation. So we understand where we're going, more than half of the Bills that were in First Conference Committee are now in Second Conference Committee. And it is the Chair's intention to in the very near future to be going to Second Conference Committee Reports on final action on all of these Bills today. So, anyone that has Bills that they want to have considered before we adjourn this evening, had better get them called. There are... they are... there... those Second Conference Committee Reports are being finished for all the rest of them and in the very near future, I expect to be going down about thirty or thirty-five Conference Committee Reports, Second Reading, which will be final action. Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, would you be able to give us some idea of whether or not we're going to be in Session tomorrow?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, it's the Chair's intention to stay in continuous Sessions from now until we adjourn. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary."



Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Bill 2351. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded to the request of the House of Representative for a Second Conference on House Bill 2355, 2358, 2360, 2364, 2365, 2416; Senate Bills 1261, Senate Bill 1273, Senate Bill 1346. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1383 and request a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2345. Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee on House Bill 2347. Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2405. Senate requests a Second Conference. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1559. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Back to the filing of these Senate Reports, as I understand it, the Senate has said in one of those that they want a Conference Committee on House Bill 2405 which is the appropriation for... one of the appropriations for state government and I don't



believe that the, the 2405's been called here. Is that on a Conference Committee here and that was the first one, maybe I misunderstood it? I'm sorry."

Clerk Selcke: "On 2405, the Message is, 'I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2405'."

Shea: "All right, that hasn't been called here yet is what I'm getting at."

Speaker Blair: "No, it's been called three times."

Shea: "But, I mean, it hasn't been heard."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Taylor kept asking me to hold it out of the record."

Shea: "That's all I wanted to know. I'm sorry, I misunderstood the Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments 1, 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1322. Action, concurred in by the Senate July 1, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution #74. Concurred in by the Senate July 1, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1500. Adopted by the Senate July 2, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Keller on the appropriation for the Bureau of the Budget. Somebody going to handle that for Mr. Keller, appropriation for the Bureau of the Budget? We're on concurrence there. Bureau of the Budget appropriation, somebody going to call it? All right, Mr. Boyle's back now, on the appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Conservation. Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been trying to get a hold of Bill Foster from the Department of Conservation. I understand that he's over here. Could I just take this out of the record and have about five more minutes to talk to him?"



Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Boyle, we'll take it out for five minutes. Let's see, Mr. Schneider, are you ready on the appropriation for the expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency? Where's Mr. Schneider? We going to have that agency operating next year or not? Where's Mr. Schneider? We're prepared to vote on that. Can we send somebody to find Mr. Schneider so we can vote on these appropriations? Yeah... All right, would the Doorkeeper please find Mr. Schneider and bring him to the floor so we can move on to these important appropriation matters for the operation of state government in Illinois? Would the Doorkeeper see if he can find Mr. Schneider? All right, Mr. Holloway, Mr. Bob Holloway, on 1670. Mr. Holloway."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill... Second Reading, 1670."

B. Holloway: "Mr. Speaker..."

Clerk Selcke: "This Bill's been read a second time. We got any... no Committee Amendments. We had floor Amendments."

B. Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, I move that Senate Bill 1670 lay on the table."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to have 1670 tabled. No objection, it'll be tabled. Mr. Gene Hoffman, how about on Senate Bill, Third, your... Gene, back there? Can we have a Doorkeeper see if he can... is Mr. Keller back there yet? Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Keller is eating lunch, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, when he finishes, would you send a message to him that..."

Stone: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "...that the Chair would like to move with respect to his concurrence on the appropriation for the Bureau of the Budget."

Stone: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Mr. Boyle now ready?"

Boyle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if you'll call the First Conference Report on House Bill 2348."

Speaker Blair: "It's called."

Boyle: "All right, then I'll move, Mr. Speaker, that the House do not adopt this Report."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt the



Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2348. All those in favor say 'no', 'aye', all those in favor say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2348 and request a Conference Committee. Well, Mr. Day, do you want to take a shot at your House Resolution 880? Want to let it go down? All right, now on House Bill 2868, the Chair... Conference Committee Report, the Chair recognizes Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Earlier this morning, the House refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on this Bill, so I would like to move at this time that the House not adopt the Conference Committee Report and request the appointment of a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to 2348. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and there'll be a Second Conference Committee. Mr. Taylor, on that 2405? That's the only... that's the only Conference Committee Report on which we have not taken action. The Senate has acted and they did not concur in the Conference Committee Report. Okay. For what purpose does Mr. Shea stand?"

Shea: "With regards to House Bill 2868, what was the action on that? Was there a move to nonconcur and ask for a Second Conference Committee?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does Mr. Maragos rise?"

Maragos: "I was wondering if leave would be given to go on Motions while we're waiting for other business to take care of."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, just a minute. We'll get to them. I'm finishing... Where we are now is just about everything on the Calendar, cleaned off and they're waiting for Second Conference Committee Reports for final action, one way or the other. Mr. Taylor, with respect to the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2405, moves that the House do not concur in the adoption of that Conference Committee Report and request a Second Conference Committee be



appointed. Is that right, Mr. Taylor?"

Taylor: "That's very true, Mr. Speaker. But first, I'd like for you to note that I have not been invited to the First Conference Committee. And therefore, I did not want to adopt anything until I am invited and be able to participate in what is going on, regardless to whether I accept or do not accept what their action might be. Thank you, sir."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, sir. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to 2405. and request a Second Conference Committee. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, rise?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I wish you would give me your attention because as far as I'm concerned, this is unprecedented. At least in my tenure, I don't know when something of this nature has happened, but I'm going to make a suggestion that is in good faith with this Legislature and certainly is in good faith with its membership and certainly in good faith to attempt to resolve at least some of the stumbling blocks that we are confronted with here in the closing hours. And I'm talking about the Department of Transportation's request for a budget. Now, there is, as far as I'm concerned, I said on the floor of this House the other night, much merit, much merit to most of the Amendments that were suggested and offered and adopted in the House Committee when they considered this. But there is also a wide variety of disagreement between the House action, Committee action, that is, and the Senate action. There is a wide area of disagreement between many individual Members who did not have a chance to participate as far as the Committee action is concerned. As the Chief Sponsor of that Bill in the House, I would at this time, like to make a suggestion. That we set aside a large Committee hearing room such as 400 and 5 or 212 or whatever the case might be, so that once and for all we can dispel as far as any of the media or anyone else is concerned and Members, if they hazard that thought, that there might be meetings going on in back rooms. That we have an open hearing,



invite each and every individual Member of the House and the Senate who desire to participate. Certainly invite the staff of both the House and the Senate, not only from the Appropriations Committee standpoint, but also from the Transportation Committee standpoint. Invite the Department of Transportation and sit down in this room and have a meeting of the whole and attempt to resolve these differences. And I think it would be a good suggestion to follow."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's passing strange to me that it should occur to the Gentleman to do something like this, to go to a room and have a meeting on Amendments of this Bill, the Department of Transportation Bill which he is the Sponsor of. We have already considered 18 Amendments to this Bill, most of which were Republican sponsored. None of which were adopted, but when we come to Amendment #19 which is his Amendment, then a crisis occurs. And we must meet in a back room or in this room or someplace else, so we must take special treatment and special note of his Amendment. I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that the people would be better served if we considered his Amendment just as we have the first 18 Amendments to this Bill and vote it up or down."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, we'll be at ease now, while we're waiting for Conference Committee Reports. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, rise?"

Choate: "I might say to the Majority Leader and his suggestion that couple of things prompt me to make this statement and it has to do not only with the integrity of any individual, but all of the individuals involved. There's been rumors floating around here for the last couple of days that as far as I'm concerned and I'm sure that the leadership on the other side would concur, is completely erroneous as far as anybody trying to cut something up is concerned, as far as that department is concerned. No one has attempted to approach me on special, pet projects. The only project that I talked about, I talked openly on the floor of this House the other night. I assured Representative Dunn, I assured



Representative Kent, I assured Members on this side that there thoughts as far as their individual district is concerned, as far as I, as the Chief Sponsor, was concerned, would be given the utmost consideration if and when this Bill reached a Conference Committee. I can see nothing wrong in holding a complete and open, open meeting such as I suggested. If it's not the desire of the the Majority Party to do so, we'll proceed in some other manner, I would assume."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Point of personal privilege. There are three of our very fine Legislators who have celebrated the date of nativity this week and I would like permission of the House... I would like to have permission of the House, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, I would like permission of the House to have all the microphones open and sing 'Happy Birthday' dear colleagues for Bob Walters, Webber Borchers and Mike Getty. So, turn them on."

Speaker Telcser: "That's quite a group."

Geo-Karis: "All right, objection by Mr. Juckett. He thinks we should have three separate ones. Okay, let's start with seniority and start with Webber Borchers. Happy birthday, dear Webber. 'Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday, dear Webber, Happy Birthday to you.' The next one. The next oldest one is Bob Walters. 'Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday, dear Bob, Happy Birthday to you.' Next one. Michael Getty. All right, 'Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday, dear Mike, Happy Birthday to you.' Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "'Geo', it's Tom Lau's birthday today. 'Geo', it's Tom Lau's birthday. Yes, U.P.I."

Geo-Karis: "Well, we'd better be good to the press because we need it. Tom Lau. 'Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday to you, Happy Birthday, dear Tom, Happy Birthday to you.' Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And since I have the floor and we have a little extra time, I just wanted everyone to know how glad I am to be with all of you and I know that my Archbishop isn't going to miss me at the (unintelligible) Congress in Chicago which started Sunday, even





though I'm a delegate. But I'd rather be with you, really 'cause I know I'm going to catch it from him until I do get there."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "Well, I consider just what we're doing perhaps a little bit ludicrous. I would like to bring a more serious note into this chambers. I've just been informed by private radio that the Ugandian Army has... is seizing California and the Ugandian Navy has bombed Los Angeles. Hope you appreciate that."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to call the attention of the House that this is the one year anniversary of the time that, on July 3rd of last year, we were still in Session. I thought we might like to sign 'Happy Anniversary.'"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Everybody sing 'Happy Anniversary to us'. 'Happy Anniversary to us, Happy Anniversary to us, Happy Anniversary to us, Happy Anniversary to us'. We deserve it. That's all. I cut it off."

Speaker Telcser: "All these songs and all those songs and the photographs will all help you get reelected. Your constituents will love to see you doing this. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, there is a section on the Calendar called motions. While we're waiting, can't we have some disposition of some of those and get the Calendar cleared up."

Speaker Telcser: "On the Order of Motions, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative D'Arco, has moved to table his motion with respect to House Bill 2276. Are there any objections? Hearing none, motion will be tabled. On the Order of Motions appears House Bill 2813. The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin, has moved to table the motion with respect to House Bill 2813. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The motion is tabled. On the Order of Motions, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer, has asked leave to table her motion with respect to House Bill 2845. Hearing no objections, the motion will be tabled. On the Order of Motions, the Gentleman from Sangamon,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Representative J. David Jones asks leave to table his motion with respect to Senate Bill 910. Hearing no objections, that motion will be tabled. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Well, on the four motions, I would like to make a motion which has been filed with the Clerk on House Bill 2599 which by inadvertence the other night, I had to step off the floor at midnight and I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' on a verified Roll Call. I voted on it. I was here at the time and I'd like to have leave to do so at this time if I may."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections? Hearing none, Representative Maragos will be... Are there objections? There are objections. Objections have been heard. You want to put them..."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have often given this courtesy to many Members. I was here. I did vote that night. I was not absent from the floor and due to the fact that I had stepped off the floor because of consequences beyond my control, I say we be allowed to do this thing. If I were absent, Mr. Juckett, I would say, fine. If I was not on the floor of the House at the time they took a vote, but I'm asking leave at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think there's a big difference between being put on the Roll Call when it has not been verified and where it has been verified. The verification process takes quite a while, it's an elaborate safeguard for the legislation process. I think the rule is excellent that no Member may be added or subtracted from a Roll Call after it has been verified and I would urge the House not to adopt this motion and I would ask the Chair, does this take 107?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're trying to find out now. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise? Rule 50(c), 89 votes."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the maker of the motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Lundy: "Sam, would your going on the Roll Call change the outcome?"

Maragos: "It will not change the outcome. In fact, when I left the



floor of the House to make a telephone call and I had to go to pick up a secretary who was late, there was already 113 on the Roll Call. In fact, it was passed by over 120 votes in the final verification and that would not in any way change."

Lundy: "Fine."

Maragos: "And that's why I ask for the suspension of the rules to be recorded."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 50(c) for the purpose of being recorded on a verified Roll Call with respect to House Bill 2599. This is will take 89 affirmative votes. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I'm very happy to vote for this and let the record officially show how the Gentleman would have been recorded, but it seems to me that every time somebody misses a vote without taking the time of the House with a Roll Call vote, I think if a Gentleman was recognized, simply announced for the record how he would have been recorded had he been here and why he was absent and how he feels about the substance. That would do it in the future and I think maybe we can take that up next year and put it in the rules or something."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "I'd like to explain my vote just very briefly, sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

McGrew: "At the time that House Bill 2580 was called, I also was not on the floor and I think that I tried to check it out as well as possible. There was a funeral in my district at which I had to be in attendance either on Friday evening or on Saturday. I talked to the Speaker and to the Minority Leader. They suggested that it was most likely that we would not be in Session Friday night and rather, would be in Session Saturday, so it was more appropriate for me to be in attendance Saturday night. I went home at that time and was consequently taken off the Roll also, because we were in late and I would be more than happy to support this motion and would like leave for the same."



Speaker Telcser: "J.J. Wolf 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether you have a record of this Roll Call, but I'm wondering whether the Gentleman was removed from the Roll Call. He was removed from the Roll Call in the verification and now he's asking that his name be put back on after the verification process. I think that's a bad situation, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Okay, verification has been requested. 89 votes. Representative Calvo, for what purpose do you rise?"

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, for two things. I would like to have a poll of the absentees since the Gentleman's asked for a verification and after this verification, I'd like to have a copy of this Roll Call so that I'll know who did not vote or voted 'no' for the future. I think I'll be here a little while and this is, you know, something that's been done in the past and I just want to know where everybody stands on this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk... I can't get involved in this. Tell Juckett to say that. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, it is not often that I... second time in my six years that I've been in the House that I've asked for a verification of the Roll Call. Every time anybody has asked, I mean, to be put on the Roll Call. Every time anybody's asked, I've always voted to give them that courtesy. If I were not here or I was not doing my duty, would be fine. But I had to step off because of legislative duties and I got off the floor and I couldn't get back in time. It was a quarter to midnight. The vote, when I left, was 113 to nothing and I ask as a matter of courtesy, Mr. Juckett, that you allow this as a fellow Member."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you know, this is absolutely incredible. This will not change the result of the vote. How small, how 'pickyunish', how... how petty can we get. Now, we're talking about our own Members here who were attending to other legislative



business. Now, let's get 150 or 160 votes on the board and go on to the rest of the business of the House. This is incredible. Give them the votes. I've been here twelve years and I've seen Member after Member get up leave to be recorded on a matter. The whole idea is to let our constituents know where we are. Now, whatever venom and whatever pettiness you have in your heart, you've gotten out. Now that you've gotten it out, let's give them the green vote and get on with the business of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Schoeberlein and McAvoy 'aye'. Jones 'aye'. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I think already was recorded as 'aye', but I'd like to explain my vote. If a Member isn't here, that's one thing, Mr. Speaker. I would be certainly reluctant to have someone added to a Roll Call who left the chambers while the rest of his colleagues stayed here and did the work and went back to take care of business. But Representative Maragos was here and because of other legislative business, had to leave the floor for a short while. I think it's a courtesy that he's entitled to and I would hope that he gets more 'aye' votes and that the verification request would be withdrawn."

Speaker Telcser: "Borchers 'aye'. Ebbesen 'aye'. Londrigan 'aye'. We're going too fast, now. Hang on. You want another Roll Call, Fred? Well, let's take another Roll Call. The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 50(c) for the purpose of having his name recorded 'aye' on a verified Roll Call on House Bill 2599. Returned to a verified Roll Call. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. I think we've got a Roll Call on the same thing. Have all voted who wished? Throw D'Arco's switch over there, will ya? Have all voted who wished? You're on, John. Phil Collins 'aye'. Take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Representative Maragos will be recorded as voting 'aye' on House Bill 2599. Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kosinski: "Point of information, Mr. Speaker. As early as January of this year, we put certain Bills into the House and because of action in the Senate, we kept them in our Rules Committee."



Has any decision been made to keep those Bills alive for action in the fall?"

Speaker Telcser: "No decision has been made yet, Representative.

However, I don't see the Chairman of the Rules Committee on the floor. As as he returns... the ViceChairman, I'm sure..."

Kosinski: "And should some motion be necessary on this floor to attempt to keep them alive... if some motion should be... orderly motion should be necessary on this floor to keep them alive, will the Chair recognize me for such motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "Any time the Chair can recognize anyone for something orderly, it will do so. The Gentleman from... okay. On the Order of Motions appears a request by Representative Londrigan to vote 'aye' on a verified Roll Call on House Bill 2599. Is there leave to have the Gentleman recorded? Okay, the Gentleman will be recorded. On the Order of Motions... on the Order of Motions, Representative Stedelin has filed on with respect to House Bill 2... looks like 2480. Is there leave to let the Gentleman vote 'aye' on a verified Roll Call? On the Order of... He's to be returned. All of these, all of these requests, by the way, are from Members who were taken off and want to be returned. On the Order of Motions, Representative McGrew has one with respect to House Bill 2480. Does the Gentleman have leave to be voted 'aye' on a verified Roll Call? On the Order of Motions, Representative... all right, let the Journal show that on all of these questions, Representative Juckett objects but after strenuous debate, withdraws his objection. On the Order of Motions, Representative Flinn has requested to be voted 'aye' on a verified Roll Call with respect to House Bill 2480. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Wolf: "Well, I'd just like to ask a question if I can. Were these Members here or did they take off and go home early while the rest of us were working. Now, there's a big difference. In Mr. Maragos' case, I know he was here and had to leave for a short while. But if a Member took off early and left the rest of us dummies sit here all night with the votes, then I would object to them getting back on the Roll Call for their flag."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Wolf. Jake. All right, Jake, the Clerk informs me that on all of these motions, the Members were on the Roll Call and then were taken off. They want to get back on. Now, whether or not their seatmates voted them, I have no idea. No, Representative J.J. Wolf, I'm trying to answer his question."

J. Wolf: "Well, that was the question I wanted to ask, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, all right."

J. Wolf: "It's one thing when a Member is here and records himself and then for one reason or another has to absent himself for a while. But when we're working here till late hours of the morning and some of our colleagues take off and we all get the same money. If that's the situation, then I would object to them getting their names placed on a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Flinn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're on the motion about my getting back on 2480. I got here about two minutes after the verification was completed and I found that I had been removed from it and I went right down to the Clerk's desk and filed this written motion. I was here that night and got here about two minutes late from getting on the Roll and I do request that you put me back on the Roll as voting 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, wait a second. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, did you dump the previous Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "We haven't been taking Roll Calls."

Clerk Selcke: "That was the Roll Call of the previous motion, Mr. Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos."

Clerk Selcke: "2599."

W. Walsh: "And that was withdrawn?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, no. That prevailed."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, Mr. Walsh, Representative Maragos did not receive leave to get on the Roll Call. He then put a motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 50(c) and he prevailed with an affirmative vote, 114 affirmative votes. He prevailed



in suspending the provisions of Rule 50(c) so that he could get back on the Roll Call on House Bill 2599."

W. Walsh: "Were we verifying that or have you announced that Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "A verification was withdrawn."

W. Walsh: "Have you announced the Roll Call on that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes. Now, on the other one for Londrigan, Stedelin, McGrew, I have been receiving leave."

W. Walsh: "I object to the leave."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Londrigan and Maragos are on the same Roll Call. All right, let's get the 2480. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, has objected to Representative McGrew, Flinn and Boyle getting on that Roll Call, and Stedelin. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is absolutely ridiculous. I would like to, at this time, make a motion to suspend whatever rule is necessary to allow me to go into the Journals of every vote taken in this House in the past two years and change my vote any way I want to. I think I deserve that right just as much as they deserve the right to be put back on a verified Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

R. Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I join with my Republican colleagues who are speaking against this effort, but I'd also like to point out that I even resent more than those Gentlemen on the other side who couldn't stay around and they're now seeking that flag, I resent the leadership that allowed these inflationary Bills to get out of Committee to be forced on the Republican Members in the House Labor Committee. And then I have the Roll Call on that particular, on this particular Bill to see that that same leadership didn't vote, didn't have the courage to put his vote up on the light. But at the same time, quietly afterwards, got on the Roll Call and voted 'yes' and I deeply resent that."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on



the procedural aspects of this thing, I think that if a Member wants to change his, be allowed to vote, that he should orally address with a... purposes of transcription, the reason for it. I certainly agree with Representative Walsh. Many of us have labored long hours here and stayed fairly close by and were diligent in our work and of course, those Members that had to get off, they were not verified. Perhaps, did get off for good, valid reasons. However that might be, I think that the record should show what those reasons were so that they could get on it. In reference to the Bill itself, I might say this, that some I.E.A. people talked to me out in the rotunda before we voted on it. I voted 'no' against it for two reasons. One of which was that I was approached outside in a very, what I felt was a demeaning manner and demanded that I vote for 2599 and if I did not vote for that Bill, that they would send the teachers into my area and organize with the intentions of defeating me. I'm not to be intimidating by that group or any other group. And I resented it then, I resent it now and I just wanted to make that statement again for the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to make a speech, statement? Representative Schraeder. Monroe, I'll get you last."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, the night these Bills were acted upon by the House I spoke to the leadership and I was advised that there'd be no important business being conducted at that time. I left for a funeral in my family and I was advised that there would be no particular... I was advised when I got back that there had been a verification and I wasn't on the Roll. I didn't present a motion because of the fact they tell it was out of order and I was not to proceed with the House vote. I don't personally object to any of these guys that are asking to be put on the Rolls. If that's what it takes to be counted on for a flag, then we're in a poor, desperate situation. I'd let them get on if they wanted, makes no difference to me."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from... Representative Flinn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like for a point of order first before



I talk about the problem here. Mr. Speaker, are we talking about a motion, my motion in writing, putting me back on 2480 or all of them? I'd like..."

Speaker Telcser: "We're talking about your motion on the Calender with respect to House Bill 2480."

Flinn: "Well, in answer to the Gentleman from Knox, I would like to say to him, I am not asking to be put back on all Roll Calls. I'm asking to be put back on this one. I was over in the Senate at the time and got back here about two minutes after the verification was completed. The only mistake I made was failing to tell someone where I was at so they could come and get me. I would have been glad to come back over here and I would ask for a Roll Call vote on this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this makes a mockery of our rules, not just the rule affected here, but our rules in general. There would be absolutely no occasion for anyone to want to get on a verified Roll Call in any instance except for the particular Bill that is so important or was so important to labor. These people... this is a very small, small maneuver by them and it seems to me that the motion to file demeans the entire House. It demeans our rules. I would urge them to withdraw their motion. I would urge Representatives Londrigan and Maragos to withdraw the motions that they made to be put back on a Roll Call. This is absurd and it has been pointed out, would give every Member of this House to carry to its ultimate extent an opportunity to review. It's a lot easier to do it a second time than it is a first, to review his record and vote any way he wanted to on these variety of Bills that come before us. I urge them to withdraw their motions. If they refuse to do that, I would urge those of us who maybe have more principles in these matters to vote 'no' on the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. As I understand it now, we are in a situation where Maragos, Londrigan, Stedelin and



McGrew are back on, but poor old Boyle and Flinn are not back on. Is that where we're at?"

Speaker Telcser: "Only Maragos and Londrigan are back on."

Boyle: "Well, didn't you say that the same Roll Call applied to Representative Stedelin and McGrew?"

Speaker Telcser: "No."

Boyle: "They asked leave and you said it applied. I'd ask that the Clerk check the tapes because I think I distinctly heard that you asked if there was any objection and hearing none and the same Roll Call applied, so I think that when you do, you'll find out Stedelin and McGrew are back on, too. And I think it's highly unfair to Representative Flinn and myself were not afforded the same privileges as been afforded these other Members. And I say to the Majority Leader that if the rule is bad, well, he's one of the ones that writes the rules, so if it's bad, he wrote a bad rule and if it's not to be used by the Membership, then it ought to be taken out."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I didn't say the Bill was bad. I said the motion to suspend the rule was bad. Now, I did object to using the same Roll Call and I think I was joined by some other people in that objection. So, I think the point we're at now is the... a Roll Call on the motion to suspend the provisions of that rule."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there further discussion? Let's get into a Roll Call. Representative Keller, for what purpose do you rise?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, you did announce that Representative Stedelin was put back on the Roll Call at one time. Is that not correct? I tell you, Representative Stedelin's not here today because he is ill and I just want to make sure, he wants to make sure that he is on this Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "I had announced that Stedelin was back on."

Keller: "You have announced it? He is on, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct unless someone wants to reconsider the leave or something."

Keller: "Okay."



Speaker Telcser: "Why don't we take a Roll Call on Flinn's motion.

I think that'll settle everything. Representative Merlo, for what purpose do you rise?"

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think it's unfair for any Member to get up on the floor of this House and ask leave to have his name placed on a verified Roll Call. However, I do think that the Member requesting this should get up and tell his reason why he should be permitted to do this. And I think the determination then should be made by every Member of this House and I wonder if we could follow that pattern, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, why don't we do this? Let's take one Roll Call and let's see where we're at. Okay? Kenny, you want to do it on yours? You want to be a tough guy? All right, the Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle, now moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 50(c) so that his name can be recorded as voting 'aye' on a verified Roll Call to House Bill 2480. That's Zeke Giorgi's Bill. Zeke wanted me to mention his name. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 89 affirmative votes. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether you want each individual Member to explain his reason, but I was over in the Senate. My House Bill 1114 and 1115 were being considered by the Senate on a motion to discharge and that's where I was at when the Roll Call was verified."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all... the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I want to explain my vote. I'm voting 'no' for a simple reason. I have a terrible record for the last years with the Environmental Protection Agency and particularly the environmentalists of the University of Illinois. Now, I was not permitted by the Speaker just now to make a motion that my name be added to have... with a right to have my name added to the Environmental Protection Agency Bills in the last four years ... voting 'yes' instead of 'nay' and I'm very greatly afraid if this isn't done, I might get defeated."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, anyone else wish to explain their vote?"

Have all voted who wished? Take the record. McAuliffe 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 11 'nays'. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise? Okay, a verification has been requested. As soon as the Clerk gets the Roll Call out of the machine, we'll poll the absentees. Wait a second. Record Representative McAuliffe as voting 'no'. McAuliffe changes his vote to 'no'. Kenny, hey Kenny, come on now. McAuliffe has just changed his vote to 'no'. You've got 88 votes. Now, we're going to poll the absentees. Those who want to come on, start coming on. Leon 'aye'. 89 now."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Bluthardt, Campbell, Capuzi, Carter, Clabaugh, Collins. No. Clabaugh 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Clabaugh 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, Duff, Ebbesen, Ewell, Fleck, Friedland, Gibbs, Grotberg, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jenison, Dave Jones, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur. Aye. Kucharski 'aye'. LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Leinenweber, Mahar, McAvoy, McCormick, McCourt, Kenny Miller, Mugaljian, Murphy, North, Pappas, Peters, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Rigney, Ryan, Schlickman, Schoeberleir, Schraeder, Sevcik, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Stedelin, Stone, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Wall, Richard Walsh, Washburn, J.J. Wolf, Yourell and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, there are currently 90 'ayes', currently 90 'ayes' and verification has been requested. Clerk, read the Affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and out of the aisles?"

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes, Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, Representative Tipsword has to leave now with Don Glickman. Is it okay to or do you want him here for the verification? Right here. Schisler and VonBoeckman, are they here? No? Schisler or VonBoeckman? I don't see them on the floor. I'm only asking, Rollie Tipsword asked if it's okay with you if he leaves with Don Glickman to go somewhere. Okay. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."



Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Catania."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, would the Members please be in their seats and clear the side aisles? All those who are not entitled to the floor, will they please leave? All right, go ahead, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Dyer, Epton, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Lechowicz, Lenke, Leon."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, is it proper for a Member of the House who is verifying to be up on the Speaker's platform, using it for that purpose? Is that a purpose of the Speaker's platform?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, as long as the Democratic side of the aisle don't clear their aisle and get in their seats, I'm going to say it's proper. Yes, sir, it is."

Pierce: "Well, I don't think it is for the Majority Party to be given that... the Speaker's supposed to be impartial, arbitrator of the House and you... most often and almost always are, but to turn over the Speaker's rostrum to a Member of your party for verification purposes is wrong. Could we do the same thing? Never have we been allowed to do the same thing."

Speaker Telcser: "Collins reminds me I'm as impartial as he is."

Clerk Selcke: "Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Porter, for what purpose do you rise?"

Porter: "Record me 'aye', please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Porter as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Madigan, Mann."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute. Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have the honor of introducing the Director of the Department of Transportation, Mr. Langhorn Bond, who is the Secretary, I'm sorry. He's been here for a year and a half waiting for the agreements and the deals to be made that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

are not being made right now. Mr. Bond."

Clerk Selcke: "Maragos, Martin."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gene Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

G. Hoffman: "Well, I just wanted to make sure that the Secretary didn't get as confused as Representative Taylor did a little while ago and is under the impression everything around here is on the square."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Mudd, Matijevich, McClain, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Molloy, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Porter, Redmond, Rose, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stiehl, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, VonBoeckman, Walters, Washington, Williams."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beatty. Representative Beatty on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Who's that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Beatty."

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Chapman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Chapman on the floor?"

W. Walsh: "She's here. D'Arco."

Clerk Selcke: "He's there."

W. Walsh: "Farley."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Farley on the floor? Farley? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Fary. Oh, I beg your pardon."

Speaker Telcser: "Fary is in his seat."

W. Walsh: "Garmisa."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the floor? Garmisa? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Giglio."

Speaker Telcser: "Giglio was here a second ago. Representative Giglio on the floor? Giglio? Take him off for now. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Ewell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ewell on the floor? Representative Ewell? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Absent."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off. Ewell. He's not voting; he's absent."

W. Walsh: "Robert Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Bob Holloway. Bob Holloway. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Emil Jones on the floor? Emil Jones. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Kosinski. He's there, okay. Lechowicz. Londrigan."

Speaker Telcser: "Who was the last one, sir? Londrigan? He's in his seat. Londrigan's in his seat."

W. Walsh: "Maragos."

Speaker Telcser: "Maragos. Representative Maragos on the floor? Right there."

W. Walsh: "Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: "Merlo is right here."

W. Walsh: "Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "Patrick. Representative Patrick is in his seat."

W. Walsh: "McPartlin."

Speaker Telcser: "McPartlin on the floor? Representative McPartlin, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."



W. Walsh: "Sharp."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sharp is in his seat. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Yeah, Mr. McPartlin is excused on account of illness and I don't know... I guess somebody must have hit his switch."

Speaker Telcser: "Sharp is in his seat."

W. Walsh: "Redmond."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Redmond on the floor? Redmond. Oh, I'm sorry."

W. Walsh: "Thompson."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

W. Walsh: "Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walters on the floor? Representative Walters. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Giorgi."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi is in his seat."

W. Walsh: "Hart."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hart on the floor? Hart. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Laurino."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Laurino on the floor? Laurino. How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Absent."

Speaker Telcser: "Put Representative Mugalian on. Dick, you want 'aye'? Mugalian 'aye'. Any further questions?"

W. Walsh: "Schisler, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler on the floor? Schisler. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Catania."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania on the floor? Catania. How



is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take her off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Porter."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Porter on the floor? Representative Porter. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "No further."

Speaker Telcser: "What do you have, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 81 'ayes' and 13 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Okay, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1345. All right, Senate Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1345. This Bill's been read a second time, we've gone up through Amendment #18, on Amendment #19, Choate. Amends Senate Bill 1314 as amended by deleting Sections 1 through 12 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from... the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Speaker Blair: "Will the Clerk read the Amendment? Are we going to proceed with Amendment #19 to the D.O.T. Appropriation Bill or aren't we? We've got very little time left now to consider these appropriations. All right, are we ready to proceed with the 1975 fiscal year appropriation for the Illinois Department of Transportation? It's up as far as Second Reading. It's been read a second time. Also, contains the appropriation for the R.T.A. Does anybody want this Bill? No. What about E.P.A.? Has Mr. Schneider been located? Well, I'm... I've been ready to go for some time on Amendment #19 by Mr. Choate to 1345. Mr. Hoffman, Mr. Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on a point of personal privilege. We've been here for ten straight days and what's going on right now is an example of the bungling, the game-playing and everyone in the leadership is expecting the Membership to roll over and play dead. Well, this is ridiculous. We're watching the ship of state being leached by the special



interest groups so they can go home and beat their chests in their own propaganda organs and tell their membership how much they got out of the taxpayers. Well, this is a rather sordid spectacle. Someone said that government is a series of peaks and valleys and that's true, but right now, we're in a biff. For ten days we've been slipping deeper and deeper into the quagmire of politics, the type of politics of what's in it for me. We've been trapped, Ladies and Gentlemen, in a pool of ignorance and incompetency by a leadership on both sides of the aisles that obviously doesn't have the talent to lead a minute of silent prayer. There's no excuse, there's no excuse. You guys and the people that you're trying to get elected to Governor or to keep the people in office, don't really care about the citizens of this state. You think this is your fiefdom and we are your vassals. Well, thanks but no thanks as one of our former Members once said, 'I didn't run for a term of involuntary servitude.' We're here to do the people's business. Doesn't make any difference whether you're dealing with a Governor who's still running for office after he's been elected for eighteen months or an Attorney General who thinks he like two maps, touring around on the landscape of the history of this state and no one will ever know in the long run that either one of them has been here. We've been playing follow the leader and as someone once said, 'It looks like we're going down together.' But, who cares? Are we responsible for this? Does the party system, is that what breeds this evil that's on it? Or do we deserve exactly what we're getting today? Both sides, both sides of this aisle are reaping the harvest of the crops we planted in January of '73. Does this make any sense? Are we going to be so foolish as to stay here and allow to be used as tools? Hey, where are the rules? The rules are wherever you want them to be. Members address the Chair and the Chair isn't there. Or if he is, he's not listening. But of course, that isn't necessary because he's got a Parliamentarian who's shackled to the back post up there who can keep his sanity only by reading the Saturday Review and the New Yorker, two magazines not likely to be stolen



by too many people around here. Where's the leadership? Where's the leadership? They in the shade for the next T.D. performance or whispering in somebody's ear how they just put one over on the only Governor who's still running for office after eighteen months of being in office? Where's the common decency? Where is it? Regardless, regardless of what the people say in November to each and every one of us, if either side... if either side of this aisle allows this Session's leadership to get power, we are our own jailers. We're in the process right now, right now it's 3:30 on the 2nd of July. We're in the process of watching the political proof of the Peter Principle. And let me tell you the people know a lot more than a lot of people around here give them credit for. I have said to a number of you as we've watched this go on that the process, the process of democracy and the process of government is more important than the result. Everyone gets to share in this. Those who are for E.R.A., those who are against, those who want this in the budget, those who want that in the budget; but the leadership on both sides of this aisle is destroying this process. The very process that made possible the position they've sought and they now hold. But we're like the Downer Party, lost in a snowstorm, stranded by our own lust for power. Well, I'll tell you, I'm through at six o'clock. At six o'clock, this is one corpse, this is one corpse that you're not going to have to feed on. You and the Governor and the Attorney General and the rest of you can go down in your own pool of incompetency because I am not going. And I would respectfully suggest that those of you who still consider yourself the servant of the people, will do them a justice to point out to the ringmasters of this charade that we're involved in, that you are not an indentured servant. You are a Representative of the people and you can do the people more good by leaving than staying."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Gene, it was nice of you to stop around today and make your talk. Now, I'd like to say, Mr. Speaker, that that indictment seems to be broad enough to include the Republican Party and to answer the



charges that the Gentleman made to the people who are important to us, the Republican Members of this House, I would move that the House now recess for thirty minutes for the purpose of a Republican Conference in Room M5."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Could we have 400?"

Speaker Blair: "M5 for the Republicans. M3 for the Democrats? Or 212 or 400?"

Choate: "I'd rather be a little farther away from him than that. Could I have 400?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, why don't you take him up with you in 400?"

Choate: "Thank you. Turn me on. Will you Democrats please come to Room 400?"

Speaker Blair: "Republicans will go to 212. 212 rather than M5. 212."

House in recess.

Speaker Blair: "Will the Doorkeep kindly go to the Democrat Conference and advise them that we're ready to proceed with the business of state? Mr. Petefish, will you go up to the Democrat Conference and tell them that we're ready to proceed with the business of state? Thank you. Mr. Choate's asked me to take 1345 out of the record for ten minutes. And the Chair's going to do that but with the understanding that I'm going back there in ten minutes. So, let's take 1345 out of the record. All right, the Supplemental Calendar with the Second Conference Committee Reports on it will be here in just a few minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2402. Action taken by the Senate June 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2354 and that the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2,



1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2868. The Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2367. The Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2361 and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward. E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1659."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1659. An Act to provide... now this Bill's been read a second time."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Committee Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1569..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a Committee Amendment that puts the operational aspects of the Illinois Coal Development Bond Act into the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't see Representative Shea or Representative Choate on the floor and I do know that this is a Bill, the Energy Bill, that there's been substantial discussion on and I don't know if there's been any understanding or agreement made regarding some proposed Amendments. And I would like, either the Speaker can indicate to me whether there's been some indication regarding an Amendment that's been put on in Committee or if we can just hold this for a few minutes until we can check with our leaders."



Speaker Blair: "Well, this Amendment is a Committee Amendment. It's not a floor Amendment. The leadership's been fully aware of the nature of this Amendment all along. You might have these two Bills confused with the Senate Amendment to the Energy Resources Commission which is on Concurrence and that might be where you have some question. But I don't know that there's any question with respect to 1659 and 1660."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on the Amendment, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been advised and I would appreciate it if Representative Blair would indicate to the contrary that there has been discussion between our leadership and him regarding this Amendment. And I think that to give us the courtesy of knowing exactly what the game plan is regarding this Bill, I would ask that we just wait for a few minutes until Representative Choate or Shea come down on the floor."

Speaker Blair: "Well, there's certainly no game plan with regard to any of this legislation as far as I'm concerned. I think that the question of the development of Illinois coal is a matter which is of utmost importance to, not only to this administration, but to the people of the State of Illinois."

Berman: "All right, if he wants to proceed, Mr. Speaker, that's his prerogative, but I'll have something more to say after he presents it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "I thought it always had been courtesy provided when the leadership on one side of the aisle was off the floor if they're very involved in that Bill. It might, that might delay. I do think it might be appropriate to do that."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #... Representative Berman, for what purpose do you now rise, sir?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe I wasn't attentive enough, but I tried to be and I heard no explanation on this and I would appreciate an explanation of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I explained it once, Mr. Berman. I'd be happy to explain it again to you. I said that what this does is to place



the operational features of the Illinois Coal Development Act under the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevehich."

Matijevehich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, at this point, I really don't see why anybody should hesitate about putting on any Amendment because over in the Senate, there's not enough votes really to pass anything. They need the extraordinary majority, so I think it makes sense just to adopt it and go ahead. It's got to go back to a Conference Committee anyway because the aren't enough votes, so I don't see what the big hangup is."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Would the Speaker yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, this the Section that used to be Capital Development that we were going to appropriation two hundred and fifty thousand for? The energy Section?"

Speaker Blair: "We changed that to seed money over to the Second Conference Committee Report on the Department of Business and Economic Development so that that..."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I can't hear you, sir."

Speaker Blair: "We've transferred the three hundred and fifty thousand dollars or so that was the second Amendment to the Capital Development Bond Act or appropriation over to the Second Conference Committee Report for the Department of Business and Economic Development so that they will be able to use the seed money."

Lechowicz: "Well, that's what I thought. Now, what does this Amendment do?"

Speaker Blair: "This makes it perfectly clear that the Department of Business and Economic Development will be the operating agency insofar as the Illinois Coal Development Bond Act is concerned. Bonds will be under the, as far as sales concerned, under the Bureau of the Budget. The operating arm will be the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Lechowicz: "Now, isn't this... basically the bonds are presently being held by the Capital Development Board? Who handles the bonds now



or who..."

Speaker Blair: "This is a new program."

Lechowicz: "Yeah, but initially as was introduced, the Capital Development Board was supposed to handle bonds, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, that was a different series of Bills that were put in."

Lechowicz: "What was the initial Bill that... as you're reading it now, who handled the selling of the bonds?"

Speaker Blair: "In the initial Bill?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir, before the Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "The Bureau of the Budget, the Director of the Bureau of the Budget is as the Bill was put in and is as the Bill is now."

Lechowicz: "And that was under..."

Speaker Blair: "That's not changing that language. The actual sale of the bonds will be handled under the usual protections that are involved in the various bond Acts that we have. In our staff use, insofar as the expenditure of funds are concerned that... and the operations of the Act, that will be in the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Lechowicz: "Yeah, but, Mr. Speaker, I think if you recall, the... that the Senate also amended this Bill. And the Senate Amendment called for the Comptroller and your Amendment now is going back to B.E.D., is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may speak against the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know, this is the issue that so many of us have been waiting for. And just to correct the items in the press as far as the workings within this General Assembly, I'll go on record to say that the confrontation and the delays that we've experienced in the closing days of this Session is not the responsibility of the Speaker or the President Pro Tem of the Senate. It's the confrontation between two elected officials in state government. One being the Governor of the State of Illinois and the second being the Attorney General of the State of Illinois. And please recognize that fact



because every newspaper article that I've seen has been putting the blame right here. And I'll tell you where it's been, it's been in the confrontation proceedings and it's also been a confrontation proceeding on this Bill, unfortunately. I think this Bill, it was amended in the Senate, corrected a very serious problem that the state overlooked for so many years. And the man that came up with that program was the Lieutenant Governor of the State of Illinois, and unfortunately, there was a difference of opinion as far as who was going to head it and how it was going to be proposed. I would hope that this House would refuse to adopt this Amendment because the Senate after much testimony and hearing instead decided the man that came up with the idea should also have a direct input within the Energy Council. And for this reason, the Senate amended the Bill as it was originally introduced. I think we should concur and work with the Senate in this area. And for this reason, I would ask you to vote 'no' on Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, just to quickly correct the record there, I think that that particular problem was supposed to be addressed to the Energy Resources Commission which is over here now on Senate Amendment #1 for concurrence. It was my further understanding that from an operational standpoint that it was the decision that it would be preferable, rather than having three agencies of state government involved in this program, there would be just two and that would be this Commission on the one hand and on the other hand, the Department of Business and Economic Development. Now, what we're getting ourselves embroiled here in is not the solving or the intent to solve a problem of major proportions in the State of Illinois, but we are involved in a personality conflict and I think that's too bad if we see this Energy Resources Bond, Coal Development program go down the tube at the eleventh hour because of conflicts between personalities. Now, I'm as interested as any of you are in finding some work for the Lieutenant Governor. I would be happy to support him in any legislation that he would be interested in, but it's my understanding that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

that particular facet of his not having work available was going to be addressed to the composition of the Energy Resources Commission and not to this Bill, so that we would not have, in essence, this Council which is... was supposed to be chaired by the Lieutenant Governor and then to have nine or ten of the Governor's Cabinet officials in the composition of the Council. And then, in addition to that, this Commission and I thought that we were going to work out the other problems in Conference Committee on the Commission so that it... on your side, you were going to agree to have neither one involved or you were going to agree to have both of them involved. But it was my understanding certainly that that issue was going to be addressed to the Commission and as you well know, we have to have 107 votes here. And if there's any question about that, that's... that matter will have to go into Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Will the Speaker yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been requested by or met with the approval of the Lieutenant Governor?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, not to my knowledge. This Amendment was a Committee Amendment. I don't think that this original package of Bills as they were put in were requested by the Lieutenant Governor."

Berman: "No, I just wanted to know his position on this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I certainly cannot speak for the Lieutenant Governor."

Berman: "All right, my other question is. Was this Amendment requested by or approved by the Governor?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, certainly... at the time we were moving along with the Committee hearings, the administration was, as I understand it, in support of this legislation, this Amendment. Simply... it's a proliferation, an unnecessary adjunct to this program to have both the Commission and the Council and the Department of Business and Economic Development. In addition to that, you have the B.O.B. handling the bonds, B.E.D. being operational, a Council being operational and a Commission being operational and I'm afraid



with all that bureaucracy, we're not going to get any solutions to the problems of... (microphone turned off)... Amendments do if it's to relieve one administrative agency which would be comprised of Lieutenant Governor and nine or ten of the Governor's Cabinet officials, department heads."

Berman: "Well, if I may address myself to the Amendment. I think it's important to know and to see exactly what this Amendment does. The plan, the Bill before this Amendment is proposed is made up of an Energy Coordinating Council. This Council is composed of the Lieutenant Governor and eight department heads, the Director of the Department of B.E.D.-Business and Economic Development; the Chairman of the Capital Development Board; the Director of the Department of Mines and Minerals; the Director of the Department of Transportation; the Chief of the Illinois Water Survey; the Chief of the Illinois Geological Survey; the Chairman of the Illinois Commerce Commission; and the Director of the Environmental Protection Agency. What this Amendment seeks to do is to relieve all those people, each of them having a degree of expertise in this energy question from the operations of a very important segment, a very new segment of state government and that's energy planning. It appears that the people who are in this Bill at the present time, the Lieutenant Governor and the eight heads of departments in the Executive Branch have not requested to be removed from this Bill by this Amendment and it appears that they are going to be removed at least by the proponent of this Amendment involuntarily, so to speak. There's also another part of this program that I'd like to call your attention to. And that is the Commission that the Speaker has referred to. That's in House Bill 2651 and as that Bill has come over from the Senate, that Bill is made up of a Legislative Commission comprised of Legislative Members and appointees and public members, appointed by the Legislative leaders. I think that when we put together both of those Bills, this one before us, 1659, which involves the expertise of the Lieutenant Governor and he does have expertise in this area. He has come out with statements and studies on this entire area along with expertise of these department heads,





and combined with the Legislation Commission, I think we have, at this point, a workable, progressive program. I think we are eliminating this expertise through the suggestion that this Amendment be adopted. And I would like as one person interested in energy development in Illinois to have as much expertise available to us as possible, so I would suggest to each of us who is interested in having a well-developed, well-coordinated program, a program that is going to be coordinated jointly with the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor and not the exclusion of either, to reject this Amendment and let us come forth with further Amendments that are on the Clerk's desk that will call upon the resources of the Chief Executive and the Lieutenant Governor and reject this Amendment that would cast aside the expertise of each of these people that are presently in this Bill. And I would urge each Member on this side of the aisle and that side of the aisle that's interested in having as great an input as possible from all of the segments of our safe government, legislative, the Chief Executive, the Lieutenant Governor and the department heads, to reject Amendment #1 and vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand that this energy package has had a long legislative history. And if I'm not correct there were a number of Bills that were introduced with bipartisan support, support of both the Speaker of the House and the Majority Leader. And as I recall, there was some conversation in trying to work out and maintain this bipartisan solution to the problem of energy. And as I understood that solution, we were going to move back to the original concept of putting the operating agency into B.E.D. and that then we were going to concur with what the House had done on House Bill 2651. We were going to..."

Speaker Telcser: "Jim, wait a minute. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's some confusion about the posture of where we're going with this



Bill, at least on this side, and I respectfully ask that the Speaker would take this Bill out of the record for a little bit so we might sit down and chat about it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, certainly... request coming from the leadership on the other side of the aisle, I will ask leave to take it out of the record, so... I can see if this misunderstanding which I don't understand, can be cleared up."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, that'll be taken out of the record. Has the Second Supplemental been distributed, sir? Okay, oh, Representative Schneider has returned. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1357. Now, Mr. Clerk, you want to refresh us where we are on that Bill? It's been read a second time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1357 has been read a second time. Amendments 1, 2, 8 and 11 were adopted. Amendment 5 and 6 lost. Amendments 3, 4, 7, 9, 10 and 12 were tabled. And we're on Amendment #13."

Schraeder: "Mr. Clerk, is there a 14 also?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yep, there is."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. I want to apologize to my colleague in the House for having run amuck somewhere and getting lost in the room in the building, but I have returned to deal with E.P.A. I would move at this time, Mr. Speaker, to table 13 and to hear Amendment #14 which is Representative Pierce's Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1357. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #14, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 1357 as amended and so forth."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #14 is a very fine Amendment that decreases by fifty thousand the agencies total budget. In case you're curious what else it does, it negates Senate Amendment 3 and 4 and restores the original theory... and the original theory of the law in our state which allows agencies to have their own technical advisers, consultants, and attorneys... In no way, in no way do we try to impede the Attorney



General of Illinois, who I respect as a person and as a lawyer, from being the attorney for all state agencies in enforcement matters, in courts, on appeal in courts. All we say is, as he said and his representative said before the 1970 Constitutional Convention and we adopted the Executive Article, that each agency is entitled to have inside counsel or technical consultants to draft rules and regulations and to give day-to-day advice to the department head and the various people within the department. All we're doing is leaving things in status quo. Throughout the Ogilvie administration when Scott was Attorney General, the agencies all had technical consultants and attorneys to advise them on a day-to-day basis. Now, all of a sudden for some odd reason, my good friend, Bill Scott, is trying to seize every lawyer, everyone with a law degree and every agency in the state while the agency pays for them, he wants to appoint them, run them and be cogovernor and have a representation in every department of the state. He wants to have two Executives in every department, the Governor and the Attorney General. No longer is he satisfied to be the representative of all state departments in court and to render opinions and to enforce in court and handle appeals, but he wants to be a coexecutive... (microphone turned off)... in every department. So, I move the adoption of this Amendment that will save you fifty thousand dollars, restore state government to the way it was, and Amendment #14 should be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, would the Chair please inform us whether this is the same Amendment that was introduced yesterday or the day before and the day before that by Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's not."

Peters: "It is not?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, sir."

Peters: "Did the purpose, the purpose, however, Representative Pierce, if I might ask a question. The purpose of this Amendment, again, is to take away what has been referred to now as the A.G. Amendment to the various Appropriation Bills, am I right so far?"



Pierce: "Yes, it restores the..."

Peters: "Thank you, Representative Pierce. And just to correct you, might I indicate to you that the practice that you are talking about, that you desire to reinstate, was, in fact, not the practice under the previous administration, at least in regard to the E.P.A. The Attorney General, in fact, did represent the E.P.A. under the previous administration. The monies, in fact, were paid to his appointees to handle the cases of the E.P.A. by agreement between the then Governor and the Attorney General. So if we're talking about anything that's status quo, the A.G. Amendments, in fact, will bring into law what has been the practice for the last three or four years under the previous administration. And I suggest to the Members of this House and especially the Members on this side of the aisle that we resoundingly, once again for the third or fourth time, defeat the same kind of Amendments that we've heard before."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I always admire any attorney or any individual who can repeatedly bring up the same Amendment in a different guise and I commend Representative Pierce because certainly a reduction in the state government is attractive to all of us. Unfortunately, the reduction cannot be taken along without the balance of the Amendment and as Representative Peters indicated, this is something which not only the Constitution prohibits, but also case law. So, I would simply suggest that if you were to vote in favor of this Amendment, you'd be making a nullity for all practical purposes. Let me add one final word of caution. Since you've all heard this in the past, really this is a protection to the E.P.A. Some of you may not be aware of the fact that every now and then the technical assistants for the E.P.A. or their lawyers, if you will, give out some very bad decisions. As such, they are subject to litigation. Under the law, not only in Illinois, but in all of the states in the Union, if an opinion is given by an Assistant Attorney General, even though it may be wrong, it is the state which will protect that individual. But if an attorney for the E.P.A. or a technician for the E.P.A. proceeds to render



an opinion, he, himself, is personally liable and I know that Representative Pierce wouldn't want to make all of those incompetents... competents, excuse me... all of those competent technicians personally liable. And I join with Representative Peters and my colleagues in asking that you defeat this Amendment for the fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, and tenth time. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, first I want to tell you that I am not in the slightest degree politically motivated. And I think anyone who looks at this problem with a clear mind, should realize that this has... should not be considered a political problem. Would you see that I have some order, please? If I thought for one minute that it'd be the right thing for the people of the State of Illinois to vote one way or the other, that's the way I would vote because I am a very independent minded person. But I want to point out to you that six years ago, I was one of the two fellows in this House that voted against the creation of the Environmental Protection Agency under the broad powers they were given by this House and I said exactly what would happen. And last year, if you remember, this Department, agency of government, became what's recognized in the State of Illinois as the most arrogant, dictatorial agency of government that this state has every seen. And we have to put the brakes on them. Now, I want you to consider what'll happen if they have their own legal system and that's exactly what you're getting ready to give them if you do it. They'll have their own private, legal system, controlled by people who, in the past, became the most arrogant, dictatorial people we ever had. Well, I'm not for that. They will control the hearings. It will be a blow against the industry of the state, the Municipal League, the Sanitary Districts, the farmers, the livestock dealers and I can't think of any facet of this particular state and the endeavors going on in this state that they cannot be a most dangerous agency of government, too. We have no guarantee whatsoever that a dual agency of this nature won't be a detriment and a danger to



the democracy to this state and I think we should definitely vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, would the Gentleman yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "Now, Representative Pierce, we're here a couple of days later than we thought we'd be and we're, I guess, on the principle that the Attorney General should be the lawyer of the state in all the departments in the State of Illinois. If that's true, is the Attorney General's Amendment on all of the Appropriation Bills that you know of?"

Pierce: "No, I think he picked and chose which agencies he wanted to seize cocontrol of."

Matijevich: "Could you enlighten all of us here in the General Assembly at least your opinion, I've got mine, your opinion of why he has seized the certain departments, certain departments of state government that he thinks he ought to be the lawyer of the state and evidently on other departments, he feels we ought to use the established system and 'in house' attorneys for those departments."

Pierce: "Well, I'm not a mindreader and I can't speak to other Bills, but I might say, there might be some political motivations and they have something to do with running for Governor. But then, what happens if he's elected Governor? He's lost all his lawyers to elect Democratic attorneys to that office. I think he's making a bad mistake, myself."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak briefly on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, feel like everybody here, that we should've been gone a few days ago and I really think that we would've been gone a few days if somebody that's important around here would've gotten up and told the truth about why we're here so late. Because the reason why we're here so late is they're running for Governor couple years hence and they shouldn't just blame... the truth hurts, I guess. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm trying to take everyone of you



off the hook and you ought to listen to it because it's going to take you off the hook. The Legislature shouldn't be blamed for being here this late. It's not the blame of the Legislature. It's because there's four people running for Governor right now and they're all in the middle of the deals that are going on, if there are deals. There's four of them, not two. Walker's running for Governor. He is... I am the Governor..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman... did you announce..."

Matijevich: "Like President Nixon says, 'I am the President.' Governor Walker is the Governor and he's still running. Evidently Lieutenant Governor Hartigan's running, too, although he hasn't announced. And Bill Scott's running and Bob Blair's running and they're the reason... for anything. And that's the reason we're here right now is because they can't make their agreements. Scott's helping Hartigan, Hartigan's helping Scott. And Blair and the Governor evidently are working together, too. Meanwhile, we're here, us damn fools. That's right, Gene Hoffman was right. He's right. I don't know what kind of deals they are, but that's what's underneath it all, the machinations. Everybody knows that the Attorney General shouldn't be the lawyer in all of these departments. Everybody knows it. Where are any Legislators seeking deals? We're not dealing. The candidates for Governor are dealing and we're getting blamed for it and I'm sick and tired of it, too, just like Gene Hoffman is. I don't know what the deals are. Hell, I don't know. I'm not in the inner sanctum. I don't go on the second floor. I get caught up in Room 400 sometimes. I wish I'd never went there for a caucus because we don't know what's going on. And we look silly because we look in there and say, 'Uhuh, yeah, what, I don't know.' And I'll tell you the truth, Bill Murphy gets castigated around here a lot, but you notice all last Session he didn't go to caucus. He ended up in leadership. So, I want to tell the Ladies and Gentlemen that at least Bill Scott was smart enough, he didn't want to take over O.S.P.I. and whatnot because then somebody up here, important, not me, somebody important would say the children of the State of Illinois will not have appropriations because of Bill Scott and he's holding it up,



although he has got one on Mental Health and he... took it off cause I got that Bill because we're not going to that to the Mental Health."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, would you..."

Matijevich: "I will not let Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn off his microphone. Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Ryan: "Well, point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Ryan: "This hasn't got anything to do with this Amendment. He's not talking to the Amendment or the Bill and I would suggest that he confine his remarks to either the Amendment or the Bill and them sit down and shut up."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, let's... I want to get everybody... let's get a Democrat, a Republican. The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, I can't find a copy of this Bill on my desk or a copy of this Amendment. That last Amendment I have to this Bill is Amendment #12."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, was that distributed? The Clerk informs me that they have been distributed in accordance with the rules of the House. And Representative Pierce indicates it was distributed yesterday. Okay now, the Gentleman from Cook, wait a second. Bernie, I got you once. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. It's obvious that this stuff is going to Conference Committee and we're just wasting everybody's time and let's move on."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The previous question has been moved. Representative Pierce, to close. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Houlihan: "Gene, we were just waiting until after six o'clock when you might go home."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, to close."

Pierce: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when the Gentleman



from Macon, Mr. Borchers, spoke against my Amendment, you know why he spoke against it, because he's fought everything that's good for the environment, everything that'll help save our environment and everything that'll help stop pollution for the last two years. And he knows that this Amendment will hurt the E.P.A. if it's defeated. He knows what the Senate did in taking away from the Department the right to have their own inside counsel will hurt the environmental movement. And you have a choice of voting with him to damage the environmental movement to give it all to the Attorney General for political purposes and if that's your record, let it be. Now, I supported the Gentleman on the other side for the economic statements and the E.P.A. because I thought that was a good Amendment and I supported it even though some of my colleagues didn't. But this is a crippling thing, what the Senate did to take away the right to have inside counsel and advisors of any kind. They're not only taking away the lawyers, the Senate; but they're taking away the secretarial staff, the technical staff, even the court reporters fees and everything from the agency. Although the agency will still pay for it, the Attorney General will have it. You're going to make Attorney General such a big job in this state, equal to the Governor, that I might even run for it in two years. But I still think the Governor is supposed to be the Chief Executive of the state and his administrative agencies should have the right to their own technical consultants. If you vote against this Amendment and believe in giving the Attorney General every legal counselor and staff in the department, you're helping to cripple the environmental movement and I think the environmental groups of this state will realize it. And Representative Borchers realizes it. That's why he's honest and gets on his feet. And that's why he's fighting me because he's out to destroy the E.P.A. and if you vote with him, you're helping to destroy the E.P.A. I move adoption of Amendment 14."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in relation to the fact that my name was being



mentioned, I'd like to point out and make a couple of questions. Number one, I am a reasonable environmentalist and I have watched the E.P.A. for the last six years and I hate to say this. I don't know about the present leadership, but there have been some genuine environmental kooks in that outfit and if they get in charge, if they get in charge of a legal department of their own and remember the Pollution Control Board, is an already set-up deal as a court. They have their own attorneys and they have their own court and if that is justice, well, all of the industry in this state, the farmers and all those I mentioned, I won't take the time to repeat, I fear very greatly for the rights and justice in the State of Illinois from that kind of a set up that will control the whole court. They will control their own attorneys and that's too damn dangerous."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1357. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's not always I agree with my colleague from Lake County, Representative Pierce, but I think he's right in this case. And the problem is that we're all concerned about who's running for Governor and who isn't and we've never bothered to look at the Constitution. The Constitution does indicate as Representative Pierce and others have said and the Attorney General has said that he's the chief legal officer, but it also says we set legal duties by statute. And it happens that under the Ogilvie administration, we established an Environmental Protection Agency and we gave it legal powers: And anybody who takes the time to look away from the campaign campus of who's running for Governor and would read the law would find that the E.P.A. has legal duties. And the only way the E.P.A. can perform those legal duties is if they have lawyers. And the Attorney General has circulated a court case around suggesting that he should be the one and the only in this area. The problem is, the court case, says except where we've provided some other-duties by statute and it's right in the court



case. We've established this in E.P.A. and we ought not to strip them entirely of what under the Ogilvie administration we've suggested they ought to do and that is represent the State of Illinois in hearings and it's a question now of who's running for Governor, but what's right or wrong. Because we all ought to look about three or four years ahead of time. We may find that we'll have a Republican Governor. His name might be Bill Scott and he might rue the day that we stripped his agency of all these powers. Then, we'd have to turn around and do it the other way for partisan politics. We might have a Democratic Attorney General. The tables might be turned and we ought to look away from the question of who's running for Governor or who's not running for Governor, but was does the Constitution say, what do the statutes say and what do we really feel is right or wrong. I'm happy to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am voting 'no' on this Amendment because I, too, believe these functions belong with the Attorney General and in no way does it take away from the agency involved, the power and the right to hire attorneys and technical assistants for 'in house' judgments and evaluation. But I would like to address myself to a remark by Mr. Matijevich, with whom I have great respect. He mentioned Representative Murphy's name in debate and I don't think that's fair because Representative Murphy went home last night and is not here to defend himself and I hope they won't mention Representative McCormick either because he did the same. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, it really is unfortunate that everyone gets up and speaks about... voting on a Bill without... based solely on its merits and then dissolves into politics. Let me assure you as you have heard before, I am not for Bill Scott for Governor. So that those of you who would suggest that I am utilizing this to help him, I think you can see your mistake in that regard... Secondly, to my learned colleagues in the law, the law very clearly states further in what Representative... one of



my colleagues stated. It states that we cannot in any way denigrate or take from the Attorney General any powers. So, the law case goes a great deal further than he indicated. There is no way that we can take... take away duties from the Attorney General that are here and finally, let me suggest to my distinguished colleague who presented this Amendment, the reason that we're not fighting this stuff on every agency is very simply because the Attorney General Scott, rather than tie up this House, rather than prolong this argument, agreed to compromise the situation so that it would not be construed as a takeover. He had agreed in several cases against his better judgement to allow the departments to have their own counsel or technical advisers to suggest because this agency is so important, he could not abandon it to any such agreement, certainly doesn't do any justice to the speaker who suggested it's a political grab. That's nonsense. And finally, for those of you who would care to check the record, I have voted for funds and the creation and the betterment of the E.P.A. since its inception. I would fight vigorously for any attempt to take away the power, the good that it can do. By voting for the Attorney General, I make sure that the powers are fully utilized and instead of having some clowns in the Attorney Gen... in the E.P.A.'s office, well, I fought some litigation since it's inception. I prefer to have a duly elected officer utilize his special assistants to proceed in his behalf and I hope that you will vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It's very rare, indeed, I think in the ten years that we've served together that I've had occasion to take issue with my colleague from Lake County, Representative Pierce. And I do it not on the general principle as to whether or not the Attorney General ought to function in this particular capacity within the E.P.A., but he made a statement that this Amendment would destroy the E.P.A. And I would say to Mr. Pierce, respectfully, that the E.P.A. is destroying itself. Where was the E.P.A. on the U.S. Steel landfill? Where was the E.P.A. on scenic rivers? Where was the E.P.A. on



Oakley Dam? Where is the E.P.A. on lake erosion? Where is E.P.A. on the deep tunnel project? Where is the E.P.A. on the federal permit program? And if the E.P.A. blames its inability to function in the federal permit program because of the fact that it hired Mr. Seamen, it hired Mr. Seamen. That's its conflict of interest. If that's prohibiting it from complying with the federal regulations, let it get rid of Mr. Seamen. That's not our problem. Now, with regard to the Attorney General, I think he has carved out excessive expertise in this particular area. I do not think he ought to invade every department of state government. I would oppose that. If there are some political ramifications here, I'm not a part of them. But I do think in this particular instance, that we could use a little vigilance. I do not blame our new director who's sitting in the balcony, Mr. Briceland, whom I just met. He's new on the job and he is inheriting a lot of problems and a lot of difficulties, but I would say to you, sir, I would say to you, get the E.P.A. moving. It's supposed to be an antipollution agency. It's not supposed to be controlled by the polluters of the State of Illinois. Get it moving off dead center. Stop trying to please every land owner, every polluter and every commercial developer along our riverways and waterways of the State of Illinois and you'll get some support from the Representatives from the 24th District and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "In explaining my vote, I want to point out to the people here that what you're creating is again, or recreating again, is a possible monster. I don't give a damn who's Governor of this state, but I do give a damn about liberty and freedom. And I want to tell you if this goes through, you are creating in the same agency that judge the jury, the legal system, and the executioner. And if it does everything that I understand that our laws should be, all this power in the hands of one agency and that is something I don't want ever to happen anywhere in this land."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Representative Geo-Karis. I'll get you. I'll get everybody."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to explain my vote, as a freshman, I can honestly say that I have heard very highly inflammatory rhetoric today, very ambitious talk and as a matter of fact, I want all of you to know, I'm not interested in running for Governor, but I'm available. They're going to have such a big fight of so many people. However, I think and in due respect to my colleague from Lake County, I think his points are very good for the other side. However, I do feel that under the Constitution, the Attorney General should at least get a chance to operate for the E.P.A. And this is an appropriation on a year to year basis and in view of the fact that he has done an outstanding job, I do feel that we should be justified in supporting him to take his lawful duties for the E.P.A. and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to respond just momentarily to Representative Mann who says that he doesn't believe that the Attorney General belongs in all the rest of these areas, but that he should be in the E.P.A. I think there was a commercial on this, Bob. It goes, 'I bet you can't eat just one'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I'll be very brief in reference to the... my good friend from the 24th, I think, as he likes to say. I appreciate the pleasure of being, of having cathartic experience of speaking to the gallery, but the fact is, on the projects that he talked to and that he mentioned, many of those if not all of them, have more to do with the federal E.P.A than the state E.P.A. And I would point out that this year when the Director Briceland, who is new, that we are moving more toward a cooperative position. We couldn't go very far last year if Bob Mann will remember on... without House Bill 1585, which was going to issue single permits... And so, the next time he wants to talk about that, I'm sure the Director will be glad to sit down, as I would, and explain to him the distinctions between the role of the Federal Government as well as that of the state



agency that's involved. I still solicit an 'aye'. I think the Amendment is a good Amendment. It'll help us clarify many of the problems that the agency has to deal with on a day to day basis. It will keep a standardized policy procedure in effect. And again, I solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote and as some of you will see, I'm voting 'aye'. Now, from the discussion and debate on the floor, I thought I had the wrong Digest and I thought I had the wrong Constitution. I look at the Digest and I find that Senate Bill 1357 is an Appropriations Bill and I look at the Constitution and I find that Appropriation Bills are to be subject to appropriations. Now, as I look at this Appropriations Bill and as I look at Amendment #14 and as I recollect previous Appropriations Bills covering the Department... the Environmental Protection Agency, going back to the outset of E.P.A. under... over the administrations, I find three things regarding this Amendment. Number one, it reduces an appropriations or reduces the appropriation to E.P.A. Also, I find in looking at it in terms of money, that it will allow E.P.A. to continue to do exactly what it has been doing since its outset. And I find nothing, I find absolutely nothing in this Appropriations Bill and its Amendment that will take away any of the powers of responsibilities of the Attorney General. And after finding all that, I was reminded of an editorial that appeared in the Chicago Tribune a couple of days or a week or so ago. And the Tribune said with regard to the present administration and to the Attorney General, a plague on both your houses and suggested that the attempt to change the Appropriations Bill to something else, is the wrong approach, suggesting further with others that if there is a problem that exists with regards to legal services and the relative rules of E.P.A. and the Attorney General, there are two alternatives. One is to file a lawsuit and I trust the Attorney General knows how to file a lawsuit and go to court and have this thing disposed of where it should be disposed of. Or two, cause a Bill to be introduced defining the relative functions and duties of



these two offices and implementing the constitutional prescription. And for these mentioned reasons, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. It seems to me that Representative Mann and a few others who articulated the same premise have fallen into a trap that no legislative Body should get itself into. They are advocating that we should give power to a particular office because of the personality that resides in that office. And they claim that he has expertise to carry on the job. And the proposition, the question is, what happens when that particular person leaves the office and the power is still there. It's just like the cheshire cat. The cat's gone, but the smile is there. It seems to me that we're stuck with a very serious problem here based on our Constitution. We elect the Governor, but we also elect the Attorney General. If we had an appointed Attorney General, this would not be a problem. But he is elected and when you have a Governor of one party and Attorney General of the other party and then you split the agencies and government up so that the Attorney General who is of the opposite party has the power over one of the Executive offices, just simply asking for power. You can't legislate that way. It seems to me that if you structured government around personalities rather than around broad concepts, you destroy any possibility of accountability. You make it impossible to send our responsibility and you're just asking for trouble. Now, there's nothing, as Mr. Schlickman, pointed out to prevent the Attorney General from filing suit. He's been filing them in the past, but why would you want to impregnate, infiltrate the Governor's agencies with political people who come from the opposite party. It makes no sense. I think you have to lift yourself above it. You can't legislate for today and tomorrow. You've got to legislate for years in the future. And the simple proposition is, do not continue this onslaught into the Executive area. Because if you do continue it, you're going to destroy accountability and make it impossible even for the Legislators



to make certain the government functions. I have to vote 'yes' on this Bill, on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on an Amendment very similar to this the other night, I said, 'I don't care whether the Attorney General's name is Clark or Scott or Blair or anything else.' I think we've got here our constitutional responsibility to make a decision. We have two constitutional officers who are differing with each other, each believing they're right. Who the heck will defend the Governor if the Attorney General should sue him? I refer those Gentlemen to the Constitution which says that the Attorney General is the attorney for the Governor. How can he possibly sue him? Who would defend him? This giving of the powers to the Attorney General, where they belong, is an antibureaucracy kind of a move. This Amendment is a probureaucracy kind of a move. The E.P.A. wants to be their own lawyer. I would remind you all of an old dictum that says, 'The lawyer who handles his own case, has a fool for a client'. This is a political power play, in my opinion and it's our very serious responsibility to determine it and I believe, in fact, that the Attorney General must be given the powers under the Constitution, to be the attorney for all constitutional offices."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm aware of the many arguments that go on relating to this particular Bill, but I want to point out that I have a statement by Governor Dan Walker, July 7, 1974, which reads in part, 'The poor can go hungry while Scott tries to build his political empire by seizing hundreds of jobs and millions of dollars in legal fees. I'm aware of one thing. There may be hundreds of jobs around here, but I can't find 'em and I've been looking for 'em. I look all through the budgets and nowhere can you find where we appropriate money to these attorneys. It all comes under contract somewhere, but they're admitting at least hundreds of jobs and millions of dollars. I know none of it goes to the 29th District that I can find out about. And I understand this, I've had people running to me talking



about saving our job. I don't have any to save and when I get some to save, I might try to help. But in the meantime, I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what were the issues were we arguing last year at this time that took us couple three days over? The same Gentleman, William Scott, was involved in keeping us here two extra days last year. I feel that this man has a lust for power that, I think, we should all begin to recognize sooner or later. And the old saying, 'A lust for power does strange things for men'. And I think this is one of those, you know, kind of guys who has the kind of lust. And I, for one, want to protect the public interest and I wouldn't vote for him for nothing. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, six years ago in the... in the far end of my district on the very south end of Cook County beyond the steel mills, visibility was about one mile. A big, bad, boogey-man Attorney General Scott got busy, filed injunctions with the steel mills, cleaned up that air. Today, you can stand at the far end of my district and see the skyscrapers in Chicago Loop, over twenty some miles away. Think about that. Six years ago, one mile; today, the Chicago Loop."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, being not a lawyer or having a legal mind, I'm not sure that I can be in this whole argument. But I will say one thing, I think we're standing in a Legislature where a Gentleman who ascended to the highest office in this land, long ago gave a speech concerning a House divided and if what we have went through in the last few days here, is what we are going through here today in this General Assembly, is any indication of what will take place with the Governor having an Attorney General running one of his Executive departments, we will truly, truly and truly see again in this land, a House divided that simply cannot stand. I vote 'aye' on this Amendment. I think we're simply fooling ourselves if we feel that giving this power



to Attorney General Scott or any other Attorney General will solve any problems in terms of dealing with the problems that face us in the environment here in Illinois. I think we're simply fooling ourselves. We're fooling the public. I think if we really want to do the public a justice, we will simply leave this Bill and leave the administrative purposes in the charge of the Constitution, quit kidding around, vote these Bills up and down and go home."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J. Wolf: "Might I suggest that you turn the House lights down so the cameras can't film and we could get this thing to a head. I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to close the explanation of votes. I want to say two things. The E.P.A. can't be doing too bad a job if the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, says they're not... they're not doing enough and the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, says they're doing too much to clean up the environment. So, we have an E.P.A. here that apparently is somewhere in between Mr. Mann, who wants to turn Lake Michigan into an unused water fountain; and Mr. Borchers, who doesn't want any regulation of garbage dumps or whatever he may... landfills in Macon County. The E.P.A. is somewhere in between and they're being blamed by everybody. Well, I think they're doing a good job and I think it's unfair to single out the new Director in the balcony who can't talk back and force your opinion on him and castigate him from the floor of this House. It's unfair to take a man in the balcony who can't speak on the floor and castigate him which my colleague on this side did. And I'll be frank with him even though we're long-time and good friends. Now, let me say this. If this Amendment's defeated, the Attorney General has a built-in conflict of interest. He's the legal advisor to the Pollution Control Board. At the same time, if the E.P.A. brings an action before the Pollution Control Board under what the Senate did, he'll be attorney for the prosecutor of the E.P.A. So, if you're defending a case of the E.P.A., you'll find the legal advisors, the judge and the board is the same as



the legal advisors and the prosector of the E.P.A. That's why the E.P.A. needs its own counsel. That's why every agency in Washington, every federal agency has its own counsel and the Attorney General represents them in court. The Attorney General is the chief legal officer of Illinois and he should represent this agency on appeal and in court. But this agency like every federal agency, is entitled to its own inside counsel so that the Attorney General can, in turn... the Attorney General can, in turn, counsel the Pollution Control Board and not at the same time, being representing the complainant before the Board, the E.P.A. This is a good Amendment. It just keeps up where we had it under Ogilvie and under Scott, further apart... George Burdett sponsored the Bill. When he sponsored the Bill, the E.P.A. had their own technical consultants, their own lawyers and I ask for a favorable Roll Call on Amendment 14."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk. Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "This is an explanation of vote, but I do want to thank the Gentleman, blank, for allowing me for the first time in six years to speak four times on the floor of this House on the row practically."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "State your question, sir."

Tuerk: "Would I be in order to suggest to the Chair that we take the record and go on to the next piece of business?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'd be happy to, but there are some Members who are zealous in their desire to explain their votes. And as I said last time, I don't want to be heavy-handed. Everyone wants to... you still want to explain your votes? Jim? The Gentleman from, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I see that it's not necessary now, but if it becomes us, I'd like to move for verification."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, do you wish to explain your vote?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Amendment for only



one main reason. From personal knowledge, I know what this Environmental Protection Agency has done within the last six months in the 30th District which affected not only the 30th District but the 24th District, the 28th District, the 29th District and the 8th and 10th around us because if it wasn't for their vigilance and their study and their expertise, we might have had one of the biggest catastrophies that ever hit the south side of Chicago and the south edge of Cook County. And I know that the present director who's sitting up in the balcony was alert all night and fed to me and other Legislators in the 30th District, information as to the status of the problem that existed. He has the technical expertise and he has the legal expertise that he needs in that Department. And I say that we should not allow that expertise to be dwindled away or eroded. And I should also say that would surprise you very greatly that the present occupant of the Attorney General's office so not so vociferous, was not so interested obtaining these positions while the Governor of the State of Illinois was his own party. Now that the Governor of the State of Illinois is not of his own party, he becomes so vigilant all of a sudden to take over the powers of every agency. Therefore, I ask that we support this Amendment and get on with the work of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my pleasure to announce to you as a point of personal privilege and I thank both Republicans and Democrats that the R.T.A. Bill, Senate Bill 1452, was passed by 38 votes in the Senate."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 77 'ayes', 75 'nays'. Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Verification has been requested. Verification has been requested. As soon as the Roll Call comes out of the machine, we'll get our absentees. Want to poll the absentees first, Mr. Clerk? Poll the absentees, let's get that out of the way."



Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Bluthardt, Brandt, Capuzi, Carter, Ron Hoffman, Hunsicker, Klosak, Laurino, Lechowicz, McCormick, Murphy, Pappas, Randolph, Rayson, Sevcik, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh, Yourell."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "I'd respectfully ask, request the Chair to ask the Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle to approximate at least their seat positions and if the Members, individuals who are not entitled to the floor might be asked to at least leave the immediate area, the chamber. And turn off the light."

Speaker Telcser: "Members please be in their seats, have the aisles cleared, and those persons not authorized to be on the floor. Start with the Affirmative Roll Call first."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes, Barry, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Dyer, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman... Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Londrigan, Lundy, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAvoy, McClain, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Stone, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Washington, Williams."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

R. Dunne: "I'd just like to make an announcement that because of a certain green light on this side of the aisle, the Attorney General has announced his opposition to the Equal Rights Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, questions of the affirmative Roll Call."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Because of my absolute amazement, 'Giddy' Dyer."

Speaker Telcser: "'Giddy' Dyer's in her seat. You want to stand up, Representative Dyer? Representative Dyer."

Peters: "Is Representative Dyer here?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dyer, would you stand up and wave your arm, please? You're being verified."

Peters: "Representative Catania. Representative Catania."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania is recorded... she's recorded as 'aye'. She's in her seat."

Peters: "Representative G.L. Hoffman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative G.L. Hoffman is standing by his seat."

Peters: "Well, I thought he left."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "I thought he left."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second now, wait, wait. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, he's just called three people who are obviously there, in their seats. I wish he would not be dilatory and move on with the verification."

Speaker Telcser: "He has the right to call someone whom he wishes, Representative, just as you have that right."

Peters: "Some of our eyes are not as good as others, Representative Houlihan. Representative... I see Representative Barnes there. Representative Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry on the floor? Representative Barry. How is he recorded?"

Peters: "Toby Barry."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Barry, 'B', like in boy, 'A-R-R-Y', Tobias."

Peters: "Tobias Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I



have to go to the bathroom and I got kicked off of one Roll Call cause I was verified. I wonder if I could be verified so I could go to the bathroom?"

Speaker Telcser: "No."

Peters: "Representative Boyle, we'll get to you shortly. So that Representative Boyle, doesn't have a mishap, Representative Boyle."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle is walking up the side aisle."

Peters: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Brummet."

Speaker Telcser: "Who was the last person?"

Peters: "Brummet."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brummet on the floor? Brummet. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if I might inquire of the Clerk. Representative Tobias Barry was taken off?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tobias Barry was taken off."

Peters: "Representative Caldwell. No, I see Caldwell, all right. Representative Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig on the floor? Representative Craig, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative Deuster."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deuster is in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Douglas."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas on the floor? Douglas. How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative Fennessey."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fennessey on the floor? Yes, his is. He's in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Flinn."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn is in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the floor? There he is. Sparky's in the back by John Houlihan."

Peters: "All right, Sparky. Representative Mike Getty."

Speaker Telcser: "Mike Getty in his, is in his seat. Brummet has returned."

Peters: "We didn't... Oh, yeah, okay. Brummet's back. I couldn't see Representative Getty through Representative Pierce. Representative Hart."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hart on the floor? Representative Hart. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative J.D. Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jim Holloway on the floor? Here he is, he's in the back."

Peters: "All right. Representative Jacobs."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jacobs is in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Emil Jones on the floor? Emil Jones. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Is he there? He's in his seat."

Peters: "I can't see all the way through, but Representative Katz."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Peters: "Representative Krause."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Krause on the floor? Representative Krause. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative Maragos... I see him. All right. Representative Giglio."

Speaker Telcser: "Who was that, Pete?"

Peters: "Giglio."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giglio is standing right here in the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

aisle."

Peters: "All right, Representative McAvoy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAvoy's in his seat. Craig.

Mr. Clerk, Representative Craig has returned. Craig has returned."

Peters: "Who's that, Craig?"

Speaker Telcser: "Craig has returned."

Peters: "Representative Schlickman. Gene Schlickman."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Peters: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "And also, Representative Krause has returned."

Peters: "Krause has returned?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Schlickman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Schlickman: "That's exactly the way I feel. Thank you."

Peters: "I couldn't see you through the books, Gene. I didn't see ya'. Well, that's probably right. Representative Shea."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea on the floor? Representative Shea. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Takehim off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "Who was that, Pete?"

Peters: "Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "Stedelin, Representative Stedelin on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Representative Thompson I see. Representative Tipsword, Rollie Tipsword. I see Rollie."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Peters: "Any more? We verified Clyde Choate ahead of time. Sharp. Representative Sharp."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sharp on the floor? Is he in his seat behind Jim Houlihan?" I can't see, no? How is Representative Sharp recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Peters: "Is... no, D'Arco's there. Giorgi, we got. Beaupre, we got. Lee Kennedy, he's there. Jaffe's there. No, Londrigan's there still. I think... Lechowicz isn't voting. That's it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's get the Roll Call where it stands now. We'll start the negative verification. Representative Jones, for what purpose do you rise? Emil Jones."

E. Jones: "I was in my seat and you took me off the Roll Call. I don't know why."

Speaker Telcser: "We didn't take you off the Roll Call. I saw you back there. You're still on. There are... right now, there are 71 'ayes', 75 'nays'. Now we'll start the verification of the Negative Roll Call."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Excuse me, may I hear that count again?"

Speaker Telcser: "71 'ayes', 75 'nos'."

Peters: "71, you said? I'm just asking a question. I'm sorry, Dan. You can count a lot faster, your mind works better than mine. I'm a little slower I'm... Fred, I'm sorry. 71 'ayes'?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, took off six."

Peters: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "75 'nos'. Okay, now, Mugalian says there's only five that are supposed to come off. You want to come to the Clerk now, before you start a brouhaha later? Everybody..."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Douglas, Hart, Sharp, Shea, Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, are you happy now? You got it right? Okay, well... take up a little more time. That's all right. Anyone else on the negative... on the Affirmative Roll Call? Is that verification now complete? All complete. Representative Shea has returned. There are now 72 'yeses'. There are now 72 'yeses'. Are there any... no further questions of the Affirmative?"

You want to poll the... verification now of the Negative Roll Call. Who's going to do this? Are you, Jim?"

Clerk Selcke: "Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, Dan, okay."

Clerk Selcke: "Borchers, Campbell, Clabaugh, Collins, Cunningham, Day, Deavers, Dee, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Fleck, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Griesheimer, Groberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hirschfeld, Robert Holloway, Hudson, Huskey, Hyde, Jenison, Dave Jones, Juckett, Keller, Kempiners, Kent, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, McAuliffe, McCourt, McMaster, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Neff, North, Palmer, Peters, Philip, Polk, Porter, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schoeberlein, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stiehl, Taylor, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, William Walsh, Walters, Washburn, J.J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the Negative Roll Call. Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce. Would you put on Dan Pierce, please?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Mr. Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beatty on the floor? Beatty. Representative D'Arco, for what purpose do you rise? Representative D'Arco wants to change his vote from 'yes' to 'no'. Representative Beatty on the floor. How is he recorded?"

Pierce: "Mr. Clabaugh."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second now. Let me get done with Beatty. Representative..."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Representative Wall, for what purpose do you rise? Record Representative Wall as voting 'aye'. Wall 'aye'. Further questions. Are there further questions, Representative Pierce?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, are you ready for me?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, waiting for you, Dan."

Pierce: "Okay. Clabaugh."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Clabaugh is standing by his seat."

Pierce: "Ralph Dunn."



Speaker Telcser: "Ralph Dunn is in his seat."

Pierce: "Brian Duff."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff is in his seat."

Pierce: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Telcser: "Ewell?"

Pierce: "Raymond Ewell."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, Ray Ewell. Representative Raymond Ewell on the floor? Ewell, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Pierce: "Fleck."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck on the floor? Representative. Oh, there he is. Right up here by 'Giddy' Dyer."

Pierce: "Friedland."

Speaker Telcser: "Friedland. Representative Friedland on the floor? Friedland. How is he... Oh, there he is."

Pierce: "Gibbs."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gibbs is standing right by John Hirschfeld."

Pierce: "That makes it hard, you know, when they're back there. Arnell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Arnell. I don't think he voted, Dan. Arnell. I don't think he was voting."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is absent."

Pierce: "Mr. Harpstrite."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Harpstrite is standing by his seat."

Pierce: "Representative Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Campbell is in his seat."

Pierce: "Representative Keller."

Speaker Telcser: "Keller is standing in the back of the chamber."

Pierce: "Representative..."

Speaker Telcser: "Ray Ewell has returned. Ray Ewell has returned. Put him back on."

Pierce: "Kempiners."

Speaker Telcser: "Kempiners is sitting... his seat."

Pierce: "Madigan."



Speaker Telcser: "Mike Madigan. He's standing right here."

Pierce: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAuliffe's in his seat."

Pierce: "I see him. Pat North."

Speaker Telcser: "Pat North is standing by his seat."

Pierce: "Hirschfeld."

Speaker Telcser: "Hirschfeld's in his seat."

Pierce: "Rigney."

Speaker Telcser: "Rigney's in his seat."

Pierce: "Rose, Tom Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "Rose is in his seat."

Pierce: "Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "Skinner. Cal Skinner on the floor? What?"

Pierce: "Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner on the floor? I'll put him back on. I'll take him off for now. How's the Gentleman recorded? Cal Skinner."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Pierce: "Springer."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a sec. What'd you say? Skinner just came back. Skinner is back on."

Pierce: "Springer."

Speaker Telcser: "Springer. Over by Pat North and Tom Rose."

Pierce: "Pappas."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pappas, I don't think voted. He's absent."

Pierce: "Representative Collins, I don't see."

Speaker Telcser: "Phil Collins. He's stuck in the gallery with some constituents."

Pierce: "Collins... I don't see Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "He's explaining the legislative process to some constituents. Oh, he's trying to. He's trying to make excuses for us. Any further questions, sir?"

Pierce: "Ryan, Representative Ryan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ryan is right by Peters."



Pierce: "I don't see Palmer."

Speaker Telcser: "Romie Palmer. He's right next to 'Giddy' Dyer."

Pierce: "Representative McMasters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McMaster. Representative McMaster.

Oh, there he is with that brown sport jacket on. Further questions?"

Pierce: "That's all I have."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there's 72 'ayes' and 75 'nays'.

The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #14 fails. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Would you read the Bill a third time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1357. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Environmental Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the ordinary and contingent expenses of a by-governmental agency, the E.P.A. and the Attorney General. The appropriation of that on Second Reading was \$500,000. Also an amount of four hundred was added to hazardous substance. I solicit your support on the appropriation."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1357 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, M.: Speaker. I want to indicate that I'm voting 'yes' even though whenever I receive those letters from the agency telling me there's a project in my district and I call the agency to find out where it is, they can't tell me. I'm still going to vote 'yes' and even though the Director of that agency was very rude to my Congressman's administrative assistants, I'm still going to vote 'yes' because I think the E.P.A. is a necessary agency."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Wait a second. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Well, very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I hope that I did not, in fact,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

appear to be raking the new director over the coals. Director, Director, Director, Director, Director, I did not mean to rake you over the coals. I would like to work with you to make your agency a strong one."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question 144 'ayes', 5 'nays', none answering 'present'. Someone seeking... Representative Friedland, for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we just verified a couple of Roll Calls here and I notice some of those Members that were not here are voting. Want to try that again or do something appropriate?"

Speaker Telcser: "On this question 144 'ayes', 5 'nays', none answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay. Okay, okay. The Supplemental House Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill, Chuck, 1559. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports for which purpose the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell, is recognized."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is roughly in the or basically in the same shape that it was when it left this House the last time. The... in the Bill, we have twenty-nine million additional dollars that have been added at the last Conference Committee Report to take care of the 5% additional cost of living increase and another... I want to say, too, that the Attorney General's Amendment has been worked out in agreement with the Governor's office, so there's no problem with that. I would also like to say that there has been an additional appropriation of \$1.7 million for a hundred and seventy-eight people to be added to take care of Case Worker's I, added to the staff and I would ask to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann. No? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill... you said do adopt, right, Chuck? We do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1559. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

for immediate effective date, will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 129 'ayes', 11 'nays', 3 answering 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1273. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, you meant the Conference Report with regard to Senate Bill 1559, didn't you? Did I misunderstand you?"

Speaker Telcser: "Say that again, would you?"

Mann: "I'm sorry. I thought you had... you did indicate that this has been adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, was just adopted."

Mann: "All I wanted to say, Mr. Speaker, well, I wanted to thank the Members of this House. I think this is a significant, human victory. I wanted to thank the Senate Leadership, particularly Senator...(microphone turned off)... the current Leadership on both sides of the aisle and I would hope that Governor Walker would sign this Bill and my colleague, Representative Campbell. Thank you very, very much for standing with this cost of living increase."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2359 for which purpose the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevec, is recognized."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to have leave to hold this for awhile. There's been... I'm happy to say the Senate goofed up again and we have to just hold it for awhile."

Speaker Telcser: "No problem, Jack. On the Order of Conference Committee Report appears House Bill 2348, for which purpose the Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle, is recognized."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd move we do adopt the Conference, Second Conference Committee Report on 2348."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2348. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Take 107 votes for an immediate effective date. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question,



130 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'. The House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2348. Okay, now, Kriegsman 'aye', Stiehl 'aye', Hudson 'aye', Juckett 'aye'. Jack, Beaupre 'aye'. Beaupre 'aye'. Okay, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports on the Daily Calendar appears House Bill 2298 for which purpose the Gentleman from McClain, Representative Deavers, is recognized."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we do adopt Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion?"

Deavers: "What's it do, it's the 8% increase that you've been opposed to. We'll see if you want to shoot craps for a hundred million."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman wants his Bill called. You're right, sir. If you want to call it; it's called. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "I would like to discuss it just a little bit with Mr. McClain and clear up a little misunderstanding on the Conference Committee Report and the fact that Jerry Bradley signed the first one and we had a little confusion in the fact that we couldn't find him. He was eating lunch and he didn't sign the second one, but I'm sure that he's in favor of this proposal. Also, that it was offered to Mr. Lechowicz and he chose to not sign, is that correct, Ed? Okay. And that's pretty much where it stands in the whole thing and I think that everybody understands what it is. The same Bill this was concurred with in the Senate with a vote of 50 to nothing and that's the reason I feel the time is right, so let's vote on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman... is there any discussion? The Gentleman's moved the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2298. Any discussion? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Takes 107 votes. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out to the Membership that the Second Conference Committee Report that was not adopted in the Senate... as far as my information is concerned, it came from over there. Dump... the... dump... the Liquor Control



Commission budget, that doesn't disturb me that much. But these do disturb me. If that would be the action, then you would find yourself in a position of dumping the budgetary request by a guest... is this the second one?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, this is the second one."

Choate: "...Board of Governors and Southern Illinois University and we're talking about... what we're talking about is a 3% increase in salaries, cost of living increase to various individuals. So, you make up your own mind. You've heard me talk about it on the floor of this House, at the University of Illinois when had not... proved. I would have been making a much more emphatic talk than I'm making at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would hope that this Bill would give you a favorable consideration and ask that the Governor, if he wants to reduce the salaries of the individuals to respective pay raises of the state to... back to 5%, that's his prerogative. But I, in turn, do not want to be charged with the responsibility of not passing on his budget. For that reason, I would ask you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just going to say that I think this is one of the dirtiest tricks I've seen and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 120 'ayes', 4 'nays', 10 answering 'present'. And the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2298. Jim, Jimmy, are you going to handle C.L.'s 23... On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2357, for which purpose the Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Jim Holloway, is recognized."

J. Holloway: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would now move that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2357. This Amendment does the same as the Amendment on the last Bill. It increases the pay raise from 5% to 8. I would ask your favorable consideration on this Bill for Southern Illinois University."



Speaker Telcser: "All right now, this is a Conference Committee Report, not a concurrence motion. Right, Jim? That must be an Amendment you adopted in the Conference Committee?"

J. Holloway: "Yes, this is the Conference Committee Report, Second Conference Committee. This is final action on the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2357. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 6 'nays', 11 answering 'present'. And the House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2357. Back on the Supplemental House Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill 1261. Jack, for which purpose the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, is recognized."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Minority Leader just indicated to you, there is some complications with this Bill in that as you just yeard, the Senate refused to accept the Conference Committee Report. The Second Conference Committee Report and as I understand it, that means that the Bill lies on the Table in the Senate. For that reason, I want to say to this House that again, because of the Republican side and the State Attorney General playing political brinkmanship with these positions, with the various state agencies, and with the assistants of the Appropriations Committees on both sides, that the Democrats were not called to the Conference Committee. We had absolutely no input into the Conference Committee Report. It was submitted to me, the Sponsor, without ever discussing the matter. As a result at this, the present time, the Liquor Control Commission is dead. We have, no longer have a viable Liquor Control Commission in this state. It seems to me that this is the utmost political irresponsibility and for that purpose, I'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would, first of all, refute what the Gentleman said about the



Conference Committee. The Gentlemen over there know full well that there has been every effort made to inform the Members of the Conference Committee and somehow or other, staff has gotten in the way on the Democratic side. Staff has interfered, I'm told, on the Democratic side and there has been no availability of the Members to sign the Conference Committee Report. But in any case, the Gentleman from Kankakee knows full well what was in the Conference Committee Report. I dare say, it didn't differ any from the First Conference Committee Report. Now, we're faced here, Mr. Speaker, with a... the, for the first time in history a Governor who would appear to be an ego maniac, stepping in the way..."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, what'd he say? Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Well, I don't know what the point that the Majority Leader is talking on at the present time. Would you first, inform me of that?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, Representative Choate, I didn't hear what he said."

Choate: "Well, what purpose does the Majority Leader have the floor at this time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he rose..."

W. Walsh: "To talk on Senate Bill 1261, Conference Committee Report."

Choate: "1261 is out of the record. He full well realizes that and he's..."

W. Walsh: "1261 is not out of the record."

Choate: "...making a politically inspired speech and I'm getting tired of hearing them."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, the Gentleman from Kankakee had asked to take 1261 out of the record. At that moment, Representative Walsh, sought recognition. The Chair recognized him and that's where we're at."

W. Walsh: "Representative Matijevec yesterday or perhaps earlier today, castigated our side of the aisle because of their poor manners. I've been observing for a long time that on this side of the aisle, we sit and take almost anything from people over there."



Whenever anyone on our side has any criticism at all, we get every manner of hoot and people standing up, hollering, screaming and just general bad manners. And I would suggest to you that you show me the courtesy that we have been showing almost all Members of the aisle, of your side of the aisle throughout this Session. To continue, Mr. Speaker, I persist in the... my earlier statement that the Governor, for whatever..."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "If the Gentleman is going to persist in the wanning hours, hopefully, of this Legislature in attempting to make some political points, whatever they might be, I would advise him that if he wants to try to get next to the press, to hold himself a press conference and not delay and burden the time of these Legislators. Now, we've heard his political rhetoric, time and time again, and some of the times, we've given him attention and some of the times, we haven't. And I only want to advise him that we'd better get on with the business of this House and not be making accusations and casting accusations at someone who is not personally involved in these wanning hours, any more so if he wants a political speech, I'll be happy to make one also. I just want to tell him this, that if he continues in this vein and I'm going to demand equal time and someway or other, I'm going to get it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "I would say he had, wouldn't you, Mr. Speaker? He's been talking longer than I have all day long."

Speaker Telcser: "You got a chance to catch up now."

W. Walsh: "All right, Mr. Speaker, to continue. The Governor, for whatever reason and I would, as I indicated before, question them very seriously. And I question, indeed, his competence to continue service because for the first time..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, wait. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you now rise?"

Choate: "Well, this is the first time out of the many doubtful talents that the Majority Leader proclaims to have, that I've heard him



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

proclaim to be a psychiatrist. I don't know what in the world he's trying to get to, but I wished he'd hurry up and get there and let us get about the business of this House and get it over with."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "I counted them, I managed to get out twenty words since the last time I was interrupted by the Minority Leader. Again, Mr. Speaker, this is the first time in the 164 or so year history of the State of Illinois that this Legislature is faced with adjournment before we have funded the operations of state government and there's only one political party you can blame for that and I submit to you that there's really one person and that person is the Governor of the State of Illinois. That's a very serious charge but I mean it sincerely. Unfortunately, the Governor of the State of Illinois has charmed the people over..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate..."

W. Walsh: "...to the point where they are following him and this..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "I want to point out something to the Members of this House. Most of us have been a father or a mother at some time and Representative Catania is a late and young mother at the present time. But it appears to me that the Majority Leader is so full of gas that Mrs. Catania needs to burp him."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I may not be a psychiatrist, but the Gentleman seems to be an expert on the subject he just spoke of. Again and I'm very serious in this charge, Mr. Speaker. I don't mean to be joking and I would hope that the people over there would have equal time and would be able to justify the position that their Governor, through them, has put the State of Illinois. We're faced now with the Liquor Control Commission, a very important agency of state government. We're not funding it. Apparently the Gentlemen over there and Representative Beaupre, knows well the rules, that if this Conference Committee Report is not adopted, it will be tabled and that Commission will not be funded for the coming fiscal year. Now, that is indeed very serious. Perhaps,



the Governor is engaging in some kind of brinkmanship where he's going to pull the fat from the fire in some way. I don't know how except to call a Special Session of this Legislature..."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise now?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, a point of information."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Choate: "Maybe you do know or maybe you do not know and if neither one of us know, maybe the Clerk could advise us. Was this Conference Committee Report tabled on the second go-round in the State Senate?"

Speaker Telcser: "The best information we have is... on Postponed Consideration or something. I think there was a Roll Call. Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I was in the Senate... I was in the Senate when the final vote was taken. The Bill was declared lost. That is, yes, the Bill was declared lost. Now as I told Mr. Beaupre, since this discussion started, there were two Democrats voted on the prevailing side and so they did that for the purpose, you know, to revive that Bill and bring it up. All they were waiting for was us to get some sense in our head up here and get on with that business and we have started it and so, let's get the couch in for Bill Walsh and the gas bag for friend, Clyde Choate, and get them both working and I think we'll get along all right."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I have a printout that shows to me that House Bill, Senate Bill 1261 sponsored by Senator Course in the amount of four hundred and sixteen thousand, seven hundred dollars for the Liquor Control Commission, on July the 2nd in the Senate on the reconsideration of the vote of the Second Conference Committee, was lost. I would assume, therefore, that the budget for the Liquor Control Commission is lost. And if it is, I don't know why Representative Walsh is castigating Representative Beaupre."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, first of all, let me clear one thing up. I had no intention of castigating Representative Beaupre except to the extent that he is a follower of the Governor of this state."



To that extent, I criticize him severely. Now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "If I may return to my point."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, return to your point, sir."

W. Walsh: "My point is, Mr. Speaker, that we are faced at this time with this very serious condition of not having many agencies of state government funded and I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that we cannot abide that and those of us that are responsible must do something about it. And for that reason, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the... of Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 1261."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now let's get to this important matter. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Does this Conference Committee Report have to do with a Bill that Representative Walsh is the Sponsor of?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. Walsh: "Let me respond to that. You know, we had a meeting of the Rules Committee as everyone knows, all the Members know a few days ago at which the question of Sponsors control of Bills came up. Now, mind you, this is three, perhaps four days ago. Time seems to have lost its meaning in the last ten or twelve days. At that meeting, it was determined by the Rules Committee in an informal statement if you'll recall, was read from the podium that a Sponsor does indeed control his Bill. Now, the questions were put in the Rules Committee, rhetorical questions, questions that could never possibly arise, had never arised ever in the history of this state. What if the Sponsor of the Department of Mental Health appropriation for some whim of his own, elected not to call that Bill. Do you mean to say Rules Committee that the Department of Mental Health would then for the coming fiscal year not be funded? And the response to that was, well, of course not. In that instance, then any Member could move the Bill or move the Conference Committee Report or do whatever was necessary to get that very important function of state government funded. Now, I submit to you that this is the first of the agencies and



there will be more, I'm afraid, that will be unfunded unless some of us act responsibly and I'm suggesting to you that the Republican Members of this House intend to act responsibly and for that reason, I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1261."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative... Berman. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Berman: "The declaration from the podium the other day would rule the motion of Representative Walsh out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "Where is that... would you call that out..."

Berman: "Declaration from the podium."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative J. David Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to propose a substitute motion, that we concur with the Conference Committee Report #2. As a Member of the Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones, moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report... let me just state it and then I'll get you. Let me state what he wants to say. I know... the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative J. David Jones, now moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to Senate Bill 1261."

J. Jones: "And I say that as a Member of the Conference."

Speaker Telcser: "And the Gentleman is a Member of the Conference Committee. Okay now, before I... any further discussion? Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Well, simply a point of order because the same Gentleman that was the Sponsor of this Bill this morning, this afternoon, this evening, yesterday and days previous is still the Sponsor. Contrary to the Conference Report, notwithstanding. Now, the Conference Committee Report does have to do with the Bill itself. You and I know it, everybody knows it and in accordance with the ruling of the Chair just a few days ago, if this motion is allowed to



stand, if it's ruled in order, it's in direct conflict to a ruling of the Chair of just a few days ago."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, before Representative Jones made his motion, there was a point of order to the Majority Leader, but I haven't heard a ruling on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman, the Chair would rule that and would now rule in view of Representative Jones' motion, that any Member of the Conference Committee has a right to make a motion such as Representative Jones' and that Representative Jones' motion is indeed, in order."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have in my hand and I hope that your Parliamentarian will pay attention."

Speaker Telcser: "We're paying attention, Art, go ahead."

Berman: "I have in my hand, Mr. Speaker, the... the draft of a proposed rule that was the subject matter of that Rules Committee that the Majority Leader referred to. When he made his remarks, I did not stand up to contradict him because we, in fact, did discuss in the Rules Committee the question of whether a Sponsor could refuse to call any Bill and in particular an Appropriation Bill. And contrary to what the Majority Leader said, it was my impression that it was the consensus and almost unanimous consensus of that Rules Committee that the destiny of a Bill was within the control of the Sponsor. Now, Mr. Speaker, I have in here the memorandum prepared by the Parliamentarian, I believe it was, and I made no product regarding the consensus of opinion that was concurred in by the Speaker and my notes read as follows, Mr. Speaker. That when a Conference Committee has a filed a report with the House, the Speaker shall afford the Chief Sponsor of the Bill in the House the opportunity to be the first to make a motion in regard to the Report. The Chief Sponsor shall move either that the House, quote, 'do adopt', unquote or, quote, 'do not adopt', unquote, the Report. That no motion to table, no substitute motion and no substitute motion will be allowed. No Member



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

other than the principle Sponsor may make said motion and no division of the question will be allowed. Now, Mr. Speaker, that is what was announced from the podium and I... and... I urge the Chair very respectfully to either recall that that was your statement from the Chair or if there's any question in your mind, Mr. Speaker, to take the time to get a transcript of the record because that is what the Rules Committee agreed upon almost unanimously and that was what the Chair ruled from the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman, as you well know during the course of that Rules meeting, you and I were in just about total accord as to the spirit of what we felt that ruling ought be. I would like to refresh your memory, however, and remind you that we always discuss this matter in the context of, I can never imagine a Sponsor not calling a Bill that would fund a state agency. And it was for that very reason that we did not put this question and the consensus of the Committee into the rules. The Chair now has made the ruling with respect to Representative Jones' motion, based on the fact that as improbable, it is beyond our realm of conjecture as it could be just 24 hours ago, there are Sponsors of Bills in this General Assembly today who do not want to have those Bills called, for whatever the reasons might be. And that the person who presides over this House has a greater responsibility than that to protect the right of a Member, but has a responsibility to the people of this state to see to it that Mental Health, schools and even a Liquor Commission appropriation is funded. That responsibility transcends tradition in this House. We knew that might be the case and that is the reason why the Chair is now ruling that Representative Jones' motion, who is a Member of that Conference Committee, is indeed in order in putting his motion."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may refresh your memory, the statement that was made in that Rules Committee was that if, in fact, a Sponsor of an Appropriation Bill saw fit not to call it, that the will of the House could prevail by the waiving of rules and the... and the suspending of a rule such as this and we accorded the Speaker... we accorded the Speaker as he requested in that



Rules Committee the courtesy, the courtesy which, I believe, is now being breached, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I said, we accorded the Speaker the courtesy which is now being breached, that instead of debating an adoption of a rule that was unanimously subscribed to by that Rules Committee, that we would take them at good faith and allow the announcement from the Chair to prevail. Now, there is nothing here that is contrary to what was discussed and, in fact, your statement doesn't apply now because as far as the Senate action is concerned, there is no agency that's going to be funded. That's already dead and it does not depend upon the action of Representative Jones' motion as to whether that agency is going to be funded. Now, if you're going to renig upon a statement from the Chair, then that is, I think, even a greater responsibility as it relates from the Chair to the Members of this House."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, while... Okay now, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that Representative Jones' motion lie on the table and that a substitute motion be placed in its stead for a Third Conference Committee to be appointed."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, Representative Beaupre, your motion to table Representative Jones' motion is in order. Wait a second now, is in order. There have been no Third Conference Committees in the past. This House, in fact, did pass, although the Senate did not, joint rules which set out only two Conference Committees. and as a result, the Chair will rule, there will only be two Conference Committees. Now, I'll be glad to take your motion to have, to table Representative Jones'. Now, this is a nondebatable motion. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I full well realize, I've seen them. I have a copy of them, that the House did indicate in a Message that was sent to the Senate that there should only be two Conference Committees. The Senate, the Senate in its wisdom did not see fit to joint... to adopt a joint set of rules. This, you and I know. So consequently, we are not operating under a



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

joint set of rules because of the inactivity of the Senate in adhering to the adoption of those rules. So consequently, under the rules of this House and under the rules... under the wording of the Constitution of this state, there is specifically no limit to the number of Conference Committees that can be called. You and I know that. We're talking about an indication that was not adhered to by the Senate in its lack of effort to provide a joint set of rules."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Representative Beaupre's motion... Jack, are you still moving to table Representative Jones' motion?"

Beaupre: "It's all one motion, Mr. Speaker, and I don't want those..."

Speaker Telcser: "The motion's not in order, sir. That motion is not in order, sir. We cannot take it. Now, back to Representative Jones' motion. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, are you telling me that Representative Beaupre cannot make a substitute motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm saying that Representative Beaupre may make a substitute motion. He cannot make two in one, particularly when the second half is out of order."

Choate: "I understood Representative Beaupre's motion to be as a substitute motion that the Conference Committee Report do not be adopted and calling for a Third Conference Committee at the same time."

Speaker Blair: "All right, here's where we are now. The Gentleman from Kankakee has made a motion to table. That's not debatable. We have accorded the parties on both sides an opportunity with respect to that motion to have one in opposition. Mr. Jones, over here is in opposition to the motion to table and Mr. Beaupre urges that the motion to table prevail. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. This is final action on this Bill and if the motion to table prevails, the Liquor Commission will be dead. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Mr. Walsh, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to emphasize the importance of this vote. We are voting, if we vote to support Representative Beaupre in his



motion to table, we are voting not to fund an agency of state government."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you rise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, merely on the point of order that a motion to table was not my motion. And that this Chair is considering a motion which I did not make. I made to motion to table and a... included in that motion..."

Speaker Telcser: "That's out of order..."

Beaupre: "A motion for a Third Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you wish to withdraw your motion, Representative Beaupre?"

Beaupre: "I'll withdraw the motion, Mr. Chairman, unless it's considered in the form that I made it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones, moves the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to Senate Bill 1261. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This will be final action. If this Bill doesn't get 107 votes, the Liquor Commission Appropriation is dead. Representative Choate, to explain his vote."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I would like for the record to clearly indicate that I dissent from the action that is being taken. And the reason that I dissent from the action being taken is that the rules of this House that we have been operating under the time that we have been here, the wording of the Constitution of the great State of Illinois clearly do not... clearly do not put a limitation on the number of Conference Committees that can be considered by this House and by the Senate. I would like for the record to indicate that the arbitrary action of the State Senate probably precipitated this action here. But as responsible Members of this Legislature, I think that it is treating state government and the people of this state entirely and completely unfair to say to them that simply because we can't agree in two Conference Committees, we're going to destroy a budget for a particular agent of state government. I think this is irresponsible. I'm making this dissent for the record and I'm sure that in the



future, we shall hear more about it. I vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Choate's dissent will be Journalized. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want to explain my 'no' vote. I, for one, don't think that this kills the appropriation. We don't have the joint rules here and I've said time and time, that's not my fault and I don't think it's any of the Members fault here, but the fact is we don't have any joint rules. There's nobody in this House can show me a rule where it takes two Conference Committees and two only to finalize action on any Appropriation Bill and I happen to agree with that rule if there is a rule that there not be a limitation. I don't think it would grow up to be a limitation because that's where the wheeling and dealing starts, where there is a limitation. I think it ought to be opened and, furthermore, I think we ought to have a joint rule whereby the Conference Committees post meeting notices in public and where they meet so that we know what's going on, so that we know where we have to go to attend a Conference Committee. But I think that there can be a Third Conference Committee and that we can save this appropriation and therefore, I vote 'no'. In fact, I think if we're going to blame anybody, we ought to put Scott's name up there because he's the one that held it up and not we, in the Legislature. He's been on this floor quite a bit anyway lately. He ought to be the one to take the blame for it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins. I'll get everybody to explain their vote."

Collins: "Yes, very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I'm supporting this motion but as one who has had intimate acquaintanceship with the Liquor Commission over the last year, I would say that if it truly is out of business, this might be the most progressive step we've taken in the whole Session."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Speaker, in explaining my 'present' vote, I would like to state that if the Chair rules that the only... out of the lack of



joint rules that the only amount of Conference Committees that we can have are only two Conference Committees, then the Chair is killing this budget and killing this plan and no one else but the Chair applied that ruling."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you people who refer to the Senate so often realize, the Senate restricts their Conference Committees to two also. So, in effect, on the matter of Conference Committees, we do have joint rules. Informal, but joint rules. Now, to suggest that we have more than two or an infinite number of Conference Committees would suggest that the state can get along without the appropriations that we're required to provide then. Now, I submit to you that if we keep on this way and if beyond the Liquor Control Commission which I have no great feeling for, it's something that Phil Collins is interested in, I know, but I don't have that much association with them, Phil. But just this sample agency, if this was the Department of Mental Health, say, would you suggest that we ought to have a hundred or a hundred and fifty Conference Committees on the appropriation for the Department of Mental Health? Would you suggest that they close the hospitals while we meet in Conference Committee? That's what would happen. That's exactly what would happen if there were not a practical limit and I submit to you that two is a practical, reasonable limit. If we can't decide after two, we're not going to decide after two hundred. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we're going to do our job, if we're going to fund state departments and state agencies, we darn well better get to it and provide the 107 votes necessary on this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, let's get Representative Beaupre, cause he's the Sponsor."

Beaupre: "I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Jack, you want me to get Corneal first? Representative Davis, who is a leader."

Davis: "I distinctly heard the distinguished Minority Leader said, we do have joint rules. My question is, Mr. Minority Leader, when



did the Senate adopt those joint rules? I'm a Member of the Rules Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, the Majority Leader."

W. Walsh: "Yeah, well, Deacon, I said or at least intended to say that we, in effect, have joint rules because they have the same limitation on Conference Committees."

Davis: "But officially, we do not have a joint rules, is that right?"

W. Walsh: "That they are informal. They have not been formalized."

Davis: "Well, then, I think the record, the Journal ought to show that we do not, the Senate has not adopted any joint rules and there's no such thing as they being in effect. That's heresay."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and I want to direct my comments to the distinguished Majority Leader. The truth of the matter is that we haven't had any Conference Committees. The rules of this House call for Minority representation on those Conference Committees and contrary to the remarks that he made previously, not one Member on the Democratic side has been contacted to join in any of these Conference Committees. That in itself is a violation of the rules, so I would suggest to him when he points out that we had an opportunity to have input into these Conference Committees..."

Speaker Telcser: "Jack, Jack, wait."

Beaupre: "...he is absolutely out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just came from the Conference Committee over in the Senate with Representative Shea and Representative Lundy. We were meeting back there and so, the previous speaker just said that there has never been any Conference Committee that a Democrat was at and I suggest that he's mistaken."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, anyone else wish to explain their vote? Okay, Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to inquire if there's been a motion to reconsider the vote by which this Bill lost, filed, is filed."



Speaker Telcser: "In the Senate?"

Shea: "No, I understand on the Clerk's desk is a motion made by a Member to tomorrow file with this Body a motion to reconsider or the next Legislative Day, to file with this Body a motion to reconsider the vote by which this Bill lost, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, your inquiry is untimely and premature and is not relevant at this time and the Chair would not, therefore, comment upon your inquiry."

Shea: "Was the motion filed?"

Speaker Telcser: "There are a number of motions filed, Representative Shea. I'm not sure what is filed and I called upon you to explain your vote, sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote and I rise with deep concern. Number one, Mr. Majority Leader, when you refer to two Conference Committees, may I point out that there is nothing in our rules that limits us to two Conference Committees. Number two, I think that we're witnessing here something that transcends the importance of the Liquor Commission or their budget or for that matter, almost any other Bill that I can think of. In order to rule this Body and run this House, there has to be a degree of responsibility exercised from the podium and a degree of respect from the Members of this House to the podium. Mr. Speaker, and I've been here for six years and I used to get a chuckle when I would hear what happened when Senator Arrington presided over the Senate and Senator Neistein would stand up as Senator Arrington would hand down his rulings and Neistein would rip out a page out of the rule book and said, there goes that rule. Because I would hope that that never happened here, but, Mr. Speaker, I want to join in the dissent of Representative Choate because the Speaker announced a policy that was not adopted as a rule because we considered it as good as a rule because it was announced by the Speaker, my Speaker, your Speaker, from that podium after that Rules Committee. And today, you back down from that statement and it bothers me. Mr. Speaker Blair who just walked out of this Body, that a word of his honor and I take him as a man..."



(microphone turned off)... And this evening, you announced a rule and you renéged upon it and for that reason, I'm going to vote 'present' because I think this Roll Call is out of order. It's in contradiction to the statement you made from that podium and I dare you to write up that transcript from after that Rules Committee and I will make a public apology, a public apology if what's been done here is in line with the rule that you announced after that Rules Committee. I vote 'present' and I want my dissent noted in the book."

Speaker Blair: "We certainly will Journalize your remarks if for any reason, they're not on the transcripts. Mr. Ewell. Somebody pushed his button. Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, there isn't a constituent in Berman's district, in Beaupre's district, in Art Telcser's district or mine that cares a rap about who introduces this motion. They don't care a rap about what rule is being followed or is being created here tonight, though we do respect the rules; but they do care an awful lot as to whether or not we dibble and dabble around here with gnats as was said earlier this evening and throw ourselves into a position of forcing the Governor to call...(microphone turned off)... Special Sessions, isn't going to cost nearly as much as the average citizen will think, but they will think that and they will castigate you and me and every Member of this House. Now, you know as well as I know that we are going to pass this Bill. Whether it's now or an hour from now or tomorrow or a week from now, but we're going to pass it. Now, what in heaven's name are we hanging so much importance on nothing. My suggestion was earlier that Mr. Beaupre be released so he could make this motion. He's the Sponsor of the Bill, but he didn't see fit or others didn't see fit to have him do it, but why will we waste time and confusion and all of those things there? Why don't we get on and do now what we all know we're going to do with every one of these Bills. I just, it's just a little bit of common sense and reasoning."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."



As I believe I said a couple of three days ago, we had gotten down to the silly season and we really have. But it seems to me and being a Member that has been appointed to numerous Conference Committees and have yet, have yet to be invited to meet with any of them, I feel on, at least, one or two of the Conference Committees that I was appointed on, that they've had at least two Conference Committee Reports that I have yet to even see, so it is, in fact, what we're doing here now, it seems to me, that some Members or someone made the decision in this House to do by Conference Committee what they could not do by our ordinary rules of the House to change, rewrite, pass and legislate Bills that they did not have 89 or 107 votes to do so. If we are, in fact, if we are, in fact, going to have truly Conference Committees that everyone will participate in, than I would say 'yes', put the motion. But if we're going to have Conference Committees where Members are not going to be invited to them, not be given the courtesy of even seeing the Committee Report, then having one of the people that sit down to write that Conference Committee Report, to give a motion to pass it, I think we are on very dangerous grounds and for those reasons and among others, I will be voting 'present', not only on this Bill, but on any Conference Committee that I am involved in that I did not have an opportunity to participate therein."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, stripped of the rules that we make in this House, the considerations of the Conference Committee Reports that... Conference Committees that we set up, the sole and essential question here is whether or not we're going to fund an agency of this state that we, the Legislature, have created. And, Mr. Speaker, we've been down here for ten days and we're tired and we're weary. We're drained, physically, mentally, and I don't know how much more we can take of this. Now if you talk about a Special Session and that's, of course, if we leave this place without funding this agency, that's exactly what we're getting ourselves into. So, let's do the thing that we're supposed to do. If we asked our constituents,



the person on the street, I think that he would tell us or she would tell us, get about your business and go home. I should like to suggest to the Members of this House that credibility in government includes very definitely the duty of performance. Let's get about our business, let's vote on this thing. We know how it's going to come out. Let's not spend any more time on this Bill or the other Bills, let's do it and go home and see our families."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems I don't understand what's going on somehow. I've learned to listen with attentive ear to the Majority Leader's remarks and I found out tonight that may, there may not be a rule but it's a two Conference situation. After two Conference Committees, a Bill is dead, the Majority Leader told us. Now, it's an odd thing that we've wasted about an hour and allowed the Majority Leader to get in his political speeches, but the fact is that this Bill has failed twice on Conference Committee in the Senate and there's really nothing before us if what he says is true and I'd like to buy what he says. I've been here as long as the rest of you, ten days, eighteen out of the last nineteen and till midnight till 2:10 and every other time in the morning and I tell you now that if we wasted an hour, then it... and I'd like to buy that we can only have two Conference Committee Report fails, but this Bill's already failed with two Conference Committee Reports in the Senate and the Bill to reconsider the vote by which it failed, also failed that vote. And I submit, I don't know why we've wasting this time for an hour except to give the Majority Leader an opportunity to make a couple political speeches."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Jones: "Explaining my vote. I say to those of evidence and interest in this agency continuing to function, you can easily accomplish this objection by joining me and voting green and put 107 votes on the board. It's that simple."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's get Jack and Jerry. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre. Then I'll get you next,



Jerry."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened to Representative Clabaugh talk and he's a Gentleman that I respect very much in this House. He's been around here for a long time and I think he understands what statesmanship really is. I would submit to you, however, that if those of us on this side of the aisle who are voting something other than green, go along with this proposition that, in effect, what we have done indeed is kill this agency. We have no assurance from the other side of the rotunda that they will reconsider the vote by which the same result that we're trying to, attempting to achieve here, happens, will be reversed. What I have suggested and what I proposed and the motion that I made dealt with a Third Conference Committee. I submit to you that that's the only way out of this box, that we keep this Bill alive by creating a Third Conference Committee and I hope that all of you will join me voting 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't want to talk about rules or parliamentary procedure. I wish you'd listen to me. Tom, would you listen for a minute? I just asked you to listen for a minute and ask you to think of what our actions are doing here tonight. You know, we've thrown by the actions of letting a Majority run roughshod over a Minority, everything I think we've ever worked for and I asked some of my Republican colleagues to think back to 1965 when Jack Touhy was Speaker of this House, when you had 59 votes and there 118 on this side of the aisle, and ask you if you were treated in a manner like this. ...microphone on? And I ask you to think what we are doing here tonight, Mr. Speaker, cause what and I'd like everybody to understand what we've done. We've passed Bills after hearings. We've worked hard to try to get them in some shape and then we send them to a Conference Committee. They're signed by six Members of the Majority Party, three in each House. No input, no participation by the Minority. The First Conference Committee came back in a very unacceptable manner. We were forced to vote to get a Second Conference Committee. Then you brought it back again and said,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

here, take what we'll give you. What we, in the Majority Party in both Houses, will give you or take nothing. Well, Mr. Speaker, you and your party may not be enamored with the man that sits on the second floor as Governor of our state, but I tell you this. If you continue this action, if you continue this posture, you watch the demise of the Legislative Body of our state."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question there are 68 'ayes', 6 'nays', 55 answering 'present'. The House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to Senate Bill 1261. This Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, is now dead. Wait a second now. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess until eight o'clock."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do stand... a little later... Representative Beaupre..."

W. Walsh: "...p.m. today."

Speaker Telcser: "And you were not recognized, sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, moves the House does stand in recess till eight o'clock. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. House is in recess."

House in recess.

Unknown: "I have an announcement to make. The Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate have arranged for a fireworks display for us tomorrow evening out at Washington Park and we're all invited."

Borchers: "I would like to make an announcement to the people in the gallery. I think it would be very, very nice if you people up there would start throwing some peanuts down here. Am I on? Am I on? I've got my first peanut. I want to remind everybody here of that song we want you to sing, you know, long ago. Oh, let's see, how did that go? 'Show me the way to go home, I'm tired and I want to go to bed, I had a little Bill about an hour ago and it went right to my head.' I don't know the rest of it, can't remember, but this is very, very appropriate. Anyway, you see,



this sort of thing pays. I've actually got two peanuts. Somebody went out and deliberately bought and gave me peanuts. I thank you very much. Here comes some more."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's get started. We've had a little longer recess than we anticipated. Okay, will the Members please be in their seats. Going to the order of Senate Bills... what you got, Messages? Are they quick? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2878. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2357. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2298. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 to a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2277, action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed

to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's acceded to a request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to House Bill 2348.

Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1641... the Second...

and refused to adopt and the Senate requests a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate

has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution #65 which is as follows. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1659: The Bill's been read a Second time. The Gentleman from



Will, Speaker Blair, with respect to Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, well, Mr. Speaker, I renew my motion that we adopt House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1659. We explained this earlier today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to Committee Amendment #1. Just to refresh the memory of the Members of the House, the Bill as it came over from the Senate has a council made up of nine members. The Lieutenant Governor was Chairman; the... and eight Directors of Executive Department Committees as also on this council. What the Speaker's Amendment seeks to do is to remove the council completely and to put the Department of Business and Economic Development as the sole operating agency in this Illinois Coal Development Bond Act. I submit to you that it's important for us to fully develop the resources of Illinois coal... to fully develop the resources of Illinois coal, that we haven't utilized all of the resources, individual resources that are available to us and that includes the Executive Branch of government. Now, I have lying on the table a second Amendment which I hope to place on this Bill after we defeat Amendment #1 which would be in keeping with what, I think, has been a commitment made, and agreement made between the Governor of the State of Illinois and the Lieutenant of the State of Illinois, whereby the Governor would be the Chairman and the Lieutenant Governor would be the ViceChairman of this council. That's Amendment #2 and I think that's in keeping with a unified approach to the needs of this state for a full development of our coal resources. So, I would urge every Member that's interested in utilizing the full expertise of our Executive Department, to oppose Amendment #1 and to support Amendment #2 so that we can put the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor into their proper positions of cooperation to move forward for the sake of the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I see our Minority Leader on the floor. Maybe I should defer to him."



Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Jim Houlihan. You're on, Jim."

J. Houlihan: "Okay, if... I was going to defer to the Minority Leader but I would proceed if he would not... Clyde?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're about to become involved in a discussion of a Commission that will develop the coal resources of the State of Illinois. And let me say that this is a resource the State of Illinois should develop. But let me also say that in the process of the developing a natural resource such as coal in the State of Illinois, let us not overlook the fact that we have the greatest natural resource in the country and probably in the world and that is our agricultural land. In the process of developing coal resources, let us also keep in mind that we must in some way develop our agricultural resources or, believe me, the people of this country, of this state, will be hungry by the year 2000. Keep that in mind, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I understand this energy package, it's composed of more than just Senate Bill 1659. There were a number of Bills that were introduced early in the Session that represented an agreement between the Governor and the leaders of both sides of the aisle in an attempt to come up with a bipartisan agreement to solve the question of energy. As I understand the status of these Bills right now, the House amended House Bill 2651 which was sponsored by Speaker Blair and bipartisan sponsorship. In amending that Bill, they put the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor on an Energy Resource Commission. I also understand that there were a great deal of negotiations about the form of where the bonding power would reside. Originally, it was discussed as residing in the Capital Development Board, but after further negotiations, it was suggested that it would be more feasible to place this in the B.E.D., Business and Economic Development Board. It is my understanding that we will be able to reach a bipartisan agreement if we put the Senate Bill, 1659, back into its original shape,



so that the bonding authority rests in Business and Economic Development and that is then related to 2651, which is a Legislative Commission, with the both the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor as Members ex officio. And I would hope that the Members to this Body would joint together in forming this bipartisan, coalition to solve the problems of energy and in addition, to solve some local problems on our side of the aisle."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill... The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, he indicates that he will. Speaker Blair."

Gibbs: "As I read this Amendment then to Senate Bill 1659, it's my understanding that the Director or the Department is defined as the Department of Business and Economic Development so that, in effect, we are putting all of this under the control of the Governor. Is that, do I interpret that right?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, as far as the operational aspects of it, we are putting that into B.E.D., but if you read on down further in the Amendment, you will see that Section 3 says that under (d) that all the powers and duties listed in this Section shall be exercised only with the approval of the Illinois Energy Resources Commission, created in an Act creating the Illinois Energy Resources Commission defining its powers and duties enacted by the 78th General Assembly. Now, that, I intend to call matter next after we get through with this particular Bill so that the final sign-off authority on the question of energy will be the, this Energy Resources Commission."

Gibbs: "That's under your House Bill 2651?"

Speaker Blair: "That's right and that's going to be called next and I intend to move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment."

Gibbs: "The way that this Bill is now written and the way that I interpret it, if 2651 didn't go through as such and the Senate had their way, then this would be under the control of the Department. If I may speak briefly on this Amendment..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's not so because this Amendment says..."



Turn me on, Art. This Amendment says that the final sign-off authority has to be in the Commission, so a Commission Bill in some shape or form has to pass the House and the Senate or energy is dead. The final sign-off authority on this Amendment says that all powers and duties, including the power to issue bonds, shall be exercised only with the approval of the Illinois Energy Resources Commission. If we don't agree, between the House and the Senate on the composition of that Illinois Energy Resources Commission, then this Act will really be null and void because that Commission will not be there and there's no way that this thing can go through and have any validity."

Gibbs: "I think I understand it now, but if this Bill or this Amendment is put on, it will be under the Department of Business and Economic Development; and therefore, it will be under the Governor's control. And as the Speaker has indicated, the 2651 if that is changed so that it is controlled solely by the Governor, then the Governor would control the whole coal operations in this program throughout the State of Illinois. And I think that if this goes..."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "That isn't quite true, Representative Gibbs, because the Commission as the Speaker explained just a minute ago, is a completely bipartisan Commission. It has the sign-in, sign-out, if that's what you want to call it in the payout. You will have..."

Gibbs: "How can you say that when we don't have the Commission yet? That's not so..."

Choate: "If you don't have a Commission, you have no Energy Council or anything else."

Gibbs: "But what I'm saying is that we're going to come to that at a later time. Right now, we don't know who's going to head up or control the Commission, do we?"

Choate: "Well, I think I do."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, let me explain, Joe, just one thing. All this Amendment does is to take out the Energy Council. Now, if you're concerned about the Governor controlling the Energy program, then



certainly you ought to be concerned about who's going to control the Council. As the Senate Bill came over here with Senator Glass as the Chief Sponsor in the Senate with Senator Rock's Amendment on here, Senator Rock's Amendment, a Democrat from the City of Chicago, put Neil Hartigan in here as the Chairman of the Energy Council. And under that, he put ten department heads of Governor Walker. Now, if you don't support this Amendment, then what you're going to do is to put the whole operation of energy under the Lieutenant Governor and ten of Governor Walker's department heads. Now, that's the choice you have and if you want to be against this Amendment which takes out Hartigan and ten of Walker's people running energy, so be it. I'm taking that out. I don't think that's the way it ought to be and then we'll move on to the Commission. But being in opposition to my Amendment doesn't do anything for you as far as the Democrats running energy and I don't think this is a Democrat of a Republican issue, frankly. I'm looking at it on... solving a problem that exists in the State of Illinois as far as energy is concerned. And that's what I'm attempting to do. I think it's a proliferation of a bureaucracy. If you want to have a Commission and you want to have a Council which has ten department heads of Walker and Lieutenant Governor Neil Harigan running it, then so be it. Vote for it, but you're going to have a Commission, Joe, you're going to have a Council run by 100% of Democrats and if you vote against this Amendment, you're going to give the operating power to 100% Democrats. And if that's what you want to do, do it."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as far as giving it to the Republicans or the Democrats, I'm not so concerned about that. Down in Montgomery County, in my district, there's a big whole area and I'm very concerned about that it's developed properly. I don't want to see any fights between the Lieutenant Governor and the Governor. I know under the Constitution under Article V, Section 14, that the Lieutenant Governor's got some duties that we assigned to him by law and I think that's what we should do. I think that that's what was originally done in this Bill to set him up as the, as the Chairman and then if



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

we could work in with the Lieutenant Governor and the Commission form which you, Mr. Blair, have indicated you would go along with, the Commission form which I subscribe to, that would be fine. And I think that's what we could do with... if we don't adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "I only want to say one thing, Mr. Speaker, that this has been worrying many Members of the Legislature for the past several days. I'm confident that all parties, participants of conversations, meetings, et cetera, are satisfied with what is going on. I think it's in the interest of the general public of this state to create this Energy Commission the way that we are attempting to do at the present time. And I would suggest that we, if anyone have any questions, that we answer them. Otherwise, let's..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative McCourt, do you still seek recognition?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I could just have your attention for one second. I agree completely with my colleague, Representative Gibbs. Because one of my constituents happens to be the Lieutenant Governor and for reasons best known to others, his talents and energies have been ignored and the mandate of the people has been frustrated by the Governor's refusal to delegate duties to Mr. Hartigan commensurate with the dignity of his office, the second highest office in the state. If the Governor will not do the appropriate thing, then it is left to the Legislature to fill the void of leadership. Therefore, I am pleased to vote against this Amendment and I would solicit your support in voting 'no' for Amendment #1. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1659. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Berman. Amends Senate Bill 1659 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption and then move to table



Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1659. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. You want to read those Messages there, Mr. Clerk, between this one and the next one."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of House Amendments 1, 2, 8 and 11, House of Representatives, Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1357. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to the House of Representatives Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1552. Action taken by the Senate July 2, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "We're going to do Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1660."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1660. This Bill has been read a second time. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1660 on page 1, line 2 by deleting 'Energy Coordinating Council', and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, would you take 1660 out of the record? 1659 has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Will, would you read it a third time?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1659. An Act to provide for the financing of state programs for research, development and demonstration of coal energy and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair. Mr. Speaker, we've read the... we've read Senate Bill 1659 a third time. We've read it a third time. Do you wish to have it called, sir?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "It has been read a third time, proceed."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1659 is an Act to provide for the financing of the state program for research, development



and demonstration in coal energy to authorize the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds to the State of Illinois and provide for payment of principle of and interest on such bonds. Now, the Bill as it was envisioned by the administration called for one hundred million dollars to be authorized and twenty-five million dollars to be okayed as far as expenditure in fiscal year '75. The Senate reduced the authorization from one hundred million to seventy million and they reduced the amount to be spent in fiscal year '75, twenty-five million, to ten million dollars. As the Bill now stands, we are going along with the Senate in their approach to that situation. This Bill along with 1660 which is the Bill that authorizes the ten million and along with the Illinois Energy Resources Commission which we'll go to two Bills down, lets the Legislative Branch and public officials be involved in the final sign-off approval with respect to the expenditures of this bond money. Now, I'm sure all of our friends on both sides of the aisle from downstate Illinois recognize how very, very important it is with the shortage of oil reserves in the Continental United States and with the oil shortages all over the world that we should get into a program of the development of Illinois coal. We've got massive reserves here, federal monies are available, I've talked with people in industry today who have applications in. General Electric has a twenty million dollar application in where with the matching funds that would become available under this program, that we could get into the utilization of Illinois coal for the benefit of all the people of this state. So, I would move you to pass Senate Bill 1659."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 16... Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "Well, I might first ask if your... if the Chair's become shortcuted recently. My light has been on since Second Reading and I would like to ask some substantive questions about the Bill if the Sponsor would yield."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "I just wanted to explain to some of the Members that might



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

think just as Representative Skinner did then, that the Speaker overlooks the blinking light up there on his podium. But it's not always necessarily true because it's one of the hardest lights to see when you're standing up there that I've ever seen and I would suggest that between now and the next Session that the lights be improved of some nature up there as far as the Membership's lights blinking are concerned."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you, Representative Choate. Mr. Skinner, you're on."

Skinner: "I would like to know how much is estimated to be spent from the seventy million dollars of authorization each year for the next several years."

Speaker Blair: "The appropriation... for 1659 is in 1669 and that is ten million dollars."

Skinner: "So, what we are doing is leaving a sixty million dollar kitty for future years?"

Speaker Blair: "Right, for which the General Assembly would have to act on future appropriations."

Skinner: "Well, how much to you estimate we'll spend in the next, in the fiscal year after this ten million dollar shot?"

Speaker Blair: "I'll you this, that this is an administration program. The administration put it in as a hundred million authorization, twenty-five million dollars to be expended in fiscal year '75. We have not seen one penny of what their program is for fiscal year '75, but nonetheless, the Senate Republican Leadership and the House Republican Leadership and a number of people that are concerned about energy itself, that with federal money being involved here where if we had matching funds, we can develop a program, that we ought to appropriate money for this purpose. And we devised a control program whereby this Energy Resources Commission that will have legislative and public members on it, will be able to be the final, approving authority and that's what we will get to a couple Bills from now. I can't answer where the administration's going to go on this program next year in '76 or next year in '77. But I'll tell you this, Kentucky has appropriated fifty million dollars so they can match federal funds and I think-



it would be a dereliction of duty if this General Assembly in this great State of Illinois and with the coal resources that it has, if we were not to pass some type of coal resources program."

Skinner: "Well, how many years do you estimate that the seventy million dollars will take to be used up?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I... if you followed what I said..."

Skinner: "Precisely."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah well, I'm saying that the administration..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a second now. One minute now."

Speaker Blair: "...an idea of where they were going to spend one penny.

So for you to ask me where in the devil they're going to go after one penny, I can't tell you. But I'll tell you this, that I, from the standpoint of objectivity and that I, from the standpoint of being concerned about the development of energy resources, have enough confidence in any Governor, whether he's a Republican or a Democrat that if we ought to... that if we ought to get on board with an energy program, that now's the time to do it. And I'm not going to back away because I have any concern about what a Governor might to in '76 or '77 or '78. We'd better get on board right now and we've got, the only way I know how to do that is by setting up a Commission that will be the final sign-off authority on it and that's what we've provided and got to do that."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I might speak to the Bill. It does seem to me that we are authorizing an extraordinarily high number of millions of dollars for projects for which there's not one dime of projected expenditures. I think I should have to... I think that this would be an appropriate time to invoke the ghost of Paul Simon in this Assembly and suggest that we, in this state, have an inordinately large number of hundreds of millions of dollars of bond authority that has not been used up and just to go back to this most recent year when we appropriate one hundred million dollars for school bond construction and the administration saw fit to utilize only twenty-five million dollars of it. Now, it seems to me to be a pretty good indication that seventy million dollars at one shot when the administration has the ability



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to call us in for a Special Session if any goodies from Washington should be dangled in front of our face is substantially in the... really, in the dozens of millions of dollars too high. Now, I would think further, perhaps I'm being somewhat cynical, but it does seem to me that this is a subsidy intended primarily for the benefit of the coal industry and that private enterprise which, of course, has taken some rather strong lumps at the federal level with the Lockheed loan and the guarantee for the Penna Railroad is coming to a demise or at least a merger with government in Illinois a la Japan. At the present time, we have about a hundred dollar per capita in outstanding bond, bonds issued which is a potential of four hundred dollars per capita. This is going to add substantially to that and it just seems a little strange. Perhaps I'm again, somewhat overly cynical for the position I'm in, but giving a Legislative Commission the sign-off ability toward the expenditure of seventy million dollars, may result in some rather large total amount of contributions going to the people who end up serving on this Illinois Energy Resources Commission. Of course, those that over a hundred and fifty dollars will be able to see out in the open now, but it really is a, it's certainly a breakthrough in the way we expend money in the State of Illinois. I just think seventy million dollars is too much for now plans."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1659 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 128 'ayes', 5 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority, Barnes 'aye'. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this is an authorization for seventy million dollars worth of state debt and requires a three-fifths majority. Would you please announce that?"

Speaker Telcser: "I will when I announce, finish up the numbers. I understand that. Mann 'aye', Barnes 'aye', Giglio 'aye', Polk 'aye', Duff 'aye', McAuliffe 'aye', Robert Dunne 'aye', Bob Juckett 'aye'. This Bill having received... Greiman 'aye', ...



Emil Jones 'aye', McMaster 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2651 for which purpose the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, is recognized."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2651 passed out of here with bipartisan support. The Speaker introduced a Bill and asked me to handle it for him in Executive Committee and on the floor. In Executive Committee, I was happy to accept an Amendment, became a Committee Amendment and it is by Representative Washington, making Lieutenant Governor of the state Vice-Chairman of the Energy Resources Commission. The Bill passed out of here, I think, without a dissenting vote. On that date, this went over to the Senate and they put Senate Amendment #1 rewriting the Chairmanship and Vice-Chairmanship of the Bill and I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2651."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me just say this, that I concur in the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur. I am totally satisfied that the way we sent this Commission out of here which had the Executive Branch in the position of chairing the Commission, that was both the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor. And with the Commission balanced with ten Republicans and ten Democrats as far as final approval authority, gives us the necessary checks and balances that we don't have to be unduly concerned about where we are going to be operating with this bond money. So, I would join with the Gentleman in his motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2651."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2651. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no' and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2651. Okay, back over to... well, he's busy for a minute. Supplemental House Calendar #4, Senate Bill 1357, for which purpose the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, is recognized."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move at



this time that the House not recede from its House Amendment."  
 Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that... Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do

not recede from the House Amendments 1, 2, 8 and 11 to Senate Bill 1357. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. House does not recede. Are you ready now, Bob, for 1660? Okay, back on Senate Bill, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1660."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1660. This Bill has been read a second time, has one Amendment. Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1660, page 1, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this just appropriates the ten million dollars of the seventy million dollars in the Coal Development Fund of the State Treasury Office to the Business and Economic Development. We struck out the Energy Coordinating Council which we deleted in Senate Bill 1659. This puts in the Department of Business and Economic Development the ten million dollars."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, from Cook, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "We can't hear, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, all I said was, that as I explained in the earlier Bill, 1659, we authorized the issuance of seventy million worth of bonds for the purpose of the development of Illinois coal. Now, we are only going to appropriate of that seventy million dollars authorized, ten million dollars or so much thereof as is necessary from the Coal Development Fund, the State Treasury, to the Department of Business and Economic Development. This Amendment changed that from the Energy Coordinating Council to the Department of Business and Economic Development because we are removing this Energy Council from the whole package and that it will go now to the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion with respect to Amendment #1? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to

Senate Bill 1660. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading.

The Bill's been read a... Read the Bill a third time, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1660. An Act to appropriate funds from the Coal Development Fund to Energy Coordinating Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this appropriates ten million dollars for fiscal year '75 out of the seventy million dollars that we authorized by Senate Bill 1659 from the Coal Development Fund, the State Treasury, to the Department of Business and Economic Development for the purposes of the Illinois Coal Development Bond Act which is what we've just passed in Senate Bill 1659. And I would move the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1660 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? There's something wrong with the button. Take the record. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 144 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1667."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1667. This Bill has been read a second time, has one Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1667 page 1, line 19 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "There's an Amendment on the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, we just read the Amendment, John. Want to offer to move?"

Matijevich: "Move and offer Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1667. This deletes the Energy Coordinating Council, inserts in lieu thereof, Department of Business and Economic Development. Puts it in line with the Amendment in the prior Bill. Move the adoption, ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1667. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"



Clerk Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "An Act, Senate Bill 1667. An Act to provide for the exercise of right of eminent domain. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a temporary quick-take provision for the Department of Business and Economic Development up until January 1, 1975 for the sites and facilities for projects and demonstrations for the development of our coal resources under the Act. I would ask for your favorable Roll Call vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1667 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 113 'ayes', 17 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. And Senate Bill, this Bill having received the Constitutional three-fifths Majority is hereby declared passed. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 2752. Adopted by the Senate July 2, 1974 by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1659. Adopted by the Senate July 2, 1974 by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No, that's it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Fred, getting back to Supplemental Calendar #4, on the Order of Nonconcurrency appears Senate Bill 1552 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, is recognized."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on Senate Bill 1552, I move that we recede from House Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "What did you say, Sam, you want to recede? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."



Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Leinenweber: "Representative Maragos, this puts it back at 25% penalty from the 18, is that right?"

Maragos: "No, the 25% penalty exists in any case. It only takes a longer time, two and a half percent a month. It's not at 25, it's two and a half percent a month."

Leinenweber: "House Amendment #1 reduced that to one and a half percent a month."

Maragos: "That's right, but the Senate Republican Leadership and the Senate Committee, Revenue Committee would not, did not concur and I ask us to recede in order to get the Bill out."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, Representative Maragos, on your matter. Sam, did you take this out of the record or something? Oh, all right. Is there further discussion? You wish to close the debate, Representative Maragos?"

Maragos: "I move to recede from House Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. Now, this is final action. Representative Lauer, for what purpose..."

Lauer: "Will the Gentleman yield, accede to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, he will."

Lauer: "Mr. Maragos, is this Bill as it presently stands on that, imposes a 25% penalty?"

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Maragos."

Maragos: "Wait, don't get hung up on a 25% penalty. Get hung up on a percentage of every month that it should be charged."

Lauer: "Okay, is that two and a half percent a month?"

Maragos: "Pardon?"

Lauer: "Is that two and a half percent per month?"

Maragos: "Right, and it was the Senate..."

Lauer: "...having listened to an awful lot of, Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Lauer: "I listened to quite a few, a great deal of sound and fury here



within the last two or three weeks about raising the usury rate to nine and a half percent. And you talk about a rip-off of Mr. Common Man, a little guy who may not have the money at an immediate time to pay his income tax, we are going to charge him two and a half percent per month which amounts to 30% per year on an Income Tax Bill. For those who were doing so much talking about the raising of the usury rate, I would suggest that maybe they ought to be on their feet at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, Jerry, do you want to speak on it? No? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "I'd just like to address myself to the comments of the last speaker, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The truth of the matter is that the common man, the little guy that Representative Lauer is talking about, already has his tax bills paid because they're deducted by the employer. It's the employer who's withholding the funds from the Department of Revenue and it's the employer who will be effected primarily by this so-called penalty. Actually, it isn't a penalty at all. It's interest commensurate with the normal interest rate today in the economy. And if we're going to encourage taxes to be collected by the Department of Revenue, we're going to have to make that interest rate that's charged for the nonpayment of taxes commensurate with the rate in the marketplace. And I suggest that we accept it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Cunningham: "Now, Representative Maragos, was it yesterday morning or the day before, I've kind of lost track of time, that this Bill was soundly defeated before the Amendment was added? Isn't that correct?"

Maragos: "It was not soundly defeated, just defeated."

Cunningham: "Well, it was beaten rather substantially in the numbers."

Maragos: "I say, because of misunderstandings."

Cunningham: "And then, if those vote now, vote green to recede, in effect,



they're changing their position to humor the Senate, is that true?"

Maragos: "To respond with the Republican leadership in the Senate Revenue Committee that wanted it that way, yes, it's true."

Cunningham: "Did you agree with the observation made by one in debate when it was heard and defeated before, that passage of this Bill would be the first raising of the income tax by this administration, contrary to campaign pledge, never to do so?"

Maragos: "No, this would be the... in a long run, more effective tax reduction because it would be enforcement and you and I would not have to find other ways to raise money to pay the bills because the average wage earner already has this money deducted from his pay by his withholding tax. So, he would not be affected."

Cunningham: "Let me speak to the Bill just a moment and I want to compliment the Sponsor for the tenacity he shows in trying to foist off this very bad Bill on the public and I presume that he will be successful in doing so. But yesterday, when you voted on this, you correctly reflected the will of the public not to be victimized by a prohibitive rate of penalty for payment of taxes. Those that don't pay their taxes, it's because they don't have the money. It's not that they do not wish to comply with the law. If you have any humanitarian instincts whatever, this is an ideal Bill in which to vote 'no' on the motion to recede and we'll be done with the Bill once and for all and all the people throughout the state will have been the beneficiary of your kindness and simple justice. I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Huskey: "I'm a small businessman and I get in trouble with my income tax, not because I'm trying to cheat the state, but maybe there's financial troubles that I am, at this time, not able to pay the state. So, in order for me to sit down and work out my problems with the state, I am forced to pay this 30% per year until my problems are worked out. Now, I don't have much choice. I'm



already in financial trouble. Then, I would be forced to bankruptcy, is that not right?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. Huskey and Members of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Maragos, will you hang on for a second?"

Maragos: "In answer to the question..."

Speaker Telcser: "Sam, wait one second. The Chair's just been informed that are unauthorized personnel on the House floor who are not authorized to be here. Will the Doorkeepers please see to it that they are removed immediately? Some of whom are occupying a Members seat. Brian, I'm sorry to make you ruin your evening, but... You are smiling. Charlie, you can take care of yourself. Brian was smiling and enjoying himself. Proceed, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Huskey, you are incorrect that this would be 30% a year. The maximum it could ever go would be 25%, the most would be ten months. The two and a half percent a month and interest rates, if you're late one month, all you'd have to pay is two and a half percent. Now, as to..."

Huskey: "Wait a minute, you said, twenty months it would be 50%."

Maragos: "The maximum in any case would be 25%. They cannot go beyond ten months in penalties. Now, the other... the only reason why we receded and with the Senate leadership, the Republican leadership of the House, in the Senate that wanted it at two because they had been... the Revenue Committee, you could ask Senator Ted Clark, you could ask Senator..."

Huskey: "Sam, I'm not interested..."

Maragos: "They're the ones that told me that they want to recede."

Huskey: "I'm a Member of the House, Representative Maragos..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Just on a point of order. We have heard the debate on this Bill now five times and I don't know that we ought to allow the dialogue that's going on now."

Speaker Telcser: "I think your point is well taken. Representative Huskey will be the last one to speak on it."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, one more question. Senator Maragos, didn't I hear you comment that nine and a half percent usury rate was



too high?"

Maragos: "I didn't comment on anything as far as the usury rate was concerned. I voted present."

Huskey: "Speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, I would say I heard tear-drops hit the floor when the nine and a half usury rate was mentioned and here we come back with a penalty on people that could get in distress for 30% per year. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, to close."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I tried to reflect the, most of the sentiment here to raise it to one and a half a month, rather than two and a half a month. In other words, we tried to do it for eighteen months instead of doing it less than sixteen months rather than do it at ten months. I should say to you in all sincerity, the people who will be involved in that are primarily those who use this tax money to invest at 11 and 12 percent under the present high interest rates, it will not affect the small wage earner because this tax has already been deducted from his paycheck. It will not affect the small businessman because if he goes bankrupt, his taxes still are not going to be taken out of bankruptcy. So, the only one it would affect is the ones who are using this is a rip-off from the taxpayer and therefore, not given as an opportunity to collect and properly enforce our tax collections. So, I ask you, the fact to recede from our Amendment is because of the fact the Senate would not accept, they did not concur with our Amendment and it's not our fault it's controlled by Republican leadership and I ask for your favorable vote, support to recede from House Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action, would take 107 votes for an early effective date, 89 for next year. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "I am very happy to explain my vote. I think this is a wonderful opportunity for those of you who want to do something with



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

this... doing all through the spring as I have observed. I think it's a good thing that we're able to squeeze the last drop of blood out of the taxpayers by voting for this Bill and I think all of us should consider it and vote in the proper way."

Speaker Telcser: "All voted who wished? Take the record. You got 31 votes. What do you want? On this question there are 34 'ayes', 56 'nays', 3 answering 'present'. And the House does not recede... Choate 'aye'. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "I'd like to have the... poll of the absentees, if I may."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is ridiculous. Business does not borrow money at... interest and there surely is a message in that 34 'aye' votes and the 56 'no' votes to go to a Conference Committee and come back with something that's reasonable."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I would go for Conference Committee, not concur, excepting that at this late stage of the game, I don't think we can get a Conference Committee going. That's why I was about to recede."

Speaker Telcser: "You want to poll the absentees?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, may I make a substitute motion? Is it permissible at this stage of the game? I withdraw my motion to recede and therefore, move to nonconcur."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's... okay, the Gentleman's motion... okay, Gentleman's motion to recede fails. The Gentleman now moves that the House do not recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's now taken affirmative action and the House does not recede from Amendment, House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. Message will be sent across to the Senate, the Conference Committee will be appointed. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 1464 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, is recognized."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would urge concurrence in the Conference Committee Report of House Bill 1464. And in this Conference Committee Report, we



urge that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 which reinsti-  
tutes into the Department of Mental Health, a program of dangerous  
drugs which was previously in the Department of Mental Health  
prior to the passage of the Dangerous Drugs Commission. I urge  
that because the appropriation for the Dangerous Drugs Commission  
has been stricken from the Appropriation Bills and has been re-  
turned to the Department of Mental Health. And if we were to have  
any kind of a meaningful, just program, it would be done by the  
Department of Mental Health. And second, the Conference Committee  
Report incorporates the provisions of House Bill 2671 which per-  
mits and authorizes advance payment to clinics and agencies that  
have been granted or have been awarded grant in aid programs and  
that Bill came out of the House, I don't think, with any negative  
votes at all. So, I would urge the adoption, concurrence of the  
Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1464."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Juckett, you're talking about returning, with this first  
Amendment that you want us to adopt, you're talking about returning  
to the Department of Mental Health, the drug abuse program that  
was just passed out of this House about a week and a half ago,  
is that correct?"

Juckett: "That is correct. That's what the Senate did because they  
have stricken the appropriation for the Dangerous Drug Commission.  
That Commission has no money with which to operate."

Shea: "Could you tell me why, could you tell me why that appropriation  
was struck and now why do they want to undo something that was  
done less than two weeks ago in this General Assembly?"

Juckett: "I was not privy to the operation of the Senate as to what  
their thinking was. I was not there when the Bill was passed  
and so, I do not understand why the Senate did what they did."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems  
ludicrous that we work on a Bill for almost six months, we pass  
it in the Second Conference Committee Report and then we come  
back two weeks later to undo that and to put it in a Senate Bill.  
I would hope that the House would refuse to adopt Senate Amendment  
#1 and send this back to the Senate."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman moves that the House adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House... are we all going to debate on it? We'll be here tonight. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, will be the last one to speak on it and Juckett's going to close."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to make absolutely clear to everybody in this Body just exactly what this does. By saying the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, we are saying that we would approve the repealing of a Bill which we overwhelmingly passed out of this House just one week ago today. We would be saying that all of the months of work that went in to having this House overwhelmingly pass it out and the Senate overwhelmingly pass it out, was for naught. And I'll tell you what was done and I want to make this clear, too. I have quarrel with the substance of Mr. Juckett's original Bill. None. This was used as a vehicle and a vehicle only by a Gentlemen in the other House to vent his anger at the fact that a man he wanted immediately to be appointed as the Executive Director of the Dangerous Drugs Council, was not immediately appointed. And that's why you have this Bill here before you now. And I'm asking you and I'm pleading with you to show that this House is a responsible House and not to be made a fool of. I ask you to resoundingly refuse to adopt this Bill and moreover, if we were to adopt this, if we were to pass this out, we would be committing a fraud on the Federal Government. As you will recall, with the assistance of the Speaker of this House, this Bill was put through in the very late hours so that the State of Illinois would be able to encumber some three million dollars in federal funds prior to July 1st. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, we've gotten our money. We've gotten it from the Federal Government. It didn't last because we acted and I ask you not to be a part of a fraud. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Are we in order, Mr. Speaker, to ask a question of the Sponsor of the Conference Report?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, you are."



Geo-Karis: "Bob, on the Conference Report, Committee Report on House Bill 1464, Senate Amendment 1 repeals Public Act 78-977 which was the very Bill we passed by 155 votes, was it not?"

Juckett: "That is correct."

Geo-Karis: "Dangerous Drugs Commission. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Juckett: "That's what I so indicated when I gave my report on this Conference Committee."

Geo-Karis: "And point of information. What is the motion before the floor before I speak?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1464. The only pending motion. Turn on Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, much as I'm very fond of the Sponsor, I am going to speak against the motion to adopt the Committee Report. We passed a very meaningful Bill when we passed the Bill on the Dangerous Drugs Commission and I think it's terrible to try and repeal it by the Amendment in this Conference Report and... no... if, the Governor has a right to pick whom he likes and I think the Bill is more important than repealing it. And therefore, I speak against the motion to concur."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff. No? Representative Juckett wish to close?"

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What Mr. Getty indicated is absolutely true. There would be no Dangerous Drugs Commission. And what I indicated is true, that there is no appropriation for that Commission and that the appropriation is in the Department of Mental Health as a line item for what this Conference Committee Report is recommending in Senate Amendment 1. And what Mr. Getty did indicate is also true about the inclusion of the advanced payments that that cleared this House almost unanimously several... quite a while ago. So, I would urge the adoption in order to save the drug program, any drug program, the only drug program



left with an appropriation for the concurrence in the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1464. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Bob, this is a record. Ray Ewell 'no'. Danny, you want to push Ewell's button. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was going to explain my vote and obviously there's no need to do so because most of the Members of the House in the judicious wisdom has seen the folly of this Bill and I'd just like to add that I am very much surprised that my good friend, Representative Juckett, who has so long been an advocate of Mental Health and I know of Adler, for the mentally disabled, has seen fit to handle such a terrible Bill as this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook.... No? The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier. No? Have all voted who wished? Want to poll the absentees? Bob, you've got some nice seatmates, though, they like you. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 7 'ayes', 126 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. And the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1464. Okay, on the Order of Concurrences, House Bill 2541. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2541 on Concurrence with Amendment #1 from the Senate merely changes the effective date of the pay raise for Circuit Court Administrative Clerks, 21 girls across the State of Illinois. And in order to make it effective as of now, we have to have 107 votes. I'd appreciate all we can get. Just to change the effective date. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves... did you move to concur?"

Grotberg: "I move to concur, Mr. Speaker, yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2541. All in favor of concurrence,



signify by voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I haven't got a copy of Senate Amendment #1. Now, this raised the Administrative Secretary's salaries to the Circuit or the Chief Judges. Now, what does Senate Amendment #1 do?"

Grotberg: "Makes the effective date now because of the July 1 coincidence."

Shea: "That's the only change?"

Grotberg: "That's all. Just one little line. Yeah, I have a copy here, Jerry."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Will the Sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Brinkmeier: "Could you tell me what the salary presently is? What is the salary today?"

Grotberg: "\$8,500."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2541. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wished? Take the record. This question 125 'ayes', 5 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2541. Phil. Okay, on the Order of Nonconcurrences, Senate Bill 1264 for which purpose the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo, is recognized."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment, House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1264 and would respectfully request that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1264. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House refuses to recede from Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1264. Okay, let's get some of these Agreed... All right, let me get on thing for Phil, then I want to go... Art, what's your? 2753? Let me get



Art. Let me get a Democrat. Let me get Berman first, all right? Okay, on Supplemental Calendar #2 appears House Bill 2753 for which purpose, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman, is recognized."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2753, the First Conference Committee Report and I'm pleased to say that this is one Conference Committee Report that we have all ten signatures. And that... the Conference Committee Report puts the Bill back into the way that the Bill was originally introduced. This is a clean-up Bill endorsed by the School Problems Commission to make technical changes only in the school aid formula we passed last year. I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2753. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Take the record. On this question 132 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'. House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2753. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1641 for which purpose, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, is recognized."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1641. The Conference Committee Report adopts, accepts all of the Amendments made in the House. It's the same as it left the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Phil, they were talking about putting some other things in over in the Senate. Did they?"

Collins: "No."

Shea: "This is just the way it left the House originally?"

Collins: "Yeah, what do you refer to, Jerry? I'm not sure if..."

Shea: "Pardon me."

Collins: "I said, to what do you refer. I didn't know that they were going to put anything in the Senate."

Shea: "No, I didn't know if they were or not."



Collins: "No, they accepted our version."

Shea: "I wonder why they didn't the first time."

Collins: "It's beyond me."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1641. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 124 'ayes', 8 'nays', none answering 'present'. House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1641. Representative Bob Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R. Dunne: "To change my vote on that last..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Dunne as voting..."

R. Dunne: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "...no. From 'aye' to 'no'. Jerry, 1273? On the Order of Concurrences... Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 2351. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Second Conference Report on the appropriation for the Department of Finance. This one also bears the signature of all ten of the Members of the Conference Committee. We worked this over and I believe it's in very good shape. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I wish to extend my thanks to both the Republican staff and to the Democratic staff who worked very well with me and we have this Bill in good order and I would ask a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2351. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J. Wolf: "I wonder if he might explain what's in the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Be happy to. The First Conference Report that came out, Representative, asked that we... that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3. That's what we were working with on the



Second Conference Report and we decided to concur in #1 and concur in #3 and #2 was revised. Now, you want me to go into specifics of each one of those? You're satisfied with that. I'll be happy to explain it."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House 2351. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. It's a House Bill, so... have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 134 'ayes', no 'nays', two answering 'present'. The House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2351. On Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 2350 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe, is recognized."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an Appropriation Bill, too. This is the appropriation for Children and Family Services and I'm happy to say that it contains the signatures of all the Conferees. I, too, want to thank the Republican and Democratic staff. I think it's in good shape now. Basically what it does is that in, the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1, 3... 1, 6 and 8. The Senate recedes from Senate Amendments 4, 5 and 7 and then there's some additional language which updates the... to fiscal 1974 instead of 1972, that that... that the agency uses for negotiating contracts. I think it's a good Bill now and it has the signatures of everybody and I wish for a good and favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Friedland: "Representative Jaffe, is the Amendment to fund Herrick House in this measure?"

Jaffe: "Herrick House is still in this measure, yes."

Friedland: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

McMaster: "Aaron, does this do anything for townships?"



Jaffe: "Tom, I know you wanted it to do something for townships, but even the Senate couldn't take that."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, you all have read the Tribune. We have a very sad case going on right now in my district but it's too long to tell about it and I think there should be a reasonable number of 'no' votes on this to show these Gentlemen that we are interested in what they're doing and not doing and warn them that we'll be more interested in what they do or not do the next time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Bob, absolutely."

Walters: "Representative Jaffe, people have asked questions about things being in this. Is Jerome Miller still in this budget?"

Jaffe: "Yes, he is, Bob."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Jaffe: "I will yield."

Kempiners: "Aaron, the Senate had an Amendment that I think you may have been working on regarding across the board payment to homes that are housing children. Is this Amendment still being included in this Conference Committee Report?"

Jaffe: "If you're talking about the Knuepfer Amendment, is that what you're talking about?"

Kempiners: "Yes, I am."

Jaffe: "Senator Knuepfer has his language in the Bill. We added about five million for residential in-care home and the reason that we did it is because we wanted to update... you see, up until now, the Department issued 1972 figures, you know, for determining cost. Now, with this new Amendment in it, you know, they'll be using 1974 figures so it updates those figures, as I indicated before, and that's the whole concept of it."

Kempiners: "Okay, but every house will be receiving the same percent of care for this per child, whether it's 75% or 50%, they'll all



receive the same?"

Jaffe: "Well, the per capita rate was actually taken out by Senator Knuepfer because he felt that it was unworkable, but this was put in instead."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Taylor: "Representative Jaffe, I'm trying to understand what this independent living is, could you explain that to me, sir?"

Jaffe: "Pardon? I don't understand the question, Jim."

Taylor: "Well, on the Conference Report here it says, by independent living, one million, five hundred thousand dollars. What's that all about? Could you explain that portion of it, please, sir?"

Jaffe: "Well, that was a line item that was actually broken out of another line item in the Senate."

Taylor: "What was the other line item?"

Jaffe: "Well, let me take a look at my notes and I'll tell you in a second, Jim."

Taylor: "That wouldn't be the Governor's Office on Human Resources line item, would it?"

Jaffe: "No, if that's what you're worrying about, that's not it.

So, it only deals with Children and Family Services. It's not..."

Taylor: "All right, sir. Okay, then. All right, thank you very much then. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Did I understand the Sponsor correctly to say that the five million dollars that was put in by the House Appropriations Committee was still there?"

Jaffe: "The money that the House put in is still there, yes."

Schraeder: "Well, let me just... just let me quote Director Jerome Miller one time and I might add that there's going to be an awful lot of people watching this Director in the next fiscal year and I'm certainly going to because we did get him the five million, but he did say and I want to quote this to make sure he knows that we're still watching. This is his quote, 'The agency is so darn inefficient with what it does have that until we're doing a better



job, we shouldn't ask for more'. I think that's an indictment that he should do better and I'm going to watch him. And I'll be glad to vote for..."

Jaffe: "Let me just say to you that the Director really didn't want that money but the private agencies did and it was put in at the behest of the private agencies, so I want you to know that."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Representative Jaffe."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington. No? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would rise in belabor of this Conference Report. I don't know of a Conference Committee that even the D.O.T. Conference Committee has not worked any harder than has the Sponsor of this Bill and Members of the Appropriations Committee staff on both sides of the aisle. We've had public hearings all over again today, practically. I think it's the best hammered-out Conference Report I've seen here tonight and no one has spoke tougher on this Department for a whole year than has this guy speaking and I would highly support concurring with this Conference Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else? Okay, the Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2350. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "All I think is we should just put a few more red lights on. Now, we don't want... we want to pass the Bill. I want to pass the Bill, but I don't think we should go too far in helping this Gentleman that we all are watching and we all know who we mean."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I would just like to know why Representative Borchers is so intrigued by red lights."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 129 'ayes', 5 'nays', 6 answering 'present'. The House adopts the Conference Report with respect to House Bill 2350."



Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to thank the Sponsors of the last two Bills, Representative Sangmeister and Representative Jaffe, for their kind remarks directed at the Appropriations staff. I think that their remarks indicate that if a Sponsor's really interested in his Bill and willing to spend the time with the staff, agreements can be reached."

Speaker Telcser: "LaFleur 'aye'. Okay, Mann 'aye' on the the last Roll Call. Mann 'aye' on the last Roll Call. Lilly, what about yours? You ready right now? Wait a second. The Gentleman, Representative Schneider, go ahead. I'm always pushing Glenn around. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On First Conference Committee Report on House Bill or rather, Senate Bill 1357, I move that we do not adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 with respect to Senate Bill 1357. All in favor signify saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 with respect to Senate Bill 1357. A Message will go to the Senate, a second one will be appointed. Now, Representative Caldwell, did you want your Voc. Rehab. called? On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2364 for which purpose, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell, is recognized."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation for the Department of Vocational and Technical Education, also the budget for the Department of Vocational Rehabilitation. The Senate put three Amendments on this Bill. We moved to, not to adopt the First Conference Report, but we are now in favor of the current Second Conference Report and I move that we do adopt the Conference Report. This is final action on this Bill and I would appreciate it if I got the necessary votes to pass this budget."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House



Bill 2364. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 134 ;ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill... Peters 'aye', Geo-karis 'aye', Springer 'aye'. House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2364. Sam McGrew around? He doesn't want it. I've asked him. Jerry, you want 1273? Kenny, will you run this for a minute? I'll be right back. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1273 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1273 is the Bill to appropriate money to the Comptroller. As it came over from the Senate, it appropriated the retirement..."

Speaker K. Miller: "Which one is this one?"

Shea: "It appropriated the money for the retirement for the state officers in Section 1. It appropriated two million dollars for the reimbursement of the Juvenile Court Act in Section 2. In Section 3, it appropriated twenty-seven thousand dollars for General Assembly expenses and Governor's expense. In Section 4, it appropriated seven hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars for the School for the Deaf in Williamson County. The House added to that a million dollars for Class 1 counties for the Animal Control Act, added \$3.2 million for bi-state. House Amendment 4 added a million, forty thousand for the Animal Control Act in counties of the second and third class. And added eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars for Lindbloom Park, a new gymnasium, Ms. Martin's Amendment. The Senate suggests that we concur that what we do is recede from Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 5. Then, delete or delete Section 4 which is the seven hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars for the School for the Deaf. Adds a new Section 4 and 4-1. Section 4 would be fifteen hundred dollars as appropriated to the Department of Conservation to reimburse Robert Bessima, Kent Lasic, Jack... I don't know, four people for a case in 70-5-633L in the Circuit Court of LaSalle County. And Section 4-1 appropriates to the State Comptroller to reimburse



Dr. Patrick Sawton in the amount of seven thousand, six hundred dollars. Now, Mr. Speaker, this is a Conference Committee and a Conference that I am on. I was not invited to it and I don't know anything about this, but I raise the question of germaneness to Sections, the new Section 4 appropriating in an appropriation to the Comptroller for direct payment, an appropriation to the Department of Conservation in the amount of fifteen hundred dollars and ask if this is possible. Mr. Speaker, might I remind you that you ruled last night when Representative Washington went to amend an appropriation to the Department of the Auditor General, when he went to put in an appropriation for the Governor, that you couldn't mix apples and oranges and I'm saying now in this Conference Committee Report, you can't do the same thing and ask that the Chair withdraw the Conference Committee Report, send it back to the Conference Committee because Amendments, the Amendment or the adding of the new Section 4 is not germane. Yeah, but, Clyde, it's our kind of a deal."

Speaker K. Miller: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, do they pick the times they let you get up there?"

Speaker K. Miller: "It seems that way, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "On advice of counsel, I wish to withdraw this Bill being heard now."

Speaker K. Miller: "All right, the Gentleman withdraws this."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, when I was in law school several years ago, I learned of the living Constitution and how it sort of grows and adapts to the needs of the people and I wondered whether we were going to get an example of a little living law that sort of grows and adapts to the needs of the people and the Legislature. Flexible."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know what Kenny Miller's birthday is. He must have a hell of a horoscope."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1357, is that right, 1357? Members are Schneider, Barnes, Washburn, LaFleur, and Dave Jones. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"



Shea: "Would you please give me the time and place the Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1357 which deals with the Environmental Protection Agency is going to meet?"

Speaker Telcser: "I haven't been informed of that. The Conferees will probably meet and determine the time."

Shea: "Well, could you give me that because I have some people that are very interested in attending that one. We're dealing with almost two hundred and twenty-five million dollars of money to reimburse the municipalities of this state and I would appreciate that."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Representative Krause, for what purpose do you rise?"

Krause: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make the same request that Representative Shea just made, only on Senate Bill 1273. I would like to be informed when and where the Conference Committee would meet because there's an important Amendment to the counties on that Conference Committee Report and I think somebody ought to be there to give some light as to just exactly what's involved and I certainly would appreciate it, Mr. Speaker, if you would inform me when and where the Conference Committee on 1273 will meet."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Krause, the Chair will do everything, would like to do everything possible to accommodate you, particularly someone who's distinguished and conscientious a Member of this House as you are. However, I note on the Calendar that Supplemental Calendar #2 carries the Conference Committee Report #2 on the Calendar already. Well, the Gentleman withdrew it from the record, calling it a Committee Report. I don't know that he's withdrawn the Conference Committee Report to have it reconsidered. I suggest you talk with the Senate or House Sponsor. Okay, Representative Skinner would like to announce a meeting of a Conference Committee."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I think that the Membership should know that the Conference Committee on House Bill, the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 2868 did meet in Senator Sours' office at 6:30 this afternoon, or this evening and Representative Shea was there and Representative Lundy was there and all three House



Republicans were there and I really think attending one Conference Committee today ought to be enough for Representative Shea."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, anyone else wish to... Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Leinenweber: "Whose responsibility is it to call a Conference Committee Report. I've been appointed to several, but it doesn't say anybody... the Chairman, is there anything to prevent any person who is appointed to the Conference Committee from convening his own Committee or for drawing up his own Conference Committee and circulating it for signatures?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, tradition has held that... Oh, tradition held that the... generally the Sponsor of the Bill who is usually a Member of the Conference Committee, will call the Conference together. However, that does not preclude any Member from initiating the meetings, calling the Members together and issuing a Report. While on the other hand, a Member on his own may well draw up a Report and bring it around to the Members, other reports notwithstanding from either the House or the Senate. Of course, that doesn't mean that someone else couldn't call a meeting."

Leinenweber: "In other words, whoever can get signatures on a Report for the first time is the one that can file the Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Leinenweber, I wouldn't dispute that that could very well be the case in many instances. If we could be at ease for perhaps five minutes. I'm waiting for the next Supplemental Calendar to come down from the printer so we can call some more Bills. No, let's just... it'll be five minutes, ten minutes. We're waiting for the next Supplemental Calendar to come down from the Clerk's Office. Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry, if I may. About twenty-five hours as I figure it, it'll be the Fourth of July and I'm wondering what steps we might take to make the



of July come out the first Monday, say, in August or maybe December so that we can clear that thing, you know, out of our way this week and we can work right on through without being encumbered by any national holiday here during this week. Now, if you can suggest how I can start the ball, could we memorialize Congress or something to this effect?"

Speaker Telcser: "I think Katz has a Bill he wants to put on here, moved to the order of Second without reference and do it quick-like."

Hudson: "I'll take it up with him, then."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. If you can get it as a Conference Committee in the end, you're in good shape. Sam, you want 2360 called? Supplemental Calendar #3 appears House Bill 2360."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I would really much prefer you if you would go on the order of Resolutions and read mine while we were waiting."

Speaker Telcser: "Which Resolution do you have, Sam? When'd you drop it in, Sam? Today? All right, Sam, why don't you come up here. I don't know... Okay, while we're waiting... Okay, Tommy Hanahan on the floor? Tom Hanahan. Oh, okay. Now, while we're waiting for the Supplemental Calendar to be printed, let's go to the order of Resolutions and Representative McGrew has a Resolution. Oh, I don't know. Who's first, yours first? Sam, wait. Cal's just a number before yours. We'll do Cal's and then Sam's. Okay, let's... Agreed Resolutions and then we'll get to Giorgi after that. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1120, Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Crystal Lake has three centennials every year, excuse me, every hundred years and this is one of them. And unfortunately, the people behind it didn't get their Resolution drawn up in time to run to regularly and this celebrates the 100th anniversary of the formation of the Village of Crystal Lake which started in 1874."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of House Resolution 1120. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution's... Resolution's adopted. Further Resolutions.



Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1121, McGrew et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Could we have the Clerk read that Resolution?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Clerk please read House Resolution 1121 in full?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas, it appears that this august Body will not have completed its labor before Monday, September 2, 1974. And Whereas, the Knights of labor dominated the labor movement in the United States from 1877 to 1887. And Whereas, the Noble and Holy Order of the Knights of Labor, full name adopted in 1871, was established in 1869 by Uriah S. Stevens as a secret organization for the protection of its members against any form of persecution by employers. And Whereas, the Order sought in the long run to organize every department of productive industry. And Whereas, in the area of specific objectives, the Order fought for the adoption of industrial safety measures, a weekly pay law, a mechanics lien law, equal pay for both sexes for equal work, an eight-hour workday and arbitration, discussion and conferences, instead of strikes, although one of its first actions was to create a strike fund. And Whereas, the Knights also strove... for the adoption... abolition of child labor under the age of fourteen, of the contract system, in connection with which it forced the passage of the Contract Labor Law of 1885 forbidding the importation of alien contract laborers and of the leasing out of convicts. And Whereas, in 1881, the Order dropped 'Noble and Holy' from its name, cast off its religious trappings, altered its ritual and eliminated its oath of secrecy. And Whereas, the members continued to exclude lawyers, bankers, professional gamblers, stockbrokers, physicians, until 1881, and persons making their living from the sale of intoxicants. And Whereas, after reaching its zenith in mid-1886, the Knights of Labor began to lose strength rapidly. And Whereas, contributing significantly to its decline were a series of strike failures in mid-1886 and the Haymarket Square Riot. And Whereas, the latter was used by newspapers, employees to discredit the

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Knights, even though they had no connection with it. And Whereas, the Knights of Labor persisted, negligible strength, but in 1917, John W. Hayes, its last master workman, officially ended its formal organization. Therefore, be it Resolved by the House of Representatives, the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that the other 176 Members, including Mr. Speaker, join with the Honorable Thomas J. Hanahan, Jr. in mourning the passing of the Holy... of the Noble and Holy Order of the Knights of Labor. And be it further Resolved that this Assembly's expression... its... express its admiration and respect for the late Knights of Labor meeting in First Special Session each and every night from this day hence until sunrise on Labor Day morning, September 2, 1974. And be it further Resolved a suitable copy and Preamble of this Resolution be presented to the Honorable Thomas J. Hanahan, Jr. in memory of the late Knights of Labor."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Johnny Matijevich the other day said that I probably know more about labor than Doctor Spock. I'd like to commend Representative McGrew and say to him, the Knights of Labor were preceded by many other forms of labor organizations through the years of the guild and they, too, went by the wayside and that if we need anything today, in 1974, that the Knights of Labor would be very proud of, it would be a pay raise for the Members of the General Assembly, double time for all overtime, and to allow the reporters who drink beer in the front row of our House and to make sure that the Speaker of the House is accorded all the opportunity to have extra pay and better offices, better working conditions. And I'm sure that someday, when we get together on both sides of this aisle, we will have the Knights of the General Assembly put together the best pay package that we could ever ask for and I concur with the motion to adopt this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As House Resolution 1121 alludes, there is an outside chance that we will be here until Memorial Day. Excuse me, I'm getting a little mixed up there, too. That's until Labor Day and so, I thought perhaps, it would



be most appropriate that we got an early start and had our first Resolution for the upcoming Labor Day and would move for its adoption and ask leave to add 177 names as Sponsors of this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, all the Members will be added as Cosponsors. The Gentleman now offers to move the adoption of House Resolution 1121. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. On Supplemental Calendar #4 appears House Bill 2354, for which purpose the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, is recognized."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Second Conference Committee Report on 2354 and it has to do with the Department of Labor and the Industrial Commission. Of the six, ten Members of the Committee, four refused to sign the Report and I think there was trouble getting the sixth signature. My problem is that if I placed the Report up for adoption, it means that the Members of this House will go on record as being opposed to collective bargaining as we recognize it in the State of Illinois. I, for one, I'm on the Committee, Conference Committee and I don't want to be put in the position of voting to eliminate collective bargaining as we've recognized it. Now, the Office of Collective Bargaining was made by Executive Order 6. There were hearings conducted throughout the entire state. We've been trying to pass Collective Bargaining Bills in this General Assembly before my time. We have people that we've recognized their units. We've had people from A.F.S.C.M.E. and the Teamsters being recognized, from the service unit from the Machinists and my problem is, if I place this Committee Report up for adoption and you vote to adopt the Committee Report, you're voting against the principle of collective bargaining. Now, I would like to be advised a little bit by the Members of this House as to what to do with this Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am a Member of the Conference Committee and I signed the Report that Representative Giorgi has referred to. Representative Giorgi has stated that



for a Member to vote in favor of this Conference Committee Report means that a Member votes against the Office of Collective Bargaining within the Department of Labor. I wish to respectfully disagree with Representative Giorgi. The Conference Committee met was faced with a difficult situation and reached what it thought was a reasonable compromise for the problem which was presented to it. The Committee has presented the Report, the Report provides that the Office of Collective Bargaining will not be included within the budget of the Department of Labor. However, Report further provides that the appropriations for the installation of computer systems at the Industrial Commission will be placed back into the Bill. This provision had been removed by the Senate. The Chairman of the Industrial Commission stated to the Committee that he thought it was essential for the proper information being supplied to factories and industries throughout the state regarding compliance with the O.S.H.A. program, that the appropriation for the computer systems be placed back into the budget. The Committee agreed with the Chairman of the Commission and the Report which is submitted to you is the result of the Committee deliberations. Accordingly, as a Member of the Conference Committee, I move do adopt of the Second Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 2354."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? No discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield to a couple questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Matijeich: "Representative Giorgi, you had me pretty clear there and then I heard Representative Madigan and he seemed to indicate that if we voted for the Conference Committee Report, it wouldn't be the elimination of the Office of Collective Bargaining. Now, were you at the Conference Committee? That brings another question. How'd you get invited? But, I'll go on to the other one. Is that true what Mike Madigan is telling us or not?"

Giorgi: "Let me make it emphatically clear. The Senate Amendment took out the entire budget item of a hundred and ninety-three thousand



dollars to fund the Office of Collective Bargaining in the Department of Labor. They're the certification people, the referees, the mediators and so on. If you vote to adopt this Report, you could... you are voting against the principle of collective bargaining as it is now in the budget and taken out by the Senate. Now, that's my problem. I don't want to move to adopt this Report. If someone else wants to, fine; but I'm not going to move to adopt this Report."

Matijevich: "One other... now, Representative Madigan said you were faced with a tough, a tough problem in that Committee, but he didn't say what that tough problem was. I've attended some caucuses, I have an idea what it might have been, but could you tell me what it was?"

Giorgi: "Well, for example, we were able to agree that the... restored the money that was taken out for the Attorney General's Office. It's now back in the personal line item and it restores the money that the Industrial Commission needs. But I repeat, if we adopt this Report, we're voting against the principle established of collective bargaining. We're telling the people that are collectively organized in the State of Illinois that they are again illegal. Now, I don't know what to do about this. I want to vote against it."

Matijevich: "Well..."

Giorgi: "So, somebody help me."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'll just say briefly..."

Giorgi: "I haven't put a motion yet."

Matijevich: "I'll just say briefly that I'm not, I'm going to be with you on it. They're going to have to look for a vote somewhere else and since there has been a precedent established where we don't necessarily adopt the Second Conference Committee Report and somehow... All right, go ahead."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the Members of this House should know that if they do, in fact, support this Conference Committee Report and eliminate the Office of Collective Bargaining, that they will be eliminating one of



best governmental agencies that I know personally know about. The people who have been working in that agency have been of the very highest caliber. I cannot speak with personal knowledge about many other aspects of Illinois government, but I can tell you that since I am professionally involved in the labor field somewhat, I can tell you that the people upon whom they have relied have the very high regard of the entire labor management field. And I think it would be unfortunate if this oasis in government, this jewel of the governmental scene, were to be eliminated due to any kind of political reasons."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on the Order... Representative Giorgi, did you..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know... there's no motion and I'm not making it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, there's a motion before the Body to adopt. I made the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise? Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I move that that motion lay upon the table."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, everything's under control. Don't worry about a thing. No? You withdraw your motion, Representative Houlihan? Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan, who was a Conferee on the Committee has moved the House do adopt, Mike? Do adopt the Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to House Bill 2354. Houlihan withdrew his motion. Now, anyone here want... Okay? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Don, I don't know. Just leave me off for now. I don't understand this issue. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to adopting this Conference Committee's Report. I think adopting this Report which removes the Office of Collective Bargaining is the worse that could happen in terms of the budget process and that is bringing politics and election campaigning into the office that would eliminate the Office of Collective Bargaining and would disallow major step forward in terms of state employees to be able to bargain for



their, their rights and their privileges, merely as retribution for some of the union people who believe that they can be involved in the political process and that they can choose the candidates that they would want to support and I would suggest that adopting this would be moving crass, political motives into our entire budget process."

Speaker Telcser: "The motion is to adopt, to adopt. Now, is there anyone else wants to explain their vote? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I thought the Members of the House ought to know that there were extensive hearings throughout the State of Illinois before the rules and regulations were written for the Office of Collective Bargaining and I think many of you that have a lot of collective bargaining units in your district ought to realize, you know, what you're doing. I don't think you ought to go into this with blinds on. I think you ought to know that this may be construed as an anti-labor vote. That's all I want to say."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, if this Report is adopted with 89 votes, does it mean that the Bill or Conference Committee is accepted but doesn't become effective until July 1 of 1975?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct, sir. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, like any trade unionist, I've been on both sides of many bargaining tables and have compromised myself in trying to accomplish for my members the best labor packages and I haven't always been successful in getting everything I've demanded. I think a half a loaf sometimes is as good as going hungry and sometimes a hell of a lot better than going hungry when you get a piece of the bread than saying with pride that you want nothing. The position that we're in that Representative Giorgi is speaking of right now, is whether or not we want to depart on the labor for the coming years. I don't know if he thinks it's a game but there was a time in Illinois history when there wasn't a Department of Labor. Now, If I were



a reactionary, conservative person that hated trade unionism in any aspect, I would help make this Bill go down to defeat because without a Department of Labor, I'm sure a lot of people would be very happy to thwart all the good that it has done in the last fifty-some years that it's been in existence. Now, if that's what Representative Giorgi wants to put before this Body, I say, let him shoulder that responsibility. As for myself, I think that we need a Department of Labor in Illinois. I think we should improve the Department of Labor. I think we should even be better than what this Conference Committee is bringing about. But at the same time, when you either accept what is before us or have the Department of Labor go out of existence, I urge an 'aye' vote and I can assure you, that is a pro-Department of Labor vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to vote? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Just a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. If this Bill doesn't get 107 votes, then the Department of Labor is unfunded, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's right."

Collins: "And this is the Second Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's right. That's the same point Representative Shea made. Representative Washington. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I deeply resent whatever forces came into play to put us in this awful position. Representative Giorgi was trying to tell us in a nice way, using euphemism, that there were certain forces to bear, political if you will... Representative Giorgi was trying to tell us in a nice way that there were certain forces interplaying here which because of some alleged political activity on the part of a particular union, which is well known, but because of their alleged political participation, that a successful attempt was made to emasculate the collective bargaining aspects of this Department. It's quite evident that that is true because no logical reason has been given for taking out the collective bargaining aspects. I'm deeply hurt by this



and I don't understand it. I understand politics. I understand power, but I simply cannot relate in any way to the ruthless, unmindful, inhumanitarian attitude on the part of some people who've got to have their own way throughout this state or else tear up every damn thing. It disturbs me deeply. I don't want to be placed in this position. I am a union man, born and wed and dedicated to the advancement of unionism. I have to see a good government function and government cannot function unless we fund these Bills and get these agencies going. But this ruthless, small breed who hopefully are dying out, still have enough power in certain places to put us into this dichotomy and I strongly resent it. I deeply resent it. Now as has been told us, unless we vote for this Bill now, the Department won't be funded. That's a hell of a position to be in. Unfortunately, you can always vote 'yes', 'na', or maybe. I would like to be able to vote 'yes' with a long explanation to all the people out there who appreciate and understand unionism as I do, explain to them exactly what forces were interplaying here and name the names of the people who were behind this move to emasculate collective bargaining. I am totally and completely amazed, I never thought I'd hear a union man, a union man purportedly, that on the floor of this House and say, well, this doesn't mean anything. Collective bargaining? That's the heart and blood of any union, but a union man and every time he makes a speech on this floor, he starts off by saying, I represent unionism. Well, so do I. And I would never, under any circumstances whatsoever, do anything at all to take away from union people, their right to collectively bargain. And I say a plague on your house, union man, a plague on your house. I'm going to vote for this thing. I'm going to vote for it, but I'll be damned if I like it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I have talked a lot of times to, but I feel that this is one, I got to point a finger just as well as the last speaker. We have a man who professes to be the leader, the chief, the God Almighty of labor in the State of Illinois and I want to say right here today as I said once before in a private



meeting, that if this is the kind of leadership that we have, that we, of labor, come from, then I think we'd better wake up and do something about it. We have a possibility of getting a good labor movement to increase the benefits of the labor movement in Illinois and we have leaders that aren't willing to stand up and be counted when the chips are down and I say, that 115 votes don't mean a thing to me because once tonight already, we had a ramble on this floor, we adjourned because we had a motion defeated on the floor and you pull out a Conference Report. And I would say this right now, if this Gentleman hasn't got enough guts to stand up here and support labor on this issue, then he'd better go home and stay there. And I would just ask this to be taken out and we go back and get a different Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 116 'ayes', 27 'nays', none answering 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to House Bill 2354. Representative Krause, for what purpose do you rise?"

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Telcser: "By the way, one second, Bud. Now, I think that finishes up Supplemental Calendar #4. I think I've taken care of all those matters. Is that right? Anyone... did I miss anything on #4? Representative Krause."

Krause: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm the House Sponsor of House Bill 2416 which is the appropriation for the Department of General Services. There has been a Second Conference Committee appointed. I've been unable to find out where or when the Conference Committee is meeting, so I have filed a Minority Conference Com...(microphone turned off)."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Krause, let him finish. I'm sorry, go ahead, Bud. That was a mistake."

Krause: "Now, Mr. Speaker, the request that I'm making at this time is that since the Conference Committee Report on 2416 has not been made by the Majority, I am now requesting that I be notified when and where the Conference Committee will meet since I am the Chief Sponsor of the Bill and I feel that I have a great amount of input



to put into that Conference Committee. Now, Mr. Washburn, Mr. Dave Jones and Mr. LaFleur are the Republican Members in the House on the Committee and I ask them now to please let me know when and where they're going to meet."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Kenny, Kenny Boyle on the floor? Kenny, do you want... I don't see him here, okay. John, you're not ready yet. Jerry, there's a question on yours. Sam, what about that Historical Society's business, Sam? Representative McGrew, you want to call that historical... No? Well, we're going to have to be at ease for a moment till the next Supplemental Calendar is printed then. Okay. Okay, Supplemental Calendar #5 is now being distributed. Supplemental Calendar #5 is now being distributed. Members be in their seats. We'll get to Supplemental Calendar #5. Representative Krause, for what purpose do you rise? Turn on Krause."

Krause: "Mr. Speaker, I just wondered if Representative Hoffman, the big speechmaker, was here tonight."

Speaker Telcser: "No, he's left. Gene Hoffman, Gene Hoffman. No, he's gone. He kept his word, he left at six. Gene Schlickman. Is Gene Schlickman here to make his speech? Don't see Schlickman either. Mann'll do ten minutes. Okay, Supplemental Calendar #5, appears Senate Bill 1357. What? Murphy or McCormick here to make a speech. They're not here either. Representative Schneider on the floor? Representative Schneider. Okay, the Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn. This is the Environmental Protection Agency, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd pay close attention to this one."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1357."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect... wait, wait, wait. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "I saw Representative Schneider over in the Senate about a minute ago. Could we just hold it for a second and let him get over here?"



Speaker Telcser: "I don't know. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "I was going to... and I happened to be over in the Senate a few minutes ago and I just wonder what are we going through the motions for since the Senate did not adopt the same Conference Committee Report about ten minutes ago?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Senate'll stand on their record and we'll stand on ours."

Barnes: "Well, based on that, I would like to inform the House on an action that was taken here, I believe, just two days ago now, maybe it was yesterday. I'm sort of foggy on these days, but I'm not really sure. But the House did take action on Amendment #11 to this very important deal. There were some Members of the House in Districts 28, 29, 30 and 24 that were vitally concerned with Senate Amendment #11 because in Senate Amendment #11, was money involved there that will start up the hazardous substance program here in Illinois. About a month ago, our districts were really threatened with, which could've been one of the worst catastrophies in the history of this state. The Senate in its wisdom had decided that the Environmental Protection agency would not be able to start the program to protect the citizens in my district. I'm deeply concerned about that and deeply concerned that the Senate feels that things, of that type, would threaten the people in my district at this very moment, was not important enough to be considered as an integral part of that appropriation. I think that this House act in a responsible way in trying to infuse into that particular appropriation at least enough money to start up a protection program for those citizens. I think with that in mind, mindful of what's involved here, I would suggest to my colleagues in the House, do not accept this Conference Report from... on Senate Bill 1357. I think if nothing else, we here in the House, Mr. Speaker, could I have just a little order, please? I think that we here in the House should realize what's going on here. This Conference Committee Report #2 is the identical same Conference Committee Report #1. The only difference is someone took time enough to write Second on the Report. They



never changed it. They never met on it. They never changed a line. They never changed a dotted 'i' or a dotted, or a crossed 't'. They did not even consider, if you will, any differences in Conference Committee Report #1 or #2. They just said, here you are, take it or leave it and they told the people in my district that they simply did not feel that they should have the same benefits derived from our state for looking out for their own safety and welfare. I suggest that we defeat this Conference Committee Report, send it back to the Senate and let them wrestle with whether or not this agency should be funded. It should not be, the yoke should not be put on the back of the Members of this House."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second now, wait. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I understand correctly, Representative Washburn as a Member of the Conference Committee, while Representative Schneider was off of the floor, made a motion regarding that Conference Committee Report. I would suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that Representative Schneider, the principle Sponsor of that Bill, is on the floor and you should hear his request."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. And contrary to this afternoon's experience, I was very nearby and was unable to get here on time to make a motion and I do appreciate Bud Washburn's efforts to scuttle the E.P.A. but nonetheless, I would suggest that as I understand Representative Barnes' remarks, they are very accurate and very appropriate and they relate basically to what has occurred all day and that is that the Conference Committees are nothing more than a shuffling of papers by the Republican party to accept the kinds of ideas and the kinds of funding that they think are appropriate for their particular interests. And in particular, I would refer to the Attorney General, but in addition to that, I would like to suggest that in the process of scuttling this agency, the Republicans did not even make the pretense or undertake the pretense of offering another substitute Conference Committee Report. They simply did come by with another



copy of the first offering and it's now just about as bad as the one that we had originally. But what we're contending at this point or at least that I'm contending, is that I, as the Chief Sponsor, ought to be able to make some motion and I'm sure that Bud Washburn in his generous heart and his decency, will let me at least make the motion to withdraw his rather than have me offer a substitute motion. And, Bud, I would ask you to let me do that."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn, you wish to withdraw your motion, sir? Wish to withdraw your motion and let Representative Schneider now come to the floor and make that motion first?"

Washburn: "We've got a motion here, Mr. Speaker."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would offer a substitute motion. And my motion then, Mr. Speaker, would be to not adopt Conference Committee Report #2 and to request a Third Conference."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider, the Chair earlier indicated there are two Conference Committees on each Bill. Should this Conference Committee not be adopted, in my judgement, the Bill would be dead. You are offering a substitute motion to Representative Washburns. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to repeat my previous statement. I want to repeat my previous statement regarding your ruling, Mr. Speaker, that nothing under the rules of this House, nothing in the words of the state Constitution, prohibits a third, a fourth, a fifth, or numerous other Conference Committees. We're talking about one of the most important budgets in the State of Illinois. We're talking about a budget that effects the very lives of the people of the State of Illinois. We're talking about a budget, we're talking about a budget that can clean not only Illinois, but help clean up the United States of America, through the activities of this Department. And for you to sit up there and arbitrarily say that the Second Conference Committee is going to bring a death to this, I want the record and the Journal to show that I clearly dissent from the ruling of the Chair and I



join with Representative Schneider in asking for a Third Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Let the Journal show Representative Choate's dissent. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William, Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have gone over the matter of Conference Committee Reports. The number of Conference Committee Reports that will be accepted thoroughly this afternoon. Two is the number, the Chair has ruled two. I noted no one appealing the ruling of the Chair and they didn't do it because they know that they cannot on good grounds do such a thing. There has to be a limit to the number of Conference Committee Reports. It has been set in the House and set in the Senate as, at two and has for several years been at two, as long as I've been in this Legislature. To do otherwise, would be, cause chaos. We know that. Could I have some order, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schneider: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and I know Bill Walsh to be a man of integrity at least in our brief encounters on occasions and I think if a Conference Committee Report is made in earnest and with a sense of trying to reach a meeting of the minds, maybe you're correct. Maybe we ought to really say that the Conference Committees ought to have a limit, but when you simply reproduce the First Conference Committee Report identically as the Second Conference Committee Report with the signatures already upon the document of all six Republicans, I don't think we're dealing in good faith. And on that simply observation, Mr. Chairman and Speaker, I would rule or ask that the Body appeal the ruling of the Speaker of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well now, Mr. Speaker, back to what I was saying. We've gone into the matter of Conference Committee Reports. There cannot be a numerable number of them or else the state government would grind absolutely to a halt while we were considering Conference Committee Reports. It's absurd to consider more than two. Two is a reasonable number. Now, the Gentleman, the Minority Leader,



put his finger on the importance of the matter that we're dealing with here--environmental protection. Protection not just for the people of the State of Illinois, but for the people of the Midwest. Now, Mr. Speaker, this is indeed an important matter. He has said it is an important matter. If we are to fund this important agency of state government, then we'd better do it. The time is now. So, I would urge you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to adopt the Conference Committee Report because if you do not do it, then you are going to grind this agency to a halt. And apparently, you people over there are intent on grinding state government to a halt entirely. So, I urge support for the Gentleman's motion to adopt."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Isn't the motion, shall the Chair be overruled, nondebatable motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "There's currently pending before the House a motion by Representative Schneider which is not in order, since it's two motions in one, that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357."

McClain: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider has asked for that motion, some kind of language with respect to a certain Conference Committee. Now, if the Gentleman wishes to persist in his motion that the House do not adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357, the Chair will be happy to put that motion."

McClain: "Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Anything beyond that is out of order. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, isn't a motion... shall the Chair be overruled, take precedence over a motion to do not adopt."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair hasn't made a ruling on anything yet that I'm aware of."

McClain: "I'd like to ask for a ruling on that."

Speaker Telcser: "Ruling on what?"

McClain: "Whether or not a motion, whether or not the Chair shall be



overruled, take precedence over a do not adopt motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Chair hasn't ruled on anything yet. What do you want to overrule me on? You want to overrule me and put one in the bank? Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, somewhere in your conversation there, you ruled that there shall be only two Conference Committee Reports. Wait a minute, that's what you said. I see that in none of the rules, not even the Senate rules, nor the House rules and everybody knows we don't have any joint rules. And I would ask a ruling of the Chair. Can there be more than two Conference Committee Reports? What is your ruling on that?"

Speaker Telcser: "In the Chair's opinion which was stated this morning and another time..."

Matijevich: "I'd like a ruling, not an opinion."

Speaker Telcser: "I would say, it is my opinion there would be no more than two Conference Committees."

Matijevich: "Well, I think that's what he was asking... motion to overrule you on. Is that right, Glenn? I'll make the motion to overrule the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know, I know that some people think I talk too much around here and I guess I do. But if you've noticed, I've been singularly quiet for the last two days for a very specific reason. I hope you cheer as hard when I'm finished as you have so far. You know, we've put up for the last two days with the most arrogant abuse of executive power that I've ever heard of in my entire life. We're sitting here in this chamber, a patient, enduring Republican Party, having an executive who is bending the arms of the people in his party to do his will on his whims. An Alice in Wonderland quality, Mr... Representative (inaudible), if you'll listen you may learn something. We've sat here, listening to the executive whims of a king with a tinsel crown. We've sat here, hearing you say, if we don't get our way, we're going to



take our bat and ball and go home. We're sick to death of sitting here for three days when our families are waiting for you to play your silly games. There's a precedent for two Conference Reports in this House. Now, get with us and cut the Gordian knot of executive imbecility. Go ahead, Mr. Sponsor, ask for a ruling of the Chair. We welcome a chance to support it. Too long have the Legislators here been listening to the nonsense that's coming out of the other side of the aisle. E.P.A. to you is an excuse for political arrogance."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Schneider: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think there seems to be a sense of antagonism."

Duff: "I would've told you more quietly if you'd have been here all afternoon."

Schneider: "And I would never think that anybody that alludes to Alice in Wonderland, Peter Pan himself, would be the person who would generate such antipathy. My question to the Chair at this point, I do believe Representative Matijevich had a motion to appeal the Chair and I'd like to know where we are on that."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, I'll be glad to entertain that motion."

Schneider: "It's not a debatable motion and that seems to me where we were."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair has ruled that there are two Conference Committees in the House of Representatives in this General Assembly. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, has moved to overrule the Chair and that's the motion... on that question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion overrule the Chair, signify by voting 'aye', the... 89 votes to overrule the Chair. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a favor of the Gentleman from Evanston. From Wilmette, I'm sorry. The fellows tell me that they ran out of their film, Brian. Would you mind doing that again?"

Speaker Telcser: "We'll get a Roll Call. Representative Fleck. I'll get you, Tim, in a second. Well, not to debate the question.



You can make a parliamentary inquiry, sir, I think. I'll get you, Sam, don't worry. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "Well, I don't have a parliamentary inquiry, so I will relax until a later date."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "I'd like to know, Mr. Speaker, whether the Chair has ruled that when one Conference Committee Report comes out with one Member, the same signatures, and the same effect and same decisions, without consultation of all the Members of the Conference Committee and a second Committee comes just with one additional number, if that is considered a Second Committee Report or is it the same Committee Report?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, the Chair does not wish to get into the thicket of Conference Committee politics."

Maragos: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Telcser: "And not, the question..."

Unkown: "Art Telcser (inaudible)."

Maragos: "I haven't finished my inquiry, sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you had."

Maragos: "No, this... Mr. Speaker, you do not want to become, get in the thicket, how about getting in the brush and finally get us the definition as to what consists of a Conference Committee Report. Changing of numbers or just the Conference... Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "A Report signed by a majority of the Members, obviously. Representative Matijevec, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Although, I believe firmly in what I did, I'm going to withdraw my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Representative Kriegsman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kriegsman: "Speaker, I'd like to know one point. Does hollering like a bunch of banshees qualify you for a five thousand dollar raise? I think it's a lot of crap the way you've been acting."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Schneider, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schneider: "All right, let me... could I ascertain the posture of the Chair. Are we on a do not adopt motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, right. We're on Representative Schneider's substitute motion do not adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to Senate Bill 1357."

Schneider: "Could I explain?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, on his motion."

Schneider: "Let me briefly just reiterate what I think is important to know about. First of all, the procedure for the Conference Committee Reports were very routine. I'm sure they were felt to be in earnest by the Republican staff and the Members of the Republican signees, rather. However, I would judge that they were not really Amendments and Conference Committee Reports arrived at in good faith. And so, I think on that basis along for the needs for all of us to have some input and some responsibility to make decisions regarding the appropriations of the agencies that we're all concerned about, that we ought to at least give some kind of credibility to that process and I would hope that you could support my motion on that. Secondly, what we're doing is basically just gutting the agencies. Partly, on the whim of the Senate; partly, because they're much more visible and available to special interests who can be... who can contact them more directly and apply more pressure. We have, as a House, been far more independent, far more judicious, far more insightful as to the needs and the demands and the problems of the environment and agency itself. I think we need to restore some of that money, certainly the agency can afford a few cuts, but nothing as drastic as occurred under the present Amendment which is over a million dollars, almost 9% of the budget and I daresay that there aren't many agencies that suffered that kind of cut during this pretty short Session. So, my request is, do not adopt and I would support, request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."



Epton: "Mr. Speaker, I wished you recognized me before. I rather thought that Representative Matijevich's request was a decent one. I think it places us in the posture of deciding once and for all whether you're correct. As far as I'm concerned, I would like to make a motion to appeal the ruling of the Chair in so far as two Conference Committees are concerned. Either you're right or you're wrong and we'll determine it once and for all. And I think if that motion had precedence before, mine has the same precedence."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "I don't think that my friend and colleague, Representative Matijevich, needs anyone on the floor of this House to make a motion for him. In the second place, you're entirely wrong because you're talking about 89 votes versus 107."

Epton: "I don't know how I'm wrong, I didn't suggest that I was making the motion for the Representative. I'm making my own motion. And two, I haven't asked how many votes determine it. All I'm simply asking is once and for all a determination as to whether two Conferences are proper or twenty-two Conferences. The Chair has ruled two. I'm appealing the ruling of the Chair. This is my motion. I happen to agree with the distinguished Gentleman on the other side, but it's really my own."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "And I ask my colleague to think about this very sincerely, Bernie, because I think you and I are good friends. But if the appealing or if the ruling of the Chair is appealed and that appeal is lost, would it forever lock the possibility of a Third Conference Committee on this Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, your inquiry is premature at this time and I'm going to take Representative Epton's motion after we've disposed of the Gentleman from DuPage's motion, which is currently pending."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly recognize your right to do that. I don't know why a Gentleman on the other side of the aisle would get precedence over me, but I certainly will accept your decision. The only point I make in reference to Jerry Shea's request, I'm



not doing this to create any difficulties. I'm one of the Representatives who's been sitting here patiently, trying to figure out what's going on. And if we're going to spend hour after hour with multiple Conferences, I would like to know because I needs some new clothing. It's just that simple, but I certainly will follow the Chair's ruling."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And all due respect to my friend from the south side lake shores of Chicago, but I think we've all had political speeches tonight and we've all had one-upmanship and gamesmanship. I believe that there was a legitimate motion on the floor and we should either/ or deal with that and let the ones of us that are tired, go home. I do not mind staying here to deal with the pertinent problems of the State. But I'm not here, I wasn't sent down here to listen to 177 foolish speeches on nights that we are too tired to even deal with the work that we're sent here to do. So, let's get about that business and quit making silly speeches."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, on Mr. Schneider's motion."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and especially to a Gentleman that I admire very much, had the privilege of serving on one of the Commissions with, Representative Schneider. What I want to say is not being said with any real rancor or bitterness at what has happened or what has been going on these last few days. All of us live in a political world. All of us understand the political implications of most of what we do. Not too long back, we had a very serious, very serious disagreement in this chamber by Members, both sides, not really a partisan disagreement, but a disagreement on the question of whether the Governor's Office of Human Resources should continue to be funded. Support for Representative Washington's Amendments and attempts were received from both sides of the aisle. What I particularly resented in that par... total situation regarding G.O.H.R. was that in my estimation, the Chief Executive of the state was totally and absolutely wrong in attempting to inflict into a growing and needed.



in this state, rank partisan politics."

Speaker Telcser: "One second. Representative Jim Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Houlihan: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I think that the Gentleman is out of order. He's not addressing himself to what's before this House and I suggest that since the Senate has already adjourned until next Wednesday, we seriously think about going home."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, will you confine your remarks to the issue, please?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I think that in terms of explaining our position, these are extremely serious issues. There is some historical and background basis in the situation. G.O.H.R., and we all know every executive, every politician has used one bureau or another for politics. Using the Department of Human Resources was a horrible, horrible move. It puts all sorts of people on the spot, brought programs which were much needed under scrutiny and set us back in many of the advances that we had made. We are now talking about another agency and I speak about this particular Bill before us know, the Environmental Protection Agency. And once again, in a Department which is vital to the future of our, not only our state, but the kind of activity that is vital to our entire environment, referring to clean air, to healthy trees, to the drinking of pure water. The basic disagreements in this issue and in this particular Bill is not a question over the application of programs. It's not a basis of how much money is needed to fund proper programs, but again, we come down to a basic, basic political disagreement. Another agency that is vital to the interests of the state which, in my estimation, and I'm sure in your thinking, it should be above politics, is, in fact, being steeped into political mire and a quagmire which is going to take us an awful lot of time to get out of. I suggest to my friends who are, in fact, interested about the environment, to lay the partisanship aside, let's talk about the programs, let's talk about the need for the establishment of this agency in its proper funding so that it is not without money for one month or two-months or three months, until some individuals ends up resolving



their petty, political bickering. I would hope that at least in this instance, we, in fact, are above it. Representative Mann laid it right on the line when we defeated this Amendment and Representative Schneider, it's not the whim of a few people. We defeated that Amendment in this House three times, three times. The House has spoken and I hope that they speak once again."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do not concur with Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 1357. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. I'd like to remind everyone, this will be final action on Conference Committee Report #2. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what the last speaker on the other side in his ill-tempered talk forgot to tell you was, the Chairman of G.O.H.R. under Governor Ogilvie was the former Republican candidate for City Treasurer of Chicago and for State Senator, Paul Rizner, a politician. Governor Ogilvie had a politician that lost twice for office as Chairman of G.O.H.R. and you didn't try to abolish G.O.H.R. and you're a phony."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, I want to remind the Members once... I want to remind the Members once again, once this Roll Call is completed, this is final action on the Environmental Protection Agency. If this Gentleman's... if the House does not adopt this Conference Committee Report, this Bill will be dead. Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "I suggest that Representative Pierce know his political history as to when this man was a candidate and, in fact, if anyone can take lessons from anybody on being a phony, Mr. Pierce, I've been watching you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I beseech you, each and every one of you, please, please leave G.O.H.R. alone. Don't get it involved in this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, now I see."



the Roll Call is pretty obvious. Now, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Washington: "That they said that G.O.H.R. was still alive and well and my intention to see that it dies."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, if we could have commerce, commerce talks at this time. Mr. Speaker, you said this was..."

Speaker Telcser: "Let me get, let Representative Fleck make his inquiry and then let me restate the motion. Representative Madigan isn't quite sure..."

Fleck: "Now, Mr. Speaker, you said that this was final action."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."

Fleck: "Now, doesn't a motion to reconsider if it was filed timely still keep this matter alive? I've asked that because it is my understanding that the Senate has filed a similar motion in the Senate and that they have adjourned but they can reconsider that matter when they come back on the 10th. So, we can vote this down now if we want and if we file a motion to reconsider, let's play around with it on the 10th and let's go home because this is like being still in school--nothing's getting done."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, Representative Madigan, Representative Schneider has moved that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report #2. All right? Have all... Representative Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to direct my remarks to Mr. Peters. Pete, if Mr. Peters was totally familiar with the agency budget and for all the talk about quagmires, he'd know that we have a special permit and as far as the Anti-pollution Bond Act, he could make application to get out of the quagmire that he seems to be embroiled in. The motion is do not adopt. I recommend an 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 54 'nays' and the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357; the E.P.A. is dead. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution. The adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #83. Adopted by the Senate, July 3, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Adjournment Resolution from the Senate. It says that when the House adjourns today, July 3, that we will remain adjourned until four p.m. on Wednesday, July 10, 1974. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution and that pursuant thereto, this House do now adjourn."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. House stands adjourned until next Wednesday at three o'clock. First Special Session will now come to order. Members please be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. No? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh wants a new Roll Call. He wants a new Roll Call."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, now that the Regular Session is adjourned, now that the... Get some order, would you? Mr. Speaker, now that the Regular Session has adjourned, pursuant to the Adjournment of the First Special Session, we are now in the First Special Session. Since this is a new Legislative Day, it will be necessary that we have a record Roll Call for attendance. And so, I urge you to record..."

Speaker Telcser: "Roll Call for attendance for the First Special Session. Getty, put me on 'present'. Vote your switches 'present', vote 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, for anyone who is not here and not here for any reason, to touch their present button is a very serious thing."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, clear the board. Take another one. All right, Getty, vote me 'present'. Okay, Mr. Clerk, take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Well, we had the switches open, for God's sake. That's Palmer and Molloy. Palmer and Molloy. Palmer Molloy. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook,



Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "And now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the First Special Session stand adjourned until immediately after the... have you taken the attendance, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, we have."

W. Walsh: "I move that the First Special Session stand adjourned until the adjournment of the Regular Session on Wednesday, July 10, 1974."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the First Special Session stand adjourned until the adjournment of the Regular Session on July 10, All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. First Special Session does now stand adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1		Speaker Blair	House in order	
		Rep. Davis	Invocation	
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance	
		Simms	Record me present	
		Speaker Blair		
		Kempiners	Record me present	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions	
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions are adopted	
2		Sangmeister	Moves House do not adopt 1st Com. Report on H.B. 2351	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Hill	Moves House does not adopt 1st. Con. Com. Report on H.B. 2355	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		McGrew	Moves do not adopt Con. Com. Report #1 on H.B. 2360	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Krause	Moves House do not adopt Con. Com. Report #1 on H.B. 2416	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
3		Flinn	Moves House do not adopt Con. Com Report #1 to S.B. 1346	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Campbell	Moves House do not adopt Con. Com. Report #1 to S.B. 1559	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Shea		
		Speaker Bliar		
		Clerk Selcke		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Shea	Moves House do not adopt Con. Com. Report #1 to S.B. 1273	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
4		Shea	Inquiry	
		Speaker Blair		
		Lundy	Call H.B. 2868 later	
		Speaker Blair		
		Mugalian	H.B. 2358, haven't received report	
		Speaker Bliar		
5		McClain	No report filed?	
		Speaker Blair	Discussion	
		Houlihan	Inquiry	
		Speaker Blair		
		Keller	Moves to adopt C.C.R. #2 o H.B. 1265	
		Speaker Bliar		
6		Cunningham	Question	
		Keller	Discussion	
		Speaker Bliar		
		Tipsword	No copy	
		Keller	Wrong copy on desks	
		Speaker Blair	C.C.R. #2 to H.B. 1265 is adopted	
7		McClain	Moves do not adopt C.C.R. to H.B. 2365	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Holloway	Moves do not adopt C.C.R. to S.B. 1383	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
8		Philip	Moves House do adopt C.C.R. #2 to H.B. 2878	
		Speaker Blair		
		Redmond		
		Speaker Blair		
		Lechowicz	Asks Redmond to repeat	
		Speaker Blair		
		Redmond	Repeats	
9		Speaker Blair		
		Berman	Question	
10		Philip	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Flinn	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		
		Hart	Supports	
11		Speaker Blair		
12		Shea	Yield?	
		Philip	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		G. Hoffman	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		
		Giglio	Noncommitted	
13		Speaker Blair		
		McMaster	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		
14		Tipsword	Yield? Supports	
		Philip	Discussion	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-2-74

4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	
15		Philip	Yield?
		Speaker Blair	Discussion
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Blair	Point of ???
16		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	Explains procedure
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Blair	Supports
17		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Blair	Moves Previous Question
		Philip	Previous Question is moved
		Speaker Blair	To close
		Stiehl	
		Speaker Blair	Supports
18		Pierce	
		Speaker Blair	Supports
		D. Jones	
		Speaker Blair	Supports
19		McGrew	
		Speaker Blair	
		D'Arco	
		Speaker Blair	Parliamentary inquiry
		Philip	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
20		Speaker Blair	
		McClain	C.C.R. #2 to H.B. 2878 is adopted
		Speaker Blair	Parliamentary inquiry
		Skinner	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
21		Skinner	
		Speaker Blair	
		Caldwell	Moves to nonconcur with H.B. 2364, C.C.R. #1
		Speaker Bliar	House does not adopt
		Mugalian	Moves to not adopt C.C.R. #1 to H.B. 2358.
22		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt
		Beaupre	Take out of record?
		Speaker Blair	
		Beaupre	Moves do not adopt C.C.R. #1 to H.B. 1261
		Speaker Blair.	House does not adopt
		Lundy	Moves to concur with C.C.R. #1 to H.B. 2868
23		Speaker Blair	
24		Skinner	Present
		Speaker Blair	
		LaFleur	Opposes
		Speaker Blair	
25		Shea	Opposes
		Speaker Blair	
		McCourt	Supports

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Speaker Blair		
		W. Walsh	supports	
26		Speaker Blair		
		G. Hoffman	Supports	
27		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
28		Lundy	to close	
29		Speaker Blair		
		Skinner	Explains	
		Speaker Blair		
		Berman	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Blair		
		Juckett	Explains vote	
30		Speaker Blair		
		Lundy	Place H.B. 2868 on Postponed Consideration	
		Speaker Blair		
31		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from Senate	
32		Speaker Blair		
		Hirschfeld		
		Speaker Blair		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Blair		
		Martin	Moves to adopt C.C.R. #1 to S.B. 2362	
33		Speaker Blair	House does adopt	
		Beaupre	do not adopt C.C.R. #1 - H.B. 2365	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Bliar		
		Shea	Discussion	
34		Philip	objects	
		Speaker Blair	Discussion	
		Shea	Won't call the Bill	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	No Commitment on S.B. 1555	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 1555	
		Shea	Explains S.B. 1555	
35		Speaker Blair	S.B. 1555 is passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 328	
		Tuerk	Explains S.B. 328	
		Speaker Blair	S.B. 328 is passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 329	
		Tuerk	Yields to Skinner	
		Speaker Blair		
		Skinner	Explains S.B. 329	
36		Speaker Blair		
		Pierce	Yield?	
37		Skinner	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Friedland	Yield?	
		Skinner	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair	S.B. 329 is passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 330	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Tuerk	Explains S.B.330	
38		Speaker Blair		
		Brinkmeier	Yield?	
		Tuerk	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Tuerk	To close	
		Speaker Blair		
		Tuerk	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
39		Calvo	Explains vote	
		Tuerk	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Brummett	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
		Brinkmeier	Explains Vote	
		Speaker Blair	S.B. 330 fails Senate Bills, 2nd Reading	
40		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 1541	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Moves to Table S.B. 1541	
		Speaker Blair	S.B. 1541 is tabled	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 1345	
		Speaker Blair	Take out of record	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 1562	
		Speaker Blair		
		McClain	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
41		Collins	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Collins		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Addresses the Amendment.	
		Collins	Discussion	
		McClain		
42		Choate	Addresses the Amendment	
		Speaker Blair		
		Collins	Speakers on Amendment #1	
43		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Collins		
		Speaker Blair		
		Clavo		
		Speaker Blair		
		McClain	To close	
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 is adopted 3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads S.B. 1562, 3rd Rdg.	
		Speaker Blair		
		Collins	Explains S.B. 1562	
		Speaker Blair		
44		Lechowicz	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	To close	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
45		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate	Explains present vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins		
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1562 is lost Postponed Consideration	
		Maragos	Moves S.B. 1552 back to 2nd	
46		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Question	
		Maragos	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 is adopted 3rd Reading	
		Maragos	Explains S.B. 1552	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Kosinski	Supports	
47		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Supports	
48		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Huskey	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Kosinski	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1552	
		Maragos	Requests poll of absentees	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	<u>11.</u>
		Speaker Telcser		
49		Stone	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1552 is passed	
		Shea	Moves to nonconcur with Senate Amend. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 on H.B. 2277	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur	
50		J. Houlihan	Moves nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, on H.B. 2402	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur	
		Boyle		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Giorgi	Moves to not adopt C.C. to H.B. 2354	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt	
51		Schisler	Moves to not adopt C.C. to H.B. 2361	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt	
		Fipsword	Moves to not adopt C.C. to H.B. 2367	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt	
		Day	Moves House concurs with C.C. H.B. 2372 and S.B. 1618	
52		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner	Question	
53		Day	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Day	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		
54		Palmer	Explains vote	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts C.C. to H.B. 2772	
		Day	Moves to concur with C.C. to S.B. 1618	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts C.C. to S.B. 1618	
		Day		
55		Speaker Telcser		
		Douglas	Explains S.J.R. #65	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Douglas	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment is adopted	
		Dougals	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcser	S.J.R. #65 is adopted	
56		J.J. Wolf	Inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads motion for S.J.R. 79	
		Beaupre	Explains motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		DiPrima	Speaks on motion	
57		Speaker Telcser		
		Hill	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Giglio		
		Speaker Blair		
		Huskey	Supports	
58		Speaker Blair		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-2-74

13.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Dyer	
		Speaker Blair	Wants an Amendment added
		DiPrima	
		Speaker Blair	
59		Katz	
		Speaker Blair	
60		Hudson	
		Speaker Blair	
		Totten	
		Speaker Blair	Previous question
		DiPrima	Previous question moved
		Speaker Blair	To close
		Borchers	Vote on motion to reconsider S.J.R. 79
61		Speaker Blair	Explains vote
62		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Blair	
		Dee	
		Speaker Blair	
63			Motion to reconsider passes Leave to suspend Rule 41c passes Vote on S.J.R. motion
64		Katz	
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Blair	
65		Getty	S.J.R. 79 passed
		Speaker Blair	H.B. 2347, moves not adopt C.C. #1
		Kempiners	Vote - passed

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
66		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Question	
67		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair	Discussion	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages cont'd	
		Speaker Blair		
		Boyle	Take out of record	
68		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1670, 2nd Reading	
		Holloway	Moves table	
		Speaker Blair	S.B. 1670 tabled	
		Stone		
		Speaker Blair		
		Boyle	H.B. 2348, C.C. report	
		Speaker Blair		
		Boyle	Moves House not adopt	
		Speaker Blair	H.B. 2348, House does not adopt	
69		Lundy	H.B. 2868, C.C. report Moves House does not adopt	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt C.C. report	
		Shea	Question	
		Speaker Blair		
		Maragos	Asks leave for motions	
		Speaker Blair	H.B. 2405, moves nonconcur	
70		Taylor		



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt Report #1	
		Choate		
71		Speaker Blair		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Blair	House at ease	
		Choate		
72		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Personal Privilege	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Sings Happy Birthday to Borchers, Walters, and Getty	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Happy Birthday to Tom Lau (?) U.P.I.	
73		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers		
		Speaker Telcser		
		J.J. Wolf	Requests Happy Anniversary	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Sings Happy Anniversary	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	Requests Motions	
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 2276, motion tabled H.B. 2813, motion tabled H.B. 2845, motion tabled S.B. 910, motion tabled	
74		Maragos	H.B. 2599, motion to vote 'aye' on verified roll call	
		Speaker Telcser	Objections heard	
		Maragos	Again asks leave	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett		
		Speaker Telcser	Rule 50C, 89 votes	
		Lundy	Questions Sponsor	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	Question	
75		Maragos	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on motion	
		Deuster		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew	Explains vote	
76		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett	Speaks against motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Calvo	Poll of absentees	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mann		
77		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Wolf	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	New Roll Call on motion, passed	
		Kosinski	Point of information	
78		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 2599, Londrigan 'aye' H.B. 2480, Stedelin, McGrew 'aye' H.B. 2480, Flinn 'aye'	
		J. Wolf	Objects to motions	
79		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	17.
		J. Wolf		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Flinn	Requests back on Roll	
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh	Question on motions	
		Clerk Selcke		
80		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		W. Walsh	Objects to leave	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McMaster	Makes motion to change votes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		R. Dunne		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Palmer		
81		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder		
82		Speaker Telcser		
		Flinn	Point of Order	
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	Point of Order	
83		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Keller		
84		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Merlo		
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on motions for Roll Call	
		Boyle		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers	Explains vote	
85		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads absentees	
		Speaker TELcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative Roll Call	
		Speaker Telcser	Members in seats...	
86		Clerk Selcke	Continues affirmative	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce		
		Speaker TELcser		
		Porter	'aye'	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Continues	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hyde	Introduction	
87		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hoffman		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Continues	
		Speaker Telcser		
88, 89, 90		W. Walsh	Questions Roll Call	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Clerk Selcke		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh	Continues questions	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails	
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1345, Amendment 19	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Speaker Blair		
91		G. Hoffman	Personal privilege	
92		Speaker Blair		
		W. Walsh	Moves House recess	
93		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Room 400, Demo Caucus	
		Speaker Blair	Republican caucus, Room 212 Recess	
		Speaker Blair	Sends Doorkeeper to Demo caucus H.B. 1345, take out of record	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
94		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1659	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1659, Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Blair	Explains Amendment	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Question	
		Blair	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Question	
95		Blair	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Question	
		Blair	Discussion	
96		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijeovich		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Sponsor yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
97		Lechowicz	Question	
		Blair	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Speaks against Amendment	
98		Speaker Telcser		
		Blair		
99		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Yield?	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Question	
		Blair	Discussion	
100		Berman	Addresses Amendment	
101		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
102		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1659, take out of record	
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1357, Amendment #13	
		Schraeder		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Schraeder	Moves table #13	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #13 tabled	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #14	
		Pierce		
103		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Question	
104		Pierce	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Epton	Speaks against Amendment 14	
105		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers		
106		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijeich		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-2-74

22.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	
		Pierce	Question
107		Speaker Telcser	Discussion
108		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	Personal privilege
		Catania	
		Speaker Telcser	
		G. Hoffman	
		Speaker Telcser	Previous question
		Houlihan	Previous Question moved
		Speaker TELcser	
109		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	
110		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	Personal Privilege
111		Deuster	S.B. 1357, Amendment 14, vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	
112		Epton	
		Speaker Telcser	
113		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
		Speaker Telcser		
114		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider		
115		Speaker Telcser		
		Schlickman		
116		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington		
117		Speaker Telcser		
		Duff		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ewell		
118		Speaker Telcser		
		VonBoeckman		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Huskey		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Barnes		
119		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Wolf	Previous question	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	To close	
120		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	24.
		Tuerk	Questions Chair	
		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos		
121		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Announcement	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Asks verification	
		Speaker Telcser		
122		Clerk Selcke	Polls Absentees	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirmative Roll Call	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Dunne	Announcement	
123		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Questions of Roll Call	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Houlihan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Con't questions of Roll Call	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle		
124		Speaker Telcser	Con't questions of Roll Call	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
125, 126		Peters	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	Con't Questions of Roll Call
		Clerk Selcke	
127		Speaker Telcser	
		Emil Jones	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
128		Clerk Selcke	Reads negative Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
129, 130		Pierce	Questions Negative Roll Call
131		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #14 fails
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1357, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1357, vote
		Kempiners	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
132		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1357



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	26.
		Friedland		
		Speaker Telcser	Passed S.B. 1357	
		Campbell	Adopt C.C. S.B. 1559	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote, C.C. adopted	
133		Mann	Question	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		Matijeich	H.B. 2359, C.C. report-hold	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	H.B. 2348, C.C. do adopt	
		Speaker Telcser	House does adopt C.C.	
134		Deavers	H.B. 2298, moves do adopt C.C.	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Deavers		
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on adoption of C.C.	
		Choate		
135		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder		
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 2298, C.C. adopted	
		J. Holloway	Moves conc. in S.A. #3 to S.B. 3357	
136		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Holloway	2nd C.C. report	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on C.C. report, adopted	
		Beaupre	S.G. 1261, C.C. Take out of record	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	27.
137		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
138		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
139		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
140		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker TELcser		
		Clabaugh		
		Choate		

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	
141		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	
142		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		D. Jones	Substitute motion
		Speaker Telcser	Moves House do adopt C.C. #2 to S.B. 1261
		D. Jones	As a Member...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Point of order
143		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
144		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
145		Speaker Telcser	
		BERman	
		Speaker Telcser	Rules motion in order
		Berman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	29.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Moves table motion and 3rd C.C.	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate	Point of order	
146		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair		
		W. Walsh		
147		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Withdraws motion	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on motion of D. Jones	
		Choate	Explains vote, dissent from action	
148		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijeovich	Explains Vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos		
149		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	
		Speaker Telcser		30.
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Davis		
150		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Davis		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Personal Privilege	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Wolf		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
151		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Explains vote	
152		Speaker Blair		
		Clabaugh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Barnes		
153		Speaker Telcser		
		Palmer	Explains vote	
154		Speaker Telcser		
		Calvo	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Jones	Explains vote	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	31.
		Speaker Telcser		
155		Beaupre	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea	Explains vote	
156		Speaker Telcser	C.C. report #2 fails to S.B. 1261	
		W. Walsh	Moves to recess	
		Speaker Telcser	House is in recess	
		Unknown	Announcement of fireworks	
		Borchers	Announcement	
157		Speaker Telcser	House in order	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 2nd Reading	
158		Blair	Moves to adopt C.A. #1 to S.B. 1659	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Objects to #1, supports #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
159		J. Houlihan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McMaster		
		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Houlihan		
160		Speaker Telcser		
		Gibbs	Yield	
161		Blair	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	32.
		Speaker Telcser		
162		Blair	Explains further	
		Gibbs		
163		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McCourt		
		Speaker Telcser	C.A. #1 is adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads C.A. #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Moves to table C.A. #2	
164		Speaker Telcser	C.A. #2 is adopted, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads messages	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 2nd Reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads S.B. 1660	
		Speaker Telcser	Take out of record	
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1659, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Blair	Explains S.B. 1659	
165		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner	Yield	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate	Improve lights	
166		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		
167		Blair	Discussion	
168		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1659 is passed	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	Concurrence
169		Pierce	Nonconcur with S.A. #1 on S.B. 2651
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Agrees to nonconcur
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur
		Schneider	Recede from H.A. #1 to S.B. 1357
170		Speaker Telcser	Vote, House does not recede
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1660, Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Can't hear
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
171		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1660, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1660, vote, passed
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1667, 2nd Reading Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	34.
172		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1667, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijeovich		
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1667, passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	S.B. 1552, recede from H.A. #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
	173		Leinenweber	Sponsor Yield?
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Question	
		Maragos	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	Moves recede from H.A. #1	
		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1552	
		Lauer	Sponsor yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lauer	Question	
174		Maragos	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Cunningham	Sponsor Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
175		Cunningham	Question	
		Maragos	Discussion	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	35.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Huskey	Sponsor yield	
		Speaker Telcser		
176		Huskey	Question	
		Maragos	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Huskey	Question	
		Maragos	Discussion	
		Peters	Point of order	
		Speaker Telcser		
177		Maragos	To Close	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers	Explains vote	
178		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	Poll of the absentees	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	Substitute motion, nonconcur	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not recede H.A. #1 to S.B. 1552	
		Juckett	Moves to concur with C.C.R. to S.A. #1 to H.B. 1464	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
179		Juckett	Discussion	
180		Speaker Telcser		
		Getty	Opposes	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	36.
		Speaker Telcser		
181		Geo-Karis	Yield?	
		Juckett	Discussion	
		Geo-Karis	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett	To close	
182		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	Explains 'no' vote	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt C.C.R. to H.B. 1464	
		Grotberg	Moves to concur with C.C.R. to H.B. 2541	
		Speaker Telcser		
183		Shea	no copy	
		Grotberg	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Brinkmeier	Yield?	
		Grotberg		
		Speaker Telcser	House does concur with H.B. 2541	
		Calvo	not concur with S.A. #1 and 2 with S.B. 1264	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur	
184		Berman	concur with C.C.R. #1 to H.B. 2653	
		Speaker Telcser	House does adopt	
		Collins	Concurs with C.C.R. to S.B. 1641	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea	Question	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-2-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	
185		Collins		37.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Dunne		
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts C.C.R. to S.B. 1641	
		Sangmeister	Changes vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Wolf	concurr with C.C.R. #2 to H.B. 2351	
186		Sangmeister		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Jaffe	House adopts C.C.R. #2 to H.B. 2351	
		Speaker Telcser	concurr with C.C.R. H.B. 2350	
		Friedland		
		Jaffe	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
187		McMaster		
		Jaffe	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		Borchers		
		Speaker Telcser	opposes	
		Walters		
		Jaffe	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Kempiners		
		Jaffe	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Taylor	Yield?	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	38.
188		Jaffe	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder		
189		Jaffe	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Grotberg	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Jaffe		
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts C.C.R. H.B. 2350	
190		Washburn	Thanks Sponsors	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider	Moves not adopt C.C.R. to S.B. 1357	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt	
		Caldwell	Moves to adopt C.C.R. to H.B. 2364	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts C.C.R. H.B. 2364	
191		Shea	Section #4, not germane to S.B. 1273	
192		Speaker K. Miller		
		Shea	Withdraws S.B. 1273	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ewell	Inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	K. Miller's horoscope	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	39.
193		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Krause		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		
194		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Parliamentary Inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Question	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		Hudson	Parliamentary Inquiry	
195		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.R. 1120	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		
		Speaker Telcser	H.R. 1120 adopted	
196		Clerk O'Brien	H.R. 1121	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.R. 1121, reads	
197		Speaker Telcser		
		Hanahan		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Moves adopt H.R. 1121
198		Speaker Telcser	H.R. 1121 adopted
		Giorgi	H.B. 2354, 2nd C.C.R.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Moves adopt 2nd C.C.
199		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijeovich	Question
200		Giorgi	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
201		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Motion to adopt
		Speaker Telcser	
		J. Houlihan	Moves to table motion
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 2354, vote
		J. Houlihan	Opposes C.C.R.
202		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hanahan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	41.
203		Speaker Telcser Collins Speaker Telcser Washington		
204		Speaker Telcser Schraeder		
205		Speaker Telcser Krause Speaker Telcser Krause	House adopts C.C.R. H.B. 2354	
206		Speaker Telcser Krause Speaker Telcser Washburn Speaker Telcser Berman	Moves adoption C.C.R. #2 to S.B. 1357	
207		Speaker Telcser Barnes	Wasting time	
208		Speaker Telcser Choate Speaker Telcser Schneider	Asks Washburn to withdraw	
209		Speaker Telcser Washburn Schneider Speaker Telcser	Substitute motion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	42.
		Choate	Dissents from ruling	
210		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider	Appeals ruling of Chair	
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh	Continues (supports)	
211		Speaker Telcser		
		McClain	Asks for a ruling	
212		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijevich	Motion to overrule Chair	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		Duff	Speech (violence)	
213		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider		
		Duff		
		Schneider		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mann		
		Speaker Telcser		
214		Fleck		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijevich	Withdraws motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Kriegsman		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	43.
215		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider	What are we on?	
		Speaker Telcser	On Schneider's motion	
		Schneider		
		Speaker Telcser		
216		Epton	Appeals rulings	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate	)	
		Epton	) Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser	)	
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Epton		
217		Speaker Telcser		
		Barnes		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters		
218		Speaker Telcser		
		J. Houlihan	Point of order	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Continues	
219		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	44.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Fleck	Parliamentary Inquiry	
220		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	(butts in)	
		Fleck	Continues	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Fleck	Continues	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schneider	Directs remarks to Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt C.C.R. #2 to S.B. 1357	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from Senate	
221		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh	Adjournment Resolution	
		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned 1st Special Session	
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser	Roll Call for Attendance	
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser		
222		W. Walsh	Moves 1st Special Session stand adjourned.	
		Speaker Telcser		
		W. Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special Session stands adjourned.	

